in the petition (HTS 2921.42.24, 2921.42.79, and 2921.42.79), we divided the total quantity by the total value for the period referenced in the petition and noted the average unit values were very similar to those reported in the original petition.

The petition also states that due to the non-market economy status of the PRC, the foreign market value was calculated using a factors of production methodology. Based on the production experience of the petitioners, the petition identified actual factors of production for subject merchandise. Such factors include: labor, raw material, energy, overhead, and general selling and administrative expenses. To value these factors of production, the petition used published costs in India for the above-mentioned factors as surrogate values for those in the PRC. *See* Antidumping Petition on Sulfanilic Acid from the People's Republic of China dated October 2, 1991, and found in the CRU. Because petitioners used published, publicly available data for valuing the major inputs, we consider these data to have probative value.

The SAA at 870 specifically states that where ''corroboration may not be practicable in a given circumstance,'' the Department may nevertheless apply an adverse inference. The SAA at 869 emphasizes that the Department need not prove that the facts available are the best alternative information. Therefore, based on our efforts, described above, to corroborate information contained in the petition, and mindful of the legislative history discussing facts available and corroboration, we consider the petition margin we are assigning to non-responding firms in this review as adverse facts available to be corroborated to the extent practicable.

Finally, we note that where circumstances indicate that the selected margin is not appropriate as adverse facts available, the Department will disregard the margin and determine an appropriate margin. See Fresh Cut Flowers from Mexico; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 60 FR 49567 (September 26, 1995). We have determined that there is no evidence on the record that would indicate that the margin from the petition is not appropriate. Nothing on the record of this administrative review supports a determination that the highest margin rate from the petition in the underlying investigation does not represent reliable and relevant information for purposes of adverse facts available. This rate has been used as the PRC-wide rate since the Department's Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sulfanilic Acid from the People's Republic of China, 57 FR 29705 (July 6, 1992).

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine to use the rate of 85.20 percent as the adverse facts available for the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999 for all firms which have not demonstrated that they are entitled to separate rates, including Zhenxing and Yude.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five (5) days after the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309, interested parties may submit written comments in response to these preliminary results. Case briefs are currently scheduled for submission within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, and rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, must be submitted no later than five (5) days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Case and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f). Also, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, interested parties may request a public hearing on arguments to be raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. Unless the Secretary specifies otherwise, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the deadline for submission of rebuttal briefs. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Upon completion of this review, the Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, upon issuance of the final results of this review, the following deposit rates will be effective with respect to all shipments of sulfanilic acid from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for reviewed companies listed above will be the rates for those firms established in the final results of this review; (2) for companies previously found to be entitled to a separate rate and for which no review was requested, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent review of that company; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the China-wide rate of 85.20 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402 of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 771 (i)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: August 30, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–23689 Filed 9–13–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Texas at San Antonio; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 00–026. *Applicant:* University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249–0662. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM–1230. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* See notice at 65 FR

49966, August 16, 2000. *Order Date:* June 29, 2000.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as the instrument is intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of the instrument.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 00–23688 Filed 9–13–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3502(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Associate Director for Civil Aviation, Directorate of Operations and Training, Deputy Chief of Staff for Air and Space Operations, announces the proposed reinstatement of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (b) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (c) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by November 13, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to HQ USAF/XOO–CA, 1480 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330–1480.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the address above or call (703) 697–1796.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: Civil Aircraft Certificate of Insurance, DD Form 2400, OMB Number 0701–0050; Civil Aircraft Landing Permit, DD Form 2401, OMB Number 0701–0050; and DD Form 2402, Civil Aircraft Hold Harmless Agreement, OMB Number 0701–0050.

Needs and Uses: The collection of information is necessary to ensure that the security and operational integrity of military airfields are maintained; to identify the aircraft operator and the aircraft to be operated; to avoid competition with the private sector by establishing the purpose for use of military airfields; and to ensure the U.S. Government is not held liable if the civil aircraft becomes involved in an accident or incident while using military airfields, facilities, and services.

Affected Public: Civil aircraft owners/operators.

Annual Burden Hours: 1,800.

Number of Respondents: 3,600.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden for Respondents: 30 Minutes.

Frequency: 1.

Annual Responses: 3,600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: None.

Janet A. Long,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23622 Filed 9–13–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive Patent License

Pursuant to the provisions of Part 404 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, which implements Public Law 96–517, the Department of the Air Force announces its intention to grant Intellisense Corporation, a company doing business in Wilmington, MA, exclusive licenses in any right, title and interest the Air Force has in U.S. Patent Numbers 6,028,689 entitled ''Multi-Motion Mirror,'' and 6,040,935 entitled ''Flexureless Multi-Stable Micromirrors for Optical Switching.'' The inventors of '689, M. Adrian Michalicek, Victor M. Bright and John H. Comtois; and the inventor of '935, M. Adrian Michalicek were government employees at the time of the respective inventions.

The licenses described above will be granted unless objection thereto, together with a request for an opportunity to be heard, if desired, are received in writing by the addressee set forth below within 60 days from the date of publication of this Notice. Information concerning the application may be obtained, on request, from the same addressee.

All communications concerning this Notice should be sent to Mr. Randy Heald, Associate General Counsel (Acquisition), SAF/GCQ, 1500 Wilson Blvd., Suite 304, Arlington, VA 22209–2310. Mr. Heald can be reached at 703–588–5091 or by fax at 703–588–8037.

Janet A. Long,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23623 Filed 9–13–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97–168–005]

Alliance Pipeline L.P.; Notice of Amendment

September 8, 2000.

Take notice that on August 28, 2000, Alliance Pipeline L.P. (Alliance), 605–5th Avenue SW., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2P 3H5, filed, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations, in Docket No. CP97–168–005 for an amendment of the certificate of public convenience and necessity issued to Alliance on September 17, 1998, in Docket No. CP97–168–000, *et al.,*[1] to delete the authority to: (1) Construct and operate an interconnection with Natural Gas Pipline Company (NGPL); and (2) install a spare, backup compressor unit at the Tampico compressor station, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Mr. William A. Williams, Attorney for Alliance, Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P., 801 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004–2615, or call (202) 662–4673.

Alliance states that the NGPL interconnect and the spare compressor unit at the Tampico compressor station that were authorized in the September 17, 1998 order are no longer needed. The NGPL interconnect is no longer

[1] *Alliance pipeline L.P.,* 84 FERC ¶ 61,239 (1998).