

## OIL COUNTRY TUBULAR GOODS

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin
TAMSA .....	0
Hylsa .....	1.47

The Department will disclose calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to the parties of this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). An interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 37 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 35 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument (no longer than five pages including footnotes) and (3) a table of authorities. Further, we would appreciate it if parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

Upon issuance of the final results of the review, the Department will determine, and Customs will assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review will be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the results and for future deposits of estimated duties. For duty assessment purposes, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate by dividing the total dumping margins calculated for the U.S. sales to the importer by the total entered value of these sales. This rate will be used for the assessment of antidumping duties on all entries of the subject merchandise by that importer during the POR.

If the Department determines that revocation is warranted for TAMSA or Hylsa, this decision will apply to all

unliquidated entries of subject merchandise produced by TAMSA or Hylsa exported to the United States and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 1, 1999, the first day after the period under review.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rate as stated above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any other previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the "all other" rate established by the LTFV investigation, which was 23.79 percent.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibilities under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with Section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 30, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-23393 Filed 9-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-580-807]

**Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 8, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the Republic of Korea (65 FR 26574). The review covers three manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States: H.S. Industries (HSI), Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung) and SKC Limited (SKC). The review covers the period June 1, 1998 through May 31, 1999. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results.

The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed in the section entitled Final Results of Review. As a result of comments received, we have made changes to the final margin calculations for SKC.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 12, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

*Applicable Statute*

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On May 8, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative

review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from Korea. SKC Co., Ltd. and SKC America, Inc. (collectively SKC) and E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company and Mitsubishi Polyester Film, LLC (collectively Petitioners) submitted their respective case briefs on June 7, 2000. SKC submitted rebuttal comments on June 16, 2000. Petitioners submitted rebuttal comments on June 19, 2000. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act.

### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. The films excluded from this review are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick. Roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by the application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex has also been ruled as not within the scope of the order.

PET film is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 3920.62.00.00. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

The review covers the period June 1, 1998 through May 31, 1999. The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 5, 2000 which is adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building. In addition a complete version of the Decision

Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at [www.ia.ita.doc.gov](http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov). The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results of Review

We have deleted sales of PET film that were subsequently exported from the United States from SKC's U.S. database. Additionally, for purposes of applying the constructed export price (CEP) profit ratio to SKC's indirect U.S. selling expenses, we have applied the CEP profit ratio only to those indirect selling expenses incurred in the United States. Further details regarding these changes can be found in the Decision Memorandum and the SKC September 5, 2000 Final Results Analysis Memorandum, both of which are on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

### Final Results of Review

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, we determine that the following margins exist for the period June 1, 1998 through May 31, 1999:

Company	Margin (percent)
HSI .....	0.00
Hyosung .....	0.00
SKC .....	1.23

The U.S. Customs Service will assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. We have calculated an importer-specific assessment rate for subject merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of sales examined.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be required for all shipments of PET film from the Republic of Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of this review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit for SKC shall be 1.23 percent; (2) since the rates for HSI and Hyosung are zero no cash deposit shall be required for those firms, (3) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer

or exporter received a company-specific rate; (4) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in the final results of the most recent review or the LTFV investigation; and (5) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 21.50 percent the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. (See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Determination, 62 FR 50557, (September 26, 1997).)

This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: September 5, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix—Issues in the Decision Memorandum

1. Accounting for B-grade Film Costs
2. Calculation of CEP Profit
3. Inclusion in SKC's U.S. Sales Listing of Merchandise Subsequently Exported from the United States
4. Calculation of US Indirect Selling Expenses
5. Proper Home Market Comparison for Model DS10.

[FR Doc. 00-23394 Filed 9-11-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U**