

Subsidy Program, established under 25 U.S.C. 1481 *et seq.*, 25 U.S.C. 1511 *et seq.*, and this part 103.

*Reservation* means any land that is an Indian reservation, California rancharia, public domain Indian allotment, pueblo, Indian colony, former Indian reservation in Oklahoma, or land held by an Alaska Native corporation under the provisions of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (85 Stat. 688), as amended.

*Secretary* means the Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior, or his authorized representative.

*Tribe* means any Indian or Alaska Native tribe, band, nation, pueblo, rancharia, village, community or corporation that the Secretary acknowledges to exist as an Indian tribe.

#### § 103.45 Information collection.

(a) The information collection requirements of §§ 103.11, 103.12, 103.13, 103.14, 103.17, 103.21, 103.23, 103.26, 103.32, 103.33, 103.34, 103.35, 103.36, 103.37, and 103.38 have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* and assigned approval number 1076-0XXX. The information will be used to approve and make payments on Federal loan guarantees, insurance agreements, and interest subsidy awards. Response is required to obtain a benefit.

(b) The burden on the public to report this information is estimated to average from 15 minutes to 2 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the information collection. Direct comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection to the Information Collection Control Officer, Bureau of Indian Affairs, MS 4613, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240.

Dated: August 14, 2000.

**Kevin Gover,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 00-22745 Filed 9-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### National Reconnaissance Office

#### 32 CFR Part 326

#### NRO Privacy Act Program

**AGENCY:** National Reconnaissance Office, DOD.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) is proposing to add a new responsibility for NRO employees under the NRO Privacy Act Program. NRO employees are now required to participate in specialized Privacy Act training should their duties require dealing with special investigators, the news media, or the public.

This amendment is triggered by a change made to the Department of Defense Privacy Program (32 CFR part 310) on August 7, 2000, at 65 FR 48169.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by November 6, 2000 to be considered by the agency.

**ADDRESSES:** National Reconnaissance Office, Information Access and Release Center, 14675 Lee Road, Chantilly, VA 20151-1715.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Barbara Freimann at (703) 808-5029.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Executive Order 12866, 'Regulatory Planning and Review'

It has been determined that 32 CFR part 321 is not a significant regulatory action. The rule does not:

(1) Have an annual effect to the economy of \$100 million or more; or adversely affect in a material way the economy; a section of the economy; productivity; competition; jobs; the environment; public health or safety; or state, local, or tribal governments or communities;

(2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another Agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof;

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

#### Public Law 96-354, 'Regulatory Flexibility Act' (5 U.S.C. 601)

It has been certified that this rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601) because it would not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Public Law 96-511, 'Paperwork Reduction Act' (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35)

It has been certified that this part does not impose any reporting or record keeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 326

Privacy.

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR part 326 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Pub. L. 93-579, 88 Stat 1896 (5 U.S.C. 552a).

2. Section 326.5, is to be amended by adding paragraph (j)(11) to read follows:

#### § 326.5 Responsibilities.

\* \* \* \* \*

(j) Employees, NRO:

\* \* \* \* \*

(11) Will participate in specialized Privacy Act training should their duties require dealing with special investigators, the news media, or the public.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: August 30, 2000.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 00-22699 Filed 9-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-F

---

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[AZ 063-0026(b); FRL-6864-7]

#### Revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan, Pinal County Air Quality Control District; Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is reopening the comment period for action proposed to the Arizona State Implementation Plan on July 14, 2000 (65 FR 43727).

**DATE:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by October 6, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 14, 2000, EPA proposed the following revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP).

Local agency	Rule No.	Proposed action
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-9-278	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-9-280	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-10-330	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-11-350	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-12-370	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-13-390	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	5-15-622	Disapproval.
Pinal County AQCD .....	7-3-3.4	Disapproval.

The proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period.

In response to a request from San Juan Fiberglass Pools, EPA is reopening the comment period for an additional 30 days.

Dated: August 22, 2000.

**Nora McGee,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 00-22812 Filed 9-5-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Health Care Financing Administration**

**42 CFR Part 405**

[HCFA-6003-N]

RIN 0938-A149

**Medicare Program; Appeals of Carrier Determinations That a Physician or Other Supplier Fails To Meet the Requirements for Medicare Billing Privileges; Reopening of Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of reopening of comment period for proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** on October 25, 1999 (64 FR 57431). That proposed rule would affect appeal rights for suppliers whose enrollment applications for Medicare billing privileges are disallowed by a carrier, or whose Medicare billing privileges are revoked. This document reopens and extends the comment period for that proposed rule until January 4, 2001.

**DATES:** The comment period is reopened to 5 p.m. on January 4, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail written comments (one original and three copies) to the following address ONLY: Health Care Financing Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, Attention: HCFA-6003-P, P.O. Box 8013, Baltimore, MD 21207-8013.

Since comments must be received by the date specified above, please allow

sufficient time for mailed comments to be timely received in the event of delivery delays. If you prefer, you may deliver your written comments by courier, (one original and three copies) to one of the following addresses: Room 443-G, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20201, or Room C5-14-03, Central Building, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244-1850.

Comments mailed to the two above addresses may be delayed and considered late. Because of staffing and resource limitations, we cannot accept comments by facsimile (FAX) transmission. In commenting, please refer to file code HCFA-6003-P. Comments received timely will be available for public inspection as they are received, generally beginning approximately 3 weeks after publication of a document, in Room 443-G of the Department's offices at 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, on Monday through Friday of each week from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. (phone: (202) 690-7890).

For comments that relate to information collection requirements, mail a copy of comments to the following: Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Information Services, Information Technology Investment Management Group, Attn.: John Burke, Room N2-14,26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244-1850.

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Allison Herron Eydt, HCFA Desk Officer.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Waldhauser, (410) 786-6140.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 25, 1999, we published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 57431) that would extend appeal rights to all physicians and other suppliers whose enrollment applications for Medicare billing privileges are disallowed by a carrier or whose Medicare billing privileges are revoked. That rule would be applicable to all suppliers except for those covered

under other existing appeals provisions of our regulations. In addition, we proposed to revise certain appeal provisions to correspond with the existing appeal provisions in those other sections of our regulations. We also proposed to extend appeal rights to all suppliers not covered by existing regulations to ensure they have a full and fair opportunity to be heard. Although we were not required by the Administrative Procedure Act to publish that rule as a proposed rule (see 5 U.S.C. section 553(b)(3)(A)), we did so in order to allow interested parties the opportunity for prior notice and comment.

Because of the complexity and scope of the proposed rule and because representatives of several industry and professional associations and organizations requested additional time to analyze the potential consequences of the rule, we are reopening and extending the comment period until January 4, 2001.

We are also taking this opportunity to make a minor change to the title of the proposed rule. Specifically, we are clarifying that this rule would apply to all suppliers, including physicians and other practitioners. Although physicians are defined as suppliers at 42 CFR 400.202, the American Medical Association voiced concern that physicians may not be aware that the proposed rule applies to them. In an effort to avoid any confusion, we are adding the term "physicians" to the title of the proposed rule.

**Authority:** Secs. 1102, 1842(b)(3)(c), and 1869(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395u(b)(3)(c), and 1395ff(b)).

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 93.774, Medicare—Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: February 14, 2000.

**Nancy-Ann Min DeParle,**

*Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.*

Dated: March 27, 2000.

**Donna E. Shalala,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-22702 Filed 9-5-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4120-01-P**