

Cost Impact

There are approximately 289 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 228 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost between \$1,379 and \$3,092 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacements proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be between \$341,772 and \$732,336, or \$1,499 and \$3,212 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 99–NM–275–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–8 series airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC8–33A070, dated November 1, 1999; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent overheating of ballast transformers due to high power demands resulting from aging, which could result in smoke in the cockpit, accomplish the following:

Modification

(a) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, replace the transformer ballast assemblies from the first officer's console and electrical power center with new, improved transformer ballast assemblies, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC8–33A070, dated November 1, 1999.

Spares

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a transformer ballast assembly, part number BA170–1, BA170–11, BA170–21, BA170–MOD.B, or BA171–MOD.C, on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests

through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

Special Flight Permit

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 25, 2000.

Donald L. Riggins,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–22307 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99–NM–276–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC–8 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC–8 series airplanes. This proposal would require replacing the toilet flushing circuit breakers of the lavatory with new circuit breakers, and marking applicable nameplates. This action is necessary to prevent overheating of the flush pump motor, which could result in damage to the flush pump motor cover, and consequent smoke in the lavatory area. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–NM–276–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be

submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 99-NM-276-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elvin Wheeler, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5344; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments,

in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-276-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-276-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

As part of its practice of re-examining all aspects of the service experience of a particular aircraft whenever an accident occurs, the FAA has become aware of incidents of smoke in the lavatory area on McDonnell Douglas Model DC-8 series airplanes. Investigation revealed that the cause of the smoke was an overheated flush pump motor. This condition, if not corrected, could result in damage to the flush pump motor cover, which could result in smoke in the lavatory area.

Other Related Rulemaking

The FAA, in conjunction with Boeing and operators of Model DC-8 series airplanes, is continuing to review all aspects of the service history of those airplanes to identify potential unsafe conditions and to take appropriate corrective actions. This proposed airworthiness directive (AD) is one of a series of actions identified during that process. The process is continuing and the FAA may consider additional rulemaking actions as further results of the review become available.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC8-24A068, Revision 01, dated November 1, 1999, which describes procedures for replacing the toilet flushing circuit breakers of the lavatory with new circuit breakers, and marking applicable nameplates. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 232 Model DC-8 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 199 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 or 2 work hours per airplane, depending on the configuration of the airplane, to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$348 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$408, or \$468 per airplane, depending on the configuration of the airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory

Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 99–NM–276–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–8 series airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC8–24A068, Revision 01, dated November 1, 1999; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent overheating of the flush pump motor, which could result in damage to the flush pump motor cover, and consequent smoke in the lavatory area, accomplish the following:

Replacing Circuit Breakers and Marking of Nameplate

(a) Within 2 years after the effective date of this AD, replace the toilet flushing circuit breakers of the lavatory with new circuit breakers, and mark applicable nameplates, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC8–24A068, Revision 01, dated November 1, 1999.

Note 2: Replacements and markings accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin 24–68, dated February 14, 1984; are considered acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

Spares

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a 2 amp toilet flushing circuit breaker, part number MP1503–DC8, on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

Special Flight Permit

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 25, 2000.

Donald L. Riggins,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–22308 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

36 CFR Part 7

RIN 1024–AC80

National Capital Region Parks; Photo Radar Speed Enforcement

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule establishes the use and procedure for photo radar speed enforcement in the park areas administered by the National Capital Region of the National Park Service (NPS). The proposed rule provides for the issuance of a citation to the registered owner of the speeding vehicle identified by photo radar but allows the owner, if he or she was not driving the vehicle when the offense occurred, to mail in a statement of denial whereupon the citation will be

dismissed. If the citation is adjudicated, the proposed rule allows for the admission of the photo radar photograph under certain conditions, and creates a rebuttable presumption that the cited registered owner was the driver of the vehicle at the time of the violation.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before October 31, 2000. The NPS may not consider comments received after this date in preparing the final regulation.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be sent to: Audrey Calhoun, Superintendent, George Washington Memorial Parkway, Turkey Run Park, McLean, Virginia 22101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey Calhoun, Superintendent, George Washington Memorial Parkway, Turkey Run Park, McLean, Virginia 22101, telephone: (703) 289–2500; Randolph J. Myers, Attorney, Branch of National Parks, Office of the Solicitor, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20240, telephone: (202) 208–4338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background

The NPS has major responsibilities and program involvement in traffic safety and traffic law enforcement on its park roads. The National Capital Region of the NPS administers some 447 miles of parkways, primary and secondary roads through Federal parkland in the Washington metropolitan area. It has four major parkways that form a scenic entranceway into Washington, D.C. These major parkways are the George Washington Memorial Parkway, the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway, the Suitland Parkway, and the Baltimore–Washington Parkway.

The George Washington Memorial Parkway (the “Parkway”) contains one of the most heavily traveled NPS roadways in the United States. The Parkway comprises 7,146 acres along the western bank of the Potomac River. The Parkway extends from Mount Vernon, Virginia, at its southern end to the Great Falls of the Potomac at its northern end. A major feature of the Parkway is a roadway that winds from Mount Vernon, 38.3 miles northwest to the Capital Beltway.

The initial section of the Parkway, originally known as the Mount Vernon Memorial Highway, opened in 1932 and stretches from Mount Vernon to the Memorial Bridge. Over the course of the next several decades, the Parkway was extended to its present length. Since its inception, a major purpose of the Parkway has been to honor the first