U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone (202) 564–5457.

Dated: August 18, 2000.

Howard F. Corcoran,

Acting Associate General Counsel.
[FR Doc. 00–22050 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6859-7]

Regulatory Reinvention (XL) Pilot Projects

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Availability of the Project XL Draft Final Project Agreement for the Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District.

SUMMARY: EPA is requesting comments on a Draft Project XL Final Project Agreement (FPA) for the Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District's (MSD) Jeffersontown Sewershed/Chenoweth Run Watershed Pretreatment Reinvention Project. The FPA is a voluntary agreement developed collaboratively by MSD, stakeholders, the state of Kentucky, and EPA. Project XL, announced in the Federal Register on May 23, 1995 (60 FR 27282), gives regulated entities the flexibility to develop alternative strategies that will replace or modify specific regulatory or procedural requirements on the condition that they produce greater environmental benefits.

In the draft FPA, MSD's proposed project for the Jeffersontown Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) pretreatment program consists of three phases. Phase 1 consists of data collection and development of Pretreatment Program Performance Measures. In order to achieve the project goals, MSD's approach is to develop a strong safety net, namely, Pretreatment Performance Measures, and then use the baseline data to target the resources where the most significant environmental improvements can be achieved. Development and use of the proposed performance measures has required MSD to conduct extensive monitoring and analysis (more than the current program requires) and to make comparisons to environmental criteria. The information gathered for performance measures has already proven to be of great value in understanding the loadings patterns in the system. The data also provides MSD

with a technical basis for determining risk potential of various pollutant sources.

Phase 2 consists of pretreatment program redevelopment in the Jeffersontown WWTP Sewershed. In this phase, MSD and Stakeholders have worked closely together to define the criteria for redevelopment of the pretreatment program. The elements of redevelopment include: criteria for pollutants of concern, regulatory revisions, superior environmental performance, and project accountability.

Phase 3 will be program implementation. In this phase, the baseline monitoring data (from Phase 1) and the criteria for redevelopment (from Phase 2) will be used to determine the site specific applications to the Jeffersontown Sewershed/Chenoweth Run Watershed pretreatment program. Once the proposed regulatory revisions are made effective with re-issuance of the Jeffersontown Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) NPDES permit, resources will be reallocated according to a specific Prioritization Strategy.

The first and second phases of the project were completed during the Phase 1 Agreement, which was published for notice and comment in the **Federal Register** on December 14, 1999. This Final Project Agreement will allow implementation of the third phase of this project.

A rulemaking setting forth regulatory flexibility to enable implementation of this project will also be developed in the future and will ensure that the project fully comply with applicable federal requirements under the Clean Water Act. Opportunities for public comment will be provided once the rule has been drafted.

DATES: The period for submission of comments ends on September 12, 2000. ADDRESSES: All comments on the draft Final Project Agreement should be sent to: Melinda Greene, USEPA REGION 4, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, GA 30303–8960. Comments may also be faxed to Ms. Greene at (404) 562–9728. Comments will also be received via electronic mail sent to: mallard.melinda@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain a copy of the draft Final Project Agreement, contact: Melinda Greene, USEPA REGION 4, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, GA 30303–8960. The document is also available via the Internet at the following location: "http://www.epa.gov/ProjectXL". In addition, public files on the Project are located at EPA Region 4 in Atlanta. Questions to EPA regarding the documents can be directed to Melinda

Greene at (404) 562–9771, or Chad Carbone at (202) 260–4296. Additional information on Project XL, including documents referenced in this notice, other EPA policy documents related to Project XL, application information, and descriptions of existing XL projects and proposals, is available via the Internet at "http://www.epa.gov/ProjectXL'.

Dated: August 23, 2000.

Elizabeth A. Shaw,

Director, Office of Environmental Policy Innovation.

[FR Doc. 00–22052 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

August 23, 2000.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates: (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before September 28, 2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C804, 445 12th St., SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judy Boley at 202–418–0214 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0340. Title: Section 73.51 Determining Operating Power.

Form Number: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents: 4,867. Estimated Time Per Response: .25 to 3 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,448 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A. Needs and Uses: When it is not possible to use the direct method of power determination due to technical reasons, the indirect method of determining antenna input power may be used on a temporary basis. Section 73.51(d) requires that a notation be made in the station log indicating the dates of commencement and termination of measurement using the indirect method of power determination. Section 73.51(e) requires that AM stations determining the antenna input power by the indirect method must determine the value F (efficiency factor) applicable to each mode of operation and must maintain a record thereof with a notation of its derivation. This recordkeeping requirement is used by FCC staff in field investigations to monitor licensees' compliance with the FCC's technical rules and to ensure that licensee is operating in accordance with its station authorization. The value F (efficiency

Federal Communications Commission.

the event that measurement by the

factor) is used by station personnel in

indirect method of power is necessary.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–22009 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Agency Information Collection Activities: Announcement of Board Approval Under Delegated Authority and Submission to OMB

SUMMARY:

Background

Notice is hereby given of the final approval of proposed information collection(s) by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) under OMB delegated authority, as per 5 CFR 1320.16 (OMB Regulations on Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public). Board-approved collections of information are incorporated into the official OMB inventory of currently approved collections of information. Copies of the OMB 83-Is and supporting statements and approved collection of information instrument(s) are placed into OMB's public docket files. The Federal Reserve may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Federal Reserve Board Clearance
Officer—Mary M. West—Division of
Research and Statistics, Board of
Governors of the Federal Reserve
System, Washington, DC 20551 (202–
452–3829); OMB Desk Officer—
Alexander T. Hunt—Office of
Information and Regulatory Affairs,
Office of Management and Budget, New
Executive Office Building, Room 3208,
Washington, DC 20503 (202–395–7860).

Final Approval Under OMB Delegated Authority of the Extension for Three Years, With Revision, of the Following Report

1. Report title: The Bank Holding Company Report of Insured Depository Institutions' Section 23A Transactions with Affiliates.

Agency form numbers: FR Y–8. OMB control number: 7100–0126. Frequency: Quarterly.

Reporters: Bank holding companies (BHC), financial holding companies, foreign banking organizations (FBO).

Annual reporting hours: 169,661 hours.

Estimated average hours per response: 7.2 hours.

Number of respondents: 5,891. Small businesses are not affected. General description of report: This information collection is authorized by section 5(c) of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1844 (c)) and section 225.5 (b) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.5 (b)) and is given confidential treatment pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(4) and (8)).

Abstract: The current FR Y–8 collects information on the movement of funds between a domestic BHC and its subsidiaries in order to identify broad

categories of intercompany transactions and balances that may affect the financial condition of the subsidiary bank. The report also collects information on income recognized by subsidiary banks from other BHC members as well as information on credit extended by subsidiary banks to other BHC members. Domestic top-tier BHC with assets of \$300 million or more are required to file the FR Y–8 on a semiannual basis (June and December). Also, interim reporting is currently required within ten calendar days of certain large asset transfers.

Current actions: On June 6, 2000, the Federal Reserve issued a Federal Register notice (65 FR 35934) requesting public comment on a proposal to completely revise the FR Y-8. The Federal Reserve proposed to delete the current information on the FR Y-8 and collect fourteen items of information on section 23A covered transactions. The comment period ended on August 7, 2000. The Federal Reserve received comments from eight banking organizations on the proposed revisions to the FR Y-8. Commenters suggested three alternatives for reducing burden: (1) monitor compliance with section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act through the examination process or by adding limited amount of information to the consolidated or parent-only BHC financial statements; (2) exempt or limit the number of respondents by using a size or materiality threshold or only require reporting by institutions with identifiable compliance issues and (3) eliminate the reporting of maximum aggregate amounts outstanding during the quarter. In addition to the suggestions for reducing burden, several commenters also suggested extending the due date for filing from 30 to 60 days and delaying the implementation of the revised report.

In response to public comments, the Federal Reserve will implement the revised FR Y-8 in December 2000. However, the Federal Reserve continues to believe, as proposed, that a separate report collected on an individual insured depository institution basis for all insured depository institutions that are owned by BHCs or FBOs is necessary to monitor compliance with section 23A. The information requested at the end of each reporting period as well as the maximum amount during the period is necessary to monitor compliance. The Federal Reserve believes, as pointed out by three commenters, that insured depository institutions should already, on an ongoing basis, have established internal control systems to monitor their section 23A covered transactions and, as a