

if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash-deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the "All Others" rate for the relevant order made effective by the final results of review published on July 26, 1993 (see *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Revocation in Part of an Antidumping Duty Order*, 58 FR 39729 (July 26, 1993), and, for BBs from Italy, see *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews, and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 61 FR 66472 (December 17, 1996)). These rates are the "All Others" rates from the relevant LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3) or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 4, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Comments and Responses

1. Facts Available
2. Revocation
3. Export Price/CEP
 - A. CEP Offset
 - B. CEP Profit
 - C. Other Expenses
4. Discounts and Rebates
5. Price Adjustments
 - A. Indirect Selling Expenses
 - B. Inventory Carrying Costs
 - C. Credit Expenses
 - D. Commissions
 - E. Advertising Expenses
 - F. Technical Service Expenses
 - G. Bank Charges
 - H. Repacking Expenses
 - I. Other Direct Selling Expenses
6. Level of Trade
7. Samples and Sales Outside the Ordinary Course of Trade
8. Cost of Production and Constructed Value
 - A. Profit for Constructed Value
 - B. Affiliated-Party Inputs
 - C. General, Selling, and Administrative Expenses
 - D. When to Use CV
 - E. Inventory Write-offs
 - F. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
 - G. Marketable Securities
9. Packing and Movement Expenses
10. Romania-Specific Issues
11. Miscellaneous
 - A. Programming and Clerical Errors
 - B. Date of Sale
 - C. Sample Weeks
 - D. Clerical Errors in a Respondent's Data

[FR Doc. 00-20441 Filed 8-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-805]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury at (202) 482-0195 or Linda Ludwig at (202) 482-3833, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On December 28, 1999, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Mexico, covering the period November 1, 1998 through October 31, 1999 (64 FR 72644). The preliminary results are currently due no later than August 1, 2000.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than November 29, 2000. See Decision Memorandum from Richard O. Weible to Joseph A. Spetrini, dated August 1, 2000, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: August 1, 2000.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 00-20442 Filed 8-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P