

made a final determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, if a hearing is requested, it will not stay the effectiveness of the amendment. Any hearing held would take place while the amendment is in effect.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

Entergy Operations, Inc., Docket No. 50-368, Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit No. 2, Pope County, Arkansas

Date of application for amendment: July 13, 2000, as supplemented by letters dated July 14 and 21, 2000.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment permitted a one-time change to Technical Specification 4.4.5.0 and allowed alternate inspection scope and expansion criteria for steam generator tube inspections to be implemented during the mid-cycle outage scheduled for summer 2000.

Date of issuance: July 26, 2000.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance to be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 217.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-6: Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Public comments requested as to proposed no significant hazards consideration: Yes.

The NRC published a public notice of the proposed amendment, issued a proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration, and requested that any comments on the proposed no significant hazards consideration be provided to the staff by the close of business on July 24, 2000. The notice was published in *The Courier* (in Russellville) and the *Arkansas*

Democrat-Gazette (in Little Rock) from July 20 through 22, 2000. No public comments were received.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment, finding of exigent circumstances, consultation with the State of Arkansas, and final no significant hazards consideration determination are contained in a Safety Evaluation dated July 26, 2000.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of August 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John A. Zwolinski,

Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00-20014 Filed 8-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHR)

SUMMARY: The Executive Director, OSHRC invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 7, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Stuart Shapiro, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Stuart_Shapiro@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Executive Director published a notice containing proposed information collection request in the **Federal Register** date May 31, 2000. The proposed information collection included: (1) Type of review requested, (2) Title, (3) Summary of the collection, (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information, (5) Respondents and

frequency of collection, and (6) Reporting and recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: August 3, 2000.

Patricia A. Randle,

Executive Director, Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: New.

Title: Evaluation of "E-Z Trial."

OMB Number: New.

Frequency: Once.

Affected Public: Employers and/or their representatives, and labor organizations who have been involved in cases with the Review Commission. Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 100

Burden hours: 75

Abstract: The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHR) published a rule in the **Federal Register** dated August 14, 1995 establishing the "E-Z Trial" program. The rule was subsequently amended to eliminate the sunset provisions in the original rule and to revise the procedural rules governing the "E-Z Trial" program effective July 31, 1997. We are evaluating the program as modified effective July 31, 1997. The evaluation will involve surveying employers and employer representatives regarding their satisfaction with the fairness and efficiency of the process. The evaluation will also analyze data on the rate at which "E-Z Trial" cases go to a hearing, and on the length and cost of hearings. Finally, the evaluation will study the cycle times of these cases as compared to those of conventional cases. Information will also be gathered from Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) staff and from the Solicitor of Labor.

[FR Doc. 00-20080 Filed 8-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7600-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 24590; 812-12064]

Investment Company Act; Hillview Investment Trust II, et al.; Notice of Application

August 3, 2000.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of an application under section 17(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from section 17(a) of the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order to permit a limited partnership to transfer all of its assets to a corresponding new series of a

registered open-end management investment company in exchange for shares of the new series.

Applicants: Hillview Investment Trust II ("Trust"), Hillview Capital Advisors, LLC ("Adviser") and CMS Concentrated Equity Fund, L.P. ("Partnership").

Filing Dates: The application was filed on April 14, 2000. Applicants have agreed to file an amendment during the notice period, the substance of which is reflected in this notice.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on August 28, 2000, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549-0609; Applicants, c/o M. Kathleen Wood, Hillview Capital Advisors, LLC, 1055 Washington Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean E. Minarick, Senior Counsel, at (202) 942-0527, or Christine Y. Greenlees, Branch Chief, at (202) 942-0564 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee at the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549-0102 (telephone (202) 942-8090).

Applicants' Representations

1. The Trust, a Delaware business trust, is registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company. The Trust is organized as a series investment company and initially will offer two series, one of which, the Hillview Alpha Fund ("Alpha Fund"), will correspond to the Partnership in terms of investment objectives and policies.

2. The Partnership was organized as a Delaware limited partnership in 1997 and is not registered under the Act in

reliance on section 3(c)(1) of the Act. MSPS/Feeders, Inc. ("General Partner"), a Delaware corporation, serves as the sole general partner of the Partnership and has exclusive responsibility for its overall management and business. The General Partner is exempt from registration under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"). The General Partner is owned by two officers of the Adviser, who also own more than 5% of the Adviser's outstanding voting securities.¹

3. The Adviser, registered under the Advisers Act, will serve as the investment adviser to the Alpha Fund under an investment management agreement with the Trust.

4. Applicants propose that, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Exchange ("Plan"), the Partnership will transfer to the Alpha Fund its assets, less any funds required to pay the liabilities of the Partnership, in exchange for Class Y shares (the "Shares") of the Alpha Fund (the "Exchange"). Under the Plan, Shares of the Alpha Fund delivered to the Partnership will have an aggregate net asset value ("NAV") equal to the NAV of the assets transferred by the Partnership to the Alpha Fund. Upon the consummation of the Exchange, the Shares of the Alpha Fund will be credited to the account of each partner of the Partnership ("Partner"), *pro rata*, according to the Partner's interest in the Partnership immediately prior to the Exchange. The Exchange is scheduled to occur on or about September 1, 2000. Thereafter, the Partnership will be dissolved. The Adviser will pay the expenses incurred in connection with the Exchange.

5. On June 12, 2000, the board of trustees of the Trust (the "Board"), including a majority of the trustees who are not "interested persons," as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act ("Independent Trustees"), approved the Exchange. In approving the Exchange, the Board concluded that: (a) the terms of the Exchange have been designed to meet the criteria contained in Section 17(b) of the Act, and (b) participation by the Alpha Fund in the Exchange is in the best interests of the Alpha Fund and its shareholders and the interests of existing shareholders in the Alpha Fund will not be diluted as a result of the Exchange. These findings, and the basis

¹ The Partnership currently invests all of its assets in the Concentrated Equity Portfolio ("Portfolio"), a series of Hillview Investment Trust, a Delaware business trust advised by the Adviser that is not registered under the Act in reliance on section 3(c)(1) of the Act. On or about September 1, 2000, the Portfolio expects to liquidate by distributing all of its assets to its two investors, the Partnership and the General Partner.

upon which such findings were made, will be recorded in the minute book of the Trust upon the approval of the minutes of the meeting.

6. The General Partner has determined that it would be in the best interests of the Partnership to enter into the Exchange. The General Partner is authorized by the Partnership Agreement to approve and cause the Partnership to enter into the Exchange. Accordingly, the General Partner will execute the Plan on behalf of the Partnership and will provide each Partner with detailed information concerning the terms of the proposed Exchange before the Exchange is effected.

7. The Exchange will not be effected until: (a) the Trust's registration statement on Form N-1A is effective; (b) the Commission has issued the requested order; and (c) the Trust and the Partnership have received an opinion of counsel that no gain or loss will be recognized by the Alpha Fund upon the transfer of the Partnership's assets.

Applicants' Legal Analysis

1. Section 17(a) of the Act prohibits any affiliated person of a registered investment company, or any affiliated person of that person, acting as principal, from selling to or purchasing from the registered investment company any security or other property. Section 2(a)(3) of the Act defines an "affiliated person" as, among other things, any person directly or indirectly owning, controlling, or holding with power to vote 5% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the other person; any person controlling, controlled by, or under common control with, the other person; any officer, director, copartner or employee of the other person; and, if the other person is an investment company, its investment adviser.

2. Applicants state that the Partnership could be deemed to be an affiliated person of an affiliated person of the Alpha Fund because the Partnership and the Alpha Fund might be deemed to be under the common control of the Adviser. Thus, applicants state that the proposed Exchange may be prohibited under section 17(a) of the Act.

3. Rule 17a-7 exempts certain purchase and sale transactions otherwise prohibited by section 17(a) of the Act if an affiliation exists solely by reason of having a common investment adviser, common directors, and/or common officers or directors, provided, among other requirements, the transaction involves a cash payment against prompt delivery of a security.

Applicants state that the relief provided by rule 17a-7 may not be available for the Exchange because the Exchange will be effected on a basis other than cash. Applicants also state that the General Partner and the Partnership may be deemed an affiliated person of an affiliated person of the Alpha Fund because all of the interests of the General Partner are owned by two officers of the Adviser, who also own more than 5% of the Adviser. Thus, the Alpha Fund and the Partnership may be affiliated in a manner other than allowed under rule 17a-7.

4. Section 17(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to exempt a transaction from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act if the terms of the transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned and the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned and the general purposes of the Act.

5. Applicants submit that the terms of the Exchange meet the criteria contained in section 17(b) of the Act. Applicants state that the Shares issued by the Alpha Fund will have an aggregate NAV equal to the NAV of the assets acquired from the Partnership, and that because the Shares will be issued to the Partners at NAV, the Partners' interests will not be diluted. Applicants also state that the investment objective and policies of the Alpha Fund are substantially similar to those of the Partnership, and that after the Exchange the Partners will hold substantially the same assets as Alpha Fund shareholders as they held as Partners. Applicants further state that the board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, has approved the Exchange and that the Exchange will comply with rule 17a-7(b) through (f).

Applicants' Conditions

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following conditions:

1. The Exchange will comply with the terms of rule 17a-7(b) through (f).

2. The Exchange will not occur unless and until the Board (including a majority of the Independent Trustees) finds that participation by the Alpha Fund in the Exchange is in the best interests of the Alpha Fund and its shareholders and that the interests of existing shareholders will not be diluted as a result of the Exchange. These findings, and the basis upon which they are made, will be recorded fully in the minute books of the Trust.

3. The Exchange will not occur unless and until the General Partner of the Partnership has determined in accordance with its fiduciary duties that the Exchange is in the best interests of the Partners of the Partnership.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-20096 Filed 8-8-00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-43109; File No. SR-OPRA-00-06]

Options Price Reporting Authority; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Amendment to OPRA Plan Establishing a Pilot to Permit Fee-Exempt Access to Market Data

August 2, 2000.

Pursuant to Rule 11Aa3-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on May 26, 2000, the Options Price Reporting Authority ("OPRA"),² submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") an amendment to the Plan for Reporting of Consolidated Options Last Sale Reports and Quotation Information ("OPRA Plan"). The proposed OPRA Plan amendment would establish a two-year pilot period, scheduled to end on May 31, 2002, during which off-floor market maker members of participant exchanges will be permitted to access options market data on a fee-exempt basis. The proposed amendment also would codify current practice by providing that during this same two-year period, floor members of participant exchanges and the participant exchanges themselves are also permitted to access options market data on a fee-exempt basis. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments from interested

¹ 17 CFR 240.11Aa3-2.

² OPRA is a national market system plan approved by the Commission pursuant to Section 11A of the Act and Rule 11Aa3-2 thereunder. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 17638 (Mar. 18, 1981). The OPRA Plan provides for the collection and dissemination of last sale and quotation information on options that are traded on the member exchanges. The six exchanges that are participants to the OPRA Plan are the American Stock Exchange ("Amex"); the Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE"); the International Securities Exchange ("ISE"); the New York Exchange ("NYSE"); the Pacific Exchange ("PCX"); and the Philadelphia Stock Exchange ("Phlx").

persons on the proposed OPRA Plan amendment.

1. Description and Purpose of the Amendment

OPRA is proposing amendments to the OPRA Plan to establish a two-year pilot period, scheduled to expire on May 31, 2002, during which off-floor market maker members of participant exchanges would be permitted to access options market data on a fee-exempt basis. The proposed amendment also would codify current practice by providing that during this same two-year period, floor members of participant exchanges and the participant exchanges themselves would also be permitted to access options market data on a fee-exempt basis. The text of the proposed OPRA Plan amendment is available at the Commission and at OPRA.

The purpose of the proposed OPRA Plan amendment is to clarify the conditions under which members of floor-based exchanges and their counterparts on electronic exchanges, as well as the exchange themselves, are permitted to access options market information over the OPRA system without thereby becoming liable to pay OPRA's subscriber fees.

Currently, all persons, including members of participant exchanges, who have access to OPRA information at their places of business are subject to OPRA fees. However, members of participant exchanges who function as brokers or market makers on exchange floors and who have access to OPRA information over exchange-provided terminals on the floors are not subject to OPRA fees, and the participant exchanges themselves are not required to pay OPRA fees in respect of these terminals. On the ISE, market-making functions traditionally performed by exchange members on exchange floors are instead performed by exchange members acting as specialists or market-makers from trading desks at off-floor locations. Considerations of competitive fairness suggest either that these off-floor specialists and market makers should be exempt from OPRA fees so long as their floor-based counterpart are not subject to these fees, or that all such specialists and market-makers, both on-floor and off-floor, should be subject to OPRA fees. Although OPRA has not yet decided which of these two alternative approaches should be adopted as a permanent provision of the OPRA Plan, to provide equal treatment for the ISE, OPRA proposes to implement a two-year pilot program during which the market maker members of ISE (and similar off-floor market makers of any