

R. 4 E., to the ¼ corner of sections 27 and 34, T. 40 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence easterly along the section line between section 27 and 34, T. 40 S., R. 4 E., to the section corner of sections 26, 27, 34, and 35, T. 40 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence southerly along the section lines between sections 34 and 35, T. 40 S., R. 4 E., and sections 2 and 3, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., to the South ¼ corner of sections 2 and 3, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence westerly along the east and west centerline of the South ½ of section 3, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., approximately 3,900 feet to the intersection with the north edge of BLM road #41-2E-10.1;

Thence southwesterly along the north edge of BLM road #41-2E-10.1., approximately 1,850 feet to the section corner of sections 3, 4, 9, and 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence easterly along the section line between sections 3 and 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., to the West ¼ corner of Sections 3 and 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence southerly along the north and south centerline of the NW¼ of section 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.; to the Center-West ¼ corner of section 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence westerly along the east and west centerline of section 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., to the ¼ corner of sections 9 and 10, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence westerly along the east and west centerline of section 9, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., to the Center ¼ corner of section 9, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence southerly along the north and south centerline of section 9, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., to the ¼ corner of section 9 and 16, T. 41 S., R. 4 E.;

Thence southerly along the north and south centerline of section 16, T. 41 S., R. 4 E., approximately 490 feet, to a point of intersection on the Oregon-California State Line;

Thence westerly along the Oregon-California State Line, approximately 14.50 miles, to the point of beginning.

For Further Information Contact:
Richard J. Dreihobl, Field Manager,
Bureau of Land Management, Ashland
Field Office, 3040 Biddle Road,
Medford, Oregon 97504; Telephone
(541) 618-2331.

Dated: July 19, 2000.

Richard J. Dreihobl,

Field Manager, Ashland Field Office.

[FR Doc. 00-19605 Filed 8-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CA-650-1430-01]

Public Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management.

ACTION: Public meeting.

SUMMARY: Public Meeting to gather public comments on the Timbisha Homeland Legislative EIS.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 3, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ahmed Mohsen, Ridgecrest Field Office,
BLM, 300 South Richmond Road,
Ridgecrest, CA 93555, (760) 384-5429.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given, in accordance with the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) that a public meeting will be held by the Bureau of Land Management and the National Park Service on Thursday August 10th, 2000 at 7 p.m. at the Boulder Creek Mobile Home Park, 2550 Highway 395 in Lone Pine, California. The purpose of this meeting is to gather public comments on water resources issues relating to the Centennial parcel of the proposed project. For more information, contact Ahmed Mohsen, Resource Management Specialist, at (760) 384-5421.

Dated: July 26, 2000.

Hector A. Villalobos,

Field Office Manager.

[FR Doc. 00-19652 Filed 8-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Docket No. 4310-DN]

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement, for the Zortman and Landusky Mines Reclamation Plan Modifications and Mine Life Extensions

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Section 203(b) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and Section 102(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Bureau of Land Management will prepare a supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the Zortman and Landusky Mines Reclamation Plan

Modifications to consider final reclamation and closure of the Zortman and Landusky mines in Northcentral Montana. The Supplemental EIS will address additional reclamation alternatives that would constitute a substantial change in the proposed action presented in the Final EIS.

DATES: Comments and recommendations on this notice should be received by September 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Scott Haight, Team Lead, Bureau of Land Management, Lewistown Field Office, P.O. Box 1160, Lewistown, Montana 59457-1160.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Bruce Reed, Field Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Malta Field Office, 501 S. 2nd St. E., Malta, MT 59538-0047 (406-654-1240); or Scott Haight, Lewistown Field Office, P.O. Box 1160, Lewistown, Montana 59457-1160 (406-538-1930).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In April 1996, the BLM and Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issued the Final EIS for the Zortman and Landusky Mines Reclamation Plan Modifications and Mine Life Extensions. The purpose of the EIS was to analyze the need for modified reclamation plans in response to acid rock drainage conditions at the mines and to consider the operator's proposal for additional mining. In October 1996, the BLM and DEQ issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the approval of expanded mining and modified reclamation plans. Subsequent to the issuance of the ROD, the operator decided not to proceed with the mine expansion and filed for bankruptcy. In June 1998, BLM and DEQ issued a second ROD that selected an alternative from the Final EIS for reclamation without mine expansion, using agency-developed mitigating measures. In November 1998, the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA) vacated the BLM's June 1998, ROD and ordered additional consultation with the Fort Belknap Indian Community Council. As a result of the bankruptcy proceeding the DEQ reached an agreement with the operator's surety companies to fund reclamation up to the limits of the surety bond amount. Subsequent evaluations of reclamation costs have identified a shortfall in funding to implement the agency preferred reclamation plan in the Final EIS. In addition, consultation between Fort Belknap, BLM and DEQ have identified additional reclamation alternatives which should be considered for implementation because they are either more cost effective, more protective of