

is hereby given for a Special Committee 192 meeting to be held July 18, 2000, starting at 9:00 a.m. The meeting will be held at RTCA, Inc., 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036.

The agenda will be as follows: (1) Welcome and Introductory Remarks; (2) Review/Approval of Meeting of Previous Plenary Minutes; (3) Discuss Document Comment Form on Working Group 3 (User Recommendations on FAA Order 7400.2—Procedures for Handling Airspace Matters) Product and Reach Final Product Approval Consensus; (4) Discuss Document Comment Form on Working Group 2 (Special-Use Airspace in National Airspace Redesign) Product and Reach Final Product Approval Consensus; (5) Discuss Document Comment Form on Working Group 1 (High Altitude Procedures for Handling Airspace Matters) Product and Reach Final Product Approval Consensus; (6) Date and location of Next Meeting; and (7) Closing.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20036; (202) 833-9339 (phone), (202) 833-9434 (fax), or <http://www.rtca.org> (web site). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 3, 2000.

**Janice L. Peters,**

*Designated Official.*

[FR Doc. 00-17381 Filed 7-7-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Okaloosa Regional Airport, Eglin AFB, Valparaiso, FL

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Okaloosa Regional Airport, Eglin AFB, under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and

Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before August 9, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Suite 400, Orlando, FL 32822-5024.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Jerry Sealy, Airport Director of the Okaloosa Regional Airport, Eglin AFB at the following address: Okaloosa Regional Airport, State Road 85, Eglin AFB, FL 32542-1413.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Okaloosa County under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bud Jackman, Program Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Suite 400, Orlando, FL 32822-5024, (407) 812-6331, x22. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Okaloosa Regional Airport, Eglin AFB, under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).]

On June 30, 2000, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Okaloosa County, Florida was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 18, 2000.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

*PFC Application No.:* 00-01-C-00-VPS.

*Level of the proposed PFC:* \$3.00.

*Proposed charge effective date:*

December 1, 2000.  
*Proposed charge expiration date:* May 14, 2028.

*Total estimated net PFC revenue:* \$38,358,314.

*Brief description of proposed project(s):*

Project VPS001: Terminal Bldg. Renovation & Expansion  
Project VPS002: Terminal Aircraft Apron Expansion

Project VPS003: Widen Taxiway D-1  
Project VPS004: Construct Taxiway D-2

Project VPS005: Expand Terminal Access Roadway  
Project VPS006: PFC Program and Administration Costs

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Charter Operators, primarily military-related charters that (1) do not enplane or deplane passengers at the airport's main passenger terminal building and (2) enplane less than 500 passengers per year at the airport. This class of carriers represents less than 1% of total passengers enplaned annually as recorded on FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at Okaloosa County, Florida.

Issued in Orlando, Florida on June 30, 2000.

**John W. Reynolds, Jr.,**

*Acting Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, Southern Region.*

[FR Doc. 00-17380 Filed 7-7-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement: Hardy County, West Virginia

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that it is revising the original notice of intent published in the **Federal Register** on September 30, 1996 (Volume 61, Number 190, Pages 51135-51136). The original notice stated that an environmental impact statement would be prepared for a proposed Moorefield transportation Improvement Project in Hardy County, West Virginia. After further analysis, it has been determined there will be no significant environmental impacts and the appropriate NEPA document would be an environmental assessment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Henry E. Compton, Division Environmental Coordinator, Federal Highway Administration, West Virginia Division, Geary Plaza, Suite 200, 700

Washington Street East, Charleston, West Virginia, 25301, Telephone: (304) 347-5268

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In lieu of preparation of an environmental impact statement, the FHWA, in cooperation with the West Virginia Division of Highways (WVDOH), will prepare an environmental assessment for the proposed Moorefield Transportation Improvement Project. The project is proposed to relieve traffic congestion associated with heavily industrialized areas within and surrounding the downtown area of Moorefield. Alternatives under consideration include (1) taking no action; (2) improve the existing highway system by constructing a four lane, limited access highway on new location.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have expressed or are known to have an interest in this proposal. An additional public meeting will be held in Moorefield when appropriate. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meeting. An environmental assessment will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public meeting.

To ensure the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action or the modification of environmental document type should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: June 30, 2000.

**Henry E. Compton,**

*Environmental Coordinator, Charleston, West Virginia.*

[FR Doc. 00-17369 Filed 7-7-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-290 (Sub-No. 202X)]

#### Norfolk Southern Railway Company— Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Mecklenburg County, NC

Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances* to discontinue service over a 1.1-mile line of railroad under lease with the North Carolina Railroad Company (NCRC) between Station 11110+45 and Station 11158+29, in Charlotte, Mecklenburg County, NC. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 28209 and 28227.<sup>1</sup>

NS has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic on the line can be rerouted over other lines; (3) no complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8

<sup>1</sup>The lease was executed in 1895 by NCRR and Southern Railway Company (NS's predecessor). See *Norfolk Southern Railway Company and Atlantic and East Carolina Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—North Carolina Railroad Company*, Finance Docket No. 32820 (ICC served Dec. 22, 1995).

Through a transaction that was the subject of a notice of exemption, The City of Charlotte, North Carolina (City) proposed to acquire from the North Carolina Railroad Company a 1.1-mile line of railroad. The City simultaneously filed a motion to dismiss the notice of exemption. The City purchased the 1.1-mile line of railroad and the notice of exemption was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See *City of Charlotte, North Carolina—Acquisition Exemption—Certain Asset of the North Carolina Railroad Company*, STB Finance Docket No. 33529 (STB served Dec. 29, 1997, and Feb. 24, 1998).

A Board staff member consulted with NS's representative concerning the mileage stated in its verified notice. On June 23, 2000, NS informed the Board that it is its view that the line conveyed to the City is the same line for which the discontinuance authority is being requested and that, recognizing the possibility that approximations might have been used in the past, track realignments might have changed the mileage, or that an outdated reference might have been used, while it cannot account for the length of the line stated in its notice, it does not object to describing the line as 1.1 miles in length, instead of 0.91-mile in length, as stated in its verified notice.

(historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on August 9, 2000,<sup>2</sup> unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,<sup>3</sup> and formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),<sup>4</sup> must be filed by July 20, 2000. Petitions to reopen must be filed by July 31, 2000, with: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to applicant's representative: James R. Paschall, General Attorney, Norfolk Southern Corporation, Three Commercial Place, Norfolk, VA 23510-2191.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "WWW.STB.DOT.GOV."

Decided: June 29, 2000.

By the Board, David M. Konschnick,  
Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Vernon A. Williams,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-17241 Filed 7-7-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-00-P**

<sup>2</sup>Because this is a discontinuance proceeding and not an abandonment, trail use/rail banking and public use conditions are not appropriate. Likewise, no environmental or historic documentation is required under 49 CFR 1105.6(b)(3) and (c)(5).

<sup>3</sup>The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See *Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>4</sup>Each offer of financial assistance must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1000. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).