

establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudice EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved, and vice versa.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: June 26, 2000.

Rebecca W. Hanmer,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 00-17191 Filed 7-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6608-8]

Environmental Impact Statement; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 26, 2000 Through June 30, 2000.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000219, Final EIS, FHW, MO, MO-50/West-Central Corridor Location Study. Transportation Improvements, Sedalia to St. Martins, Pettis, Cooper, Morgan and Moniteau and Cole Counties, MO, *Due:* August 07, 2000, *Contact:* Don Neumann (573) 636-7104.

EIS No. 000220, Final EIS, COE, ID, OH, KY, John T. Myers and Greenup Lock Improvements, To Alleviate Commercial Navigation Traffic Congestion, Ohio River Mainstem Systems Study, (ORMSS), Interim Feasibility Report, Indiana, Kentucky and Ohio, *Due:* August 07, 2000, *Contact:* Veronica Rife (502) 625-7034.

EIS No. 000221, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Jack Morrow Hills Coordinated Activity Plan, Implementation, Rock Springs, Portions of Sweetwater, Fremont and Sublette Counties, WY, *Due:* October 05, 2000, *Contact:* Renee Dana (307) 352-0256.

EIS No. 000222, Final EIS, NPS, CA, Merced Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Yosemite National Park and the EL Portal Administrative Site, Tuolumne, Merced, Mono, Mariposa and Madera Counties, CA, *Due:* August 07, 2000, *Contact:* Alan Schmierer (415) 427-1441.

EIS No. 000223, Draft EIS, COE, CA, Murrieta Creek Flood Control and Protection, Implementation, Riverside County, CA, *Due:* August 21, 2000, *Contact:* Timothy Smith (213) 452-3854.

EIS No. 000224, Final EIS, TVA, TN, Tim Ford Reservoir Land Management and Disposition Plan Implementation, Tim Ford Reservoir, Franklin and Moore Counties, TN, *Due:* August 07, 2000, *Contact:* Helen Rucker (256) 386-3435.

EIS No. 000225, Draft EIS, COE, CA, Rancho Palos Verdes Restoration Project, Implementation, City of Rancho Palos Verdes, Los Angeles County, CA, *Due:* August 21, 2000, *Contact:* Mr. Rey Farve (213) 452-3864.

EIS No. 000226, Final EIS, TVA, TN, Tellico Reservoir Land Management Plan, Implementation of Seven Mainstream and Two Tributary Reservoirs, Blount, Loudon and Monroe, Tn, *Due:* August 07, 2000, *Contact:* Cheryl Ward (865) 632-1531.

EIS No. 000227, Final EIS, TVA, MS, Union County Multipurpose Reservoir/Other Water Supply Alternatives Project, To Provide an Adequate and Reliable Water Supply, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, City of New Alban, Union County, MS, *Due:* August 07, 2000, *Contact:* Charles P. Nicholson (865) 632-3582.

EIS No. 000228, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Anacapa Island Restoration Project, Implementation Implementation, Channel Islands National Park, Ventura County, CA, *Due:* September 05, 2000, *Contact:* Alan Schmierer (415) 427-1441.

EIS No. 000229, Final EIS, FHW, WV, King Coal Highway Project Construction, from the vicinity of Williamson to the vicinity of Bluefield, COE Section 404 Permit, Mango, McDowell Mercer, and Wyoming Counties, WV, *Due:* August 14, 2000, *Contact:* Thomas J. Smith (304) 347-5928.

EIS No. 000230, Draft Supplement, NOA, Tortugas Marine Reserves Fishery Management Plans Establishment, in Seven Fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico, *Due:* August 21, 2000, *Contact:* James Weaver (727)570-5305.

Amendment Notices

EIS No. 200206, Final EIS, NCP, VA, DC, MD, Adoption—Woodrow Wilson Bridge Replacement, I-95/I-495 From West of Telegraph Road to East of MD Routes 210, City of Alexandria and Fairfax County, VA; Prince George's County, MD and DC, *Due:* July 31, 2000, *Contact:* Eugene Keller (202) 482-7251.

U.S. National Capital Planning Commission (NCP) has adopted certain portion of the Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration FEIS #970356 filed 9-5-97 and FSEIS #000127 filed 4-20-00. NCP was not a Cooperating Agency for the above final EIS. Recirculation of the document Section 1506.3(b) of the Council is necessary under on Environmental Quality Regulations.

Dated: July 3, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-17271 Filed 7-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6608-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L36113-WA Rating EC2, Upper Charley Subwatershed Ecosystem Restoration Projects, Implementation, Pomeroy Ranger District, Umatilla National Forest, Garfield County, WA.

Umatilla National Forest, Garfield County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with potential adverse impacts to fish and wildlife populations, including big game and air quality within and surrounding the analysis area. The final EIS should supply information on existing air quality and an analysis of projected air quality impacts.

ERP No. D-AFS-L61223-OR Rating EC2, Mt. Ashland Ski Area Expansion, Implementation, Ashland Ranger District, Rogue River National Forest and Scott River Ranger District, Klamath National Forest, Jackson County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns with the purpose and need, range of alternatives and potential impacts to water quality, especially Riparian Reserves, and sensitive plants. EPA also commented on the mitigation and monitoring plans, skier demand, and transportation options.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65350-ID Rating EC2, JJ (Jerry Johnson) Ecosystem Restoration Project, Ranger District (Powell), Idaho County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential adverse impacts of concentrated tree removal, especially old growth, and disturbing highly unstable terrain increasing the risk of sediment delivery to streams. EPA requests that the final EIS further address the ecological impacts, especially cumulative effects, monitoring and CWA Section 303(d) listed waters.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65355-ID Rating EC2 *Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns with entry into the Sheep Gulch Roadless Area and potential adverse impacts to water quality, fish and wildlife. The final EIS should clarify how the proposed road treatments will restore soils and hydrologic function, as well as impacts from OHVs and information on landslide events in the project area.

ERP No. D-BLM-L65347-00 Rating EC2, Cascade Siskiyou Ecological Emphasis Area Management Plan, To Maintain, Protect, Restore or Enhance the Ecological Processes, Planning Area for Designation as a National Monument by the President, OR and CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about potential adverse impacts from grazing and OHV use on water quality, soils, sensitive plants and wildlife, especially in non-designated areas.

ERP No. D-SFW-K64019-NV Rating EC2, Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge Complex Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Boundary Revision, Implementation, Churchill and Washoe Counties, NV.

Summary: While EPA supported the preferred alternative, the preferred alternative did not express concerns with the water quality of inflows and management options to help ensure that water entering the wetlands is of sufficient quality to meet water quality standards and protect beneficial uses. EPA urged the Service to commit to implementation of mitigation measures, monitoring, and adaptive management.

ERP No. DS-AFS-J65268-CO Rating EO2, Legislative—DSEIS—North Fork of the South Platte Rivers Wild and Scenic River Study for the Designation or Non-Designation into the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Pike and San Isabel National Forests, Comanche and Cimarron National Grasslands, Douglas, Jefferson, Park and Teller Counties, Co.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with the selection of the “not suitable” alternative, lack of implementing documents, and absence of protection for recognized attributes of the North Fork.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K65345-CA Pendola Fire Restoration Project, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest, Downieville Ranger District, Yuba County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-L65331-WA Whitman Mission National Historic Site, General Management Plan, Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Walla Walla County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections with the proposed action.

ERP No. F-SFW-K05056-CA High Desert Power Project, Construction and Operation, A Combined-Cycle Natural Gas-Fueled Electrical Generation Power Plant, Approval of Incidental Taking Authorization under Sections 7 and 10 of the Federal ESA, San Bernardino County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: July 3, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities

[FR Doc. 00-17272 Filed 7-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6731-1]

Technical Workshop on Issues Associated With Considering Developmental Changes in Behavior and Anatomy When Assessing Exposure to Children

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing that ERG, a contractor to EPA's Risk Assessment Forum, is organizing, convening, and conducting a workshop for external scientific peer consultation on issues related to the assessment of childhood exposure. The workshop is being held to discuss issues associated with how to consider important developmental changes in behavior and anatomy when assessing the exposure of children to environmental contaminants.

DATES: The workshop will be held from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Wednesday, July 26, 2000 and from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. on Thursday, July 27, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held at the Holiday Inn on the Hill, 415 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. Eastern Research Group, Inc., an EPA contractor, will convene and facilitate the workshop. To register to attend the workshop as an observer, contact Eastern Research Group, Inc., Tel: (781) 674-7374, or visit their HomePage at <http://www.erg.com/conf/epa.htm> by July 19, 2000. Space is limited so please register early.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information concerning the workshop on age groups for assessing exposure to children please contact Steven Knott, U.S. EPA Office of Research and Development (8601-D), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Telephone (202) 564-3359.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 1993 National Academy of Sciences (NAS) report “Pesticides in the Diets of Infants and Children” highlights important differences between children and adults with respect to risks posed by pesticides. Some of the principles in the NAS report provided the foundation for the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) and the President's Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risk. FQPA requires the consideration of aggregate exposure to children when establishing pesticide tolerances (legal limits for residues in food). Executive Order 13045 broadens