

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined: (1) To extend the deadline for determining whether to review the final initial determination (ID) on violation by three weeks, or until August 28, 2000, and (2) to extend the target date for completing the investigation by three weeks, or until October 16, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shara L. Aranoff, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3090, e-mail saranoff@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this trademark-based investigation on September 16, 1999, based on a complaint filed by Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. alleging violations of section 337 by reason of (a) infringement of 11 federally registered U.S. trademarks; (b) unfair competition under the Lanham Act; (c) improper importation of products under the Lanham Act; and (d) dilution of the registered trademarks.

On June 22, 2000, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued her final ID on the merits in this investigation, finding a violation of section 337. The ALJ also issued her recommended determination on remedy and bonding. The Commission has determined to extend its deadline for determining whether to review the final ID from August 7, 2000, to August 28, 2000, and to extend the target date for completion of the investigation from September 25, 2000, to October 16, 2000.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and rules 210.42(h)(2) and 210.51(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)(2) and 210.51(a)).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-17079 Filed 7-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-464 (Review)]

Sparklers From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sparklers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 1999 (64 FR 35689) and determined on October 1, 1999 that it would conduct a full review (64 FR 55960, October 15, 1999). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on February 16, 2000 (65 FR 7892). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 11, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on July 10, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3317 (July 2000), entitled Sparklers from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-464 (Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 28, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-17077 Filed 7-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-678-679 and 681-682 (Review)]

Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined that these grouped reviews are extraordinarily complicated and has decided to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C.

1675(c)(5)(B), (C)(iv). For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202-205-3187), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On April 6, 2000, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (65 FR 20834, April 18, 2000). A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's