

On January 3, 2000, we received a complete substantive response from domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Although we received an entry of appearance from AST in the Italian review, AST did not submit a substantive response. Therefore, without a substantive response from respondent interested parties in the Italian and Japanese reviews, the Department, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), determined to conduct expedited, 120-day reviews of these orders. On February 9, 2000, we received domestic interested parties' comments in support of the Department's decision to conduct expedited reviews of the antidumping duty orders on GOES from Italy and Japan (see February 9, 2000, domestic interested parties' comments on adequacy at 3).

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (i.e., an order in effect on January 1, 1995). These reviews concern transition orders within the meaning of section 751(c)(6)(C)(ii) of the Act. Accordingly, on April 6, 2000, the Department determined that the sunset reviews of GOES from Italy and Japan are extraordinarily complicated, and extended the time limit for completion of the final results of these reviews until not later than June 28, 2000 (65 FR 18058), in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the substantive responses by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated June 28, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were these orders revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/](http://www.ita.doc.gov/)

import\_admin/records/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Final Results of Reviews**

As a result of these reviews, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on GOES from Italy and Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/exporters	Margin (percent)
<b>Italy:</b>	
ILVA S.p.A .....	60.79
Acciai Speciali Terni, S.r.l	60.79
All Others .....	60.79
<b>Japan:</b>	
Kawasaki Steel Corpora- tion .....	31.08
Nippon Steel Corporation ..	31.08
All Others .....	31.08

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 22, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-16951 Filed 7-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-588-703]

**Internal Combustion Forklift Trucks From Japan; Corrected Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review and Continuation of Antidumping Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Correction to Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review and Continuation of Antidumping Order: Internal Combustion Forklift Trucks from Japan.

**SUMMARY:** On August 5, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order on internal combustion forklift trucks from Japan (64 FR 30962). On June 2, 2000, the Department published the notice of continuation of this order (65 FR 35323). Subsequent to the publication of these notices, we identified an inadvertent error in the "Scope" section of the notices. Therefore, we are correcting and clarifying these inadvertent errors.

The error lies in the first sentence of the scope section of each notice: "The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is internal combustion industrial forklift trucks, with a lifting capacity of 2,000 to 5,000 pounds, from Japan." This sentence should be replaced with: "The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is internal combustion industrial forklift trucks, with a lifting capacity of 2,000 to 15,000 pounds, from Japan."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 17, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Kathryn B. McCormick or James Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1930 and (202) 482-3330, respectively.

This correction is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 27, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-16950 Filed 7-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-826]

**Paper Clips From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of expedited sunset review: paper clips from the People's Republic of China

**SUMMARY:** On December 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the notice of initiation of sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) (64 FR 67247), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct an expedited sunset review. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled Final Results of the Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 5, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eun W. Cho or James Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1698 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**The Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (“URAA”). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department’s regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department’s conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (Sunset Policy Bulletin).

**Background**

On December 1, 1999, the Department published the notice of initiation of sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from the PRC (64 FR 67247). We invited parties to comment. On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to

conduct an expedited sunset review. The Department is conducting this sunset review in accordance with sections 751 and 752 of the Act.

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (*i.e.*, an order in effect on January 1, 1995). This review concerns a transition order within the meaning of section 751(c)(6)(C)(ii) of the Act. Therefore, on April 6, 2000, the Department determined that the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from the PRC is extraordinarily complicated and extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this review until not later than June 28, 2000, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.<sup>1</sup>

**Scope of Review**

The products covered by this order are certain paper clips, wholly of wire of base metal, whether or not galvanized, whether or not plated with nickel or other base metal (*e.g.*, copper), with a wire diameter between 0.025 inches and 0.075 inches (0.64 to 1.91 millimeters), regardless of physical configuration, except as specifically excluded. The products subject to this order may have rectangular or ring-like shape and include, but are not limited to, clips commercially referred to as No. 1 clips, No. 3 clips, Jumbo or Giant clips, Gem clips, Frictioned clips, Perfect Gems, Marcel Gems, Universal clips, Nifty clips, Peerless clips, Ring clips, and Glide-On clips.

Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are plastic and vinyl covered paper clips, butterfly clips, binder clips, or other paper fasteners that are not made wholly of wire of base metal and are covered under a separate subheading of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”).

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheading 8305.90.3010 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in substantive responses by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memo”) from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration,

to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated June 28, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in B-099, the Central Records Unit, of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at [ia.doc.gov/frn](http://ia.doc.gov/frn). The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Lansheng .....	57.64
Zhejiang Light .....	46.01
Zhejiang Machinery .....	60.70
PRC-wide .....	126.94

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department’s regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 28, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-16953 Filed 7-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>1</sup> See Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Five-Year Reviews, 65 FR 18058 (April 6, 2000).