On January 3, 2000, we received a complete substantive response from domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the Sunset Regulations under 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Although we received an entry of appearance from AST in the Italian review, AST did not submit a substantive response. Therefore, without a substantive response from respondent interested parties in the Italian and Japanese reviews, the Department, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), determined to conduct expedited, 120-day reviews of these orders. On February 9, 2000, we received domestic interested parties’ comments in support of the Department’s decision to conduct expedited reviews of the antidumping duty orders on GOES from Italy and Japan (see February 9, 2000, domestic interested parties’ comments on adequacy at 3).

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(V) of the Act, the Department may, in its review, consider the comments in the Italian and Japanese reviews, the Department’s decision to conduct expedited, 120-day reviews of these orders. On February 9, 2000, we received domestic interested parties’ comments in support of the Department’s decision to conduct expedited reviews of the antidumping duty orders on GOES from Italy and Japan (see February 9, 2000, domestic interested parties’ comments on adequacy at 3).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the substantive responses by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memo”) from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated June 28, 2000 (65 FR 18058), in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department’s regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Troy H. Cribb,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Kathryn B. McCormick or James Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1930 and (202) 482-3330, respectively.

This correction is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Troy H. Cribb,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
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International Trade Administration

[A–588–703]

Internal Combustion Forklift Trucks From Japan; Corrected Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review and Continuation of Antidumping Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Correction to Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review and Continuation of Antidumping Order: Internal Combustion Forklift Trucks from Japan.

SUMMARY: On August 5, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published in the Federal Register the final results of the expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order on internal combustion forklift trucks from Japan (64 FR 30962). On June 2, 2000, the Department published the notice of continuation of this order (65 FR 35323). Subsequent to the publication of these notices, we identified an inadvertent error in the “Scope” section of the notices. Therefore, we are correcting and clarifying these inadvertent errors.

The error lies in the first sentence of the Scope section of each notice: “The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is internal combustion industrial forklift trucks, with a lifting capacity of 2,000 to 5,000 pounds, from Japan.” This sentence should be replaced with: “The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order is internal combustion industrial forklift trucks, with a lifting capacity of 2,000 to 15,000 pounds, from Japan.”

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 17, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–826]

Paper Clips From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of expedited sunset review: paper clips from the People’s Republic of China.