

relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, and should refer to *United States v. City of New York, et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-5-2-106471.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, and at the Region II Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, New York, New York, 10007. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check payable to the Consent Decree Library in the amount of \$5.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs).

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 00-16605 Filed 6-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Technology Institute (“ATI”): Forging Defense Manufacturing Consortium (“FDMC”)

Notice is hereby given that, on April 10, 2000, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Advanced Technology Institute (“ATI”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are The Advanced Technology Institute, North Charleston, SC; and The Forging Industry Association, Cleveland, OH. The nature and objectives of the venture are to plan, prioritize and implement key research and development initiatives that will provide increased technology and market development within the manufacturing sector and increased US

competitiveness in the global marketplace.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00-16607 Filed 6-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Warheads and Energetics Consortium (“NWECC”)

Notice is hereby given that, on May 2, 2000, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), National Warheads and Energetics Consortium (“NWECC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) The identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Advanced Technology & Research Corporation, Burtonsville, MD; Aerojet-General Corporation, Sacramento, CA; Allied Signal Federal Manufacturing & Technologies, Kansas City, MO; Armtec Defense Products Company, Coachella, CA; Alliant Missile Products Co LLC, Hopkins, MN; American Ordnance LLC, Iowa Army Ammunition Plant, Middletown, IA; Applied Ordnance Technology, Inc., Waldorf, MD; Business Plus Corporation, Denville, NJ; Battelle, Columbus, OH; Bulova Technologies LLC, Lancaster, PA; CFD Research Corporation, Huntsville, AL; Combustion Propulsion and Ballistic Technology Corporation, State College, PA; Climax Molybdenum Corp., Tempe, AZ; DE Technologies, Inc., King of Prussia, PA; Day & Zimmerman, Inc., Philadelphia, PA; Eaton Associates, LaPorte, IN; Energetic Materials Research and Testing Center, Socorro, NM; Ensign-Bickford Company, Simsbury, CT; Enig Associates, Inc., Silver Spring, MD; Flurochem Inc., Azusa, CA; GEO-CENTERS, Inc., Newton Centre, MA; General Dynamics Armament Systems, Burlington, VT; General Sciences, Inc., Souderton, PA; Highly Filled Materials Institute, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ; KVA Advanced

Technologies, Inc., Carson City, NV; Loki Inc., Rolla, MO; Marconi Aerospace Defense Systems, Inc., Austin, TX; Material Processing & Research, Inc., Hoboken, NJ; M. Bruns Corporation, Alexandria, VA; Mitretek Systems, Inc., McLean, VA; New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ; University of Maryland, Department of Mechanical Engineering, College Park, MD; Powdermet, Inc., Sun Valley, CA; Primex Technologies, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL; The Pennsylvania State Univ., Office of Sponsored Programs, University Park, PA; Quantic Industries, Inc., San Carlos, CA; Raytheon Systems Company, Tewksbury, MA; RTF Industries, Marshall, TX; SRI International, Menlo Park, CA; STREASAU Laboratory Inc., Spooner, WI; Talley Defense Systems, Inc., Mesa, AZ; Tanner Research, Inc., Pasadena, CA; Textron Systems Corp., Wilmington, MA; Thermo Power Corp., Waltham, MA; Thiokol Propulsion Group, Brigham City, UT; University of Denver (Colorado Seminary), Denver, CO; Office of Research Services, Rolla, MO; United Defense, LP, Armament Services Division, Minneapolis, MN; and Vertay Technology, Inc., East Amherst, NY. The nature and objectives of the venture are to conduct research and development activities in the area of warheads and energetics technology; to enter into a Section 845 “Other Transactions” Agreement with the US Army for the funding of certain research and development to be conducted, in partnership with the Army and other NWECC Members, for the US Army Warheads and Energetics Technology Center (“WETC”) in the area of warheads and energetics technology; to develop, maintain, and execute a flexible multi-year master research plan in the area of warheads and energetics technology that clearly defines performance goals and maximizes the collective capabilities toward attainment of sound technical solutions consistent with these goals; to provide a unified and coordinated message to the U.S. Government’s legislative branch and the Department of Defense community as to the strategically important role warheads and energetics technologies will play in current and future weapons systems development; and to define programs and obtain programs and obtain program funding that is focused on the development, demonstration and transition of key technologies that will result in current

weapons system improvements or the fielding of new systems.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00-16606 Filed 6-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—VSI Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on April 18, 2000, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), VSI Alliance has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specific circumstances. Specifically, Adaptive Silicon, Inc., Los Gatos, CA; Analog Devices, Inc., Greensboro, NC; C Level Design, San Jose, CA; Chronology Corp., Redmond, WA; Circuti Semantics, Inc., San Jose, CA; Experience First, Inc., San Jose, CA; Dominique Houzet (individual member), Toulouse, France; Improv Systems, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; Jennic Ltd., Sheffield, United Kingdom; KITAL—Korean Institute of Technology and the Law, Seoul, Republic of Korea; MAGIMA, Inc., Monterey Park, CA; J. Sukarno Mertoguno (individual member), San Jose, CA; Pittsburgh Digital Greenhouse, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA; Wolfram Putzke-Roming (individual member), Oldenburg, Germany; Silicon Automation Systems Limited, Bangalore, India; Simulation Magic, Inc., Campbell, CA; SIP Consortium in Taiwan, Taiwan; Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France; and Mason Weems (individual member), Austin, TX have been added as parties to this venture. Also, ASIC Alliance Corp., Woburn, MA; ASPEC, Sunnyvale, CA; Boulder Creek Corp., Santa Cruz, CA; Cirrus Logic, Inc., Fremont, CA; Gigalex Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan; ICL High Performance Systems, Manchester, United Kingdom; Innovative Semi, Mountain View, CA; Integrated Technology Express, USA, Santa Clara, CA; iReady Corporation, Santa Clara, CA; Isotron Corp. (formerly Desideratum Company), Seattle, WA; Kawasaki Steel Corp., Chiba, Japan; LEDA S.A., Meylan,

France; LEDA Systems, Inc., Plano, TX; Neo Linear, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA; NKK Corp., Kanagawa, Japan; Real 3D, Orlando, FL; ROHM Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan; Silicon Systems Limited, Dublin, Ireland; Smartech Oy, Tampere, Finland; SynTest Technologies, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; TAEUS, Colorado Springs, CO; and Tundra Semiconductor Corp., Kanata, Ontario, Canada have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and VSI Alliance intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 29, 1996, VSI Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 1997 (62 FR 9812).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 27, 2000. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 00-16608 Filed 6-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Implementation of Section 104 of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act: Capacity Requirements for Paging (Traditional, Advanced Messaging, and Ancillary Services), Mobile Satellite System, and Analog and Digital Specialized Mobile Radio

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation, DOJ.

ACTION: Further notice of inquiry.

SUMMARY: The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) mandates that the Attorney General provide capacity requirements for the actual and maximum number of interceptions (of both call content and call-identifying information) that telecommunications carriers may be required to accommodate in support of law enforcement's electronic surveillance needs. On December 15, 1998, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) released a Notice of Inquiry (NOI) entitled "Capacity Requirements for Telecommunications

Services Other Than Local Exchange Services, Cellular Services, and Broadband PCS" (63 FR 70160, December 18, 1998) to obtain public comment on the FBI's efforts to establish law enforcement's capacity requirements for services other than local exchange services, cellular, and broadband personal communications services (PCS). The FBI received comments from numerous telecommunications carriers and telecommunications industry associations. After careful consideration of the record, the FBI has decided to use this Further Notice of Inquiry (FNOI) to seek additional input on the various issues related to establishing a Notice of Capacity for only the following telecommunications services at this time: paging (including traditional (one-way paging), advanced messaging (*e.g.*, two-way paging and roaming), and ancillary services), mobile satellite system (MSS), and analog specialized mobile radio (SMR) and digital SMR (*e.g.*, enhanced specialized mobile radio (ESMR)).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 29, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, CALEA Implementation Section, Attention: Further Notice of Inquiry, 14800 Conference Center Drive, Suite 300, Chantilly, VA 20151.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Program Manager for Capacity, CALEA Implementation Section, 703-814-4836 or 800-551-0336.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. Capacity Notice Mandate

The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA) became law on October 25, 1994.¹ It was enacted to preserve law enforcement's ability to access call content and call-identifying information, pursuant to lawful authorization, notwithstanding technological advances in the provision of communications services. Section 104(a)(1) of CALEA outlines the procedure by which the Attorney General is obligated to publish notices of the actual and maximum capacity requirements for simultaneous electronic surveillance. After "notice and comment" and "consulting with State and local law enforcement agencies, telecommunications carriers, providers of telecommunications support services, and manufacturers of telecommunications equipment," the

¹ Pub. L. 103-414, 108 Stat. 4279 (1994) (Title I codified at 47 U.S.C. 1001-1010).