

Measures To Minimize Harm

Numerous practical mitigation measures to minimize or avoid potential adverse effects of the Selected Action are identified. As a result of public collaboration in developing the Fort Baker Plan, new measures were developed and safeguards initially noted in the Draft EIS were refined to be more stringent in the Final EIS. One of the new stipulations relates to the size of the proposed retreat and conference center—in response to public concern about the 350 room maximum size evaluated, the NPS is now committed to working with the public in soliciting the smallest possible, economically viable retreat and conference center proposal that fulfills Plan objectives. Additional mitigations recommended by the public or other agencies, or developed by the NPS in response to issues of local concern, were added in the Final EIS. In total, more than 70 mitigation measures have been included.

Moreover, the NPS is committed to seeking and implementing innovative approaches to reduce long-term dependence on automobile use at Fort Baker, to working cooperatively with other agencies to seek regional solutions to transportation challenges in the areas surrounding Fort Baker, and to engaging in studies to reduce or eliminate parking and uncontrolled automobile traffic within Fort Baker. The NPS is specifically committed to working with the City of Sausalito, the Marin County Congestion Management Agency, the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District, Caltrans, and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

Copy of Complete Decision Available

The synopsis provided above addresses only some of the considerations made in selecting, as the final Fort Baker Plan, the alternative identified as the "Proposed Action" in the Draft and Final EIS. Effecting the Fort Baker Plan will not impair park resources or values. Indeed, acting upon this Plan will significantly enhance the site's natural and cultural resources. A copy of the Record of Decision may be requested from the Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Ft. Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123 (or may be obtained via www.nps.gov/goga). The Superintendent is responsible for plan implementation.

Dated: June 15, 2000.

James R. Shevock,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 00-15730 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332-414]

Agency Form Submitted for OMB Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: In accordance with the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Commission has submitted a request for review and clearance of a questionnaire to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Commission has requested OMB approval of this submission by July 5, 2000.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 16, 2000.

PURPOSE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION:

The questionnaire is for use by the Commission in connection with investigation No. 332-414, Competitive Assessment of the U.S. Large Civil Aircraft Aerostructures Industry, instituted under the authority of section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)). This investigation was requested by the House Committee on Ways and Means (the Committee). The Commission expects to deliver the results of its investigation to the Committee by June 13, 2001.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL:

- (1) *Number of forms submitted:* 1.
- (2) *Title of form:* U.S. Producers Questionnaire—Competitive Assessment of the U.S. Large Civil Aircraft Aerostructures Industry.
- (3) *Type of request:* new.
- (4) *Frequency of use:* single data gathering (scheduled for 2000).
- (5) *Description of respondents:* U.S. firms that produce aerostructures.
- (6) *Estimated number of respondents:* 12.
- (7) *Estimated total number of hours to complete the forms:* 240.
- (8) Information obtained from the form that qualifies as confidential business information will be so treated by the Commission and not disclosed in a manner that would reveal the individual operations of a firm.

Additional Information or Comment

Copies of the form and supporting documents may be obtained from Peder Andersen (USITC, telephone no. (202) 205-3388). Comments about the proposal should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Room 10102 (Docket Library), Washington, DC 20503, ATTENTION: Docket Librarian. All comments should be specific, indicating which part of the

questionnaire is objectionable, describing the concern in detail, and including specific suggested revisions or language changes. Copies of any comments should be provided to Robert Rogowsky, Director, Office of Operations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, who is the Commission's designated Senior Official under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting our TDD terminal (telephone no. 202-205-1810). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

Issued: June 16, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-15692 Filed 6-21-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. TA-201-72]

Extruded Rubber Thread

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution and scheduling of an investigation under section 202 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2252) (the Act).

SUMMARY: Following receipt of a petition properly filed on June 5, 2000, on behalf of North American Rubber Thread, Fall River, MA, the Commission instituted investigation No. TA-201-72 under section 202 of the Act to determine whether extruded rubber thread is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article.¹

For further information concerning the conduct of this investigation, hearing procedures, and rules of general

¹ For purposes of this investigation, extruded rubber thread is defined as vulcanized rubber thread, obtained by extrusion of stable or concentrated natural rubber latex of any cross sectional shape, measuring from 0.18 mm (which is 0.007 inch or 140 gauge) to 1.42 mm (which is 0.056 inch or 18 gauge) in diameter. Such extruded rubber thread is classified in heading 4007.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). Although the HTS category is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.