

Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of June 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-15401 Filed 6-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1103]

Termination of Foreign-Trade SubZone 121B Rensselaer, New York

Pursuant to the authority granted in the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the Foreign-Trade Zones Board Regulations (15 CFR Part 400), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board has adopted the following order:

Whereas, on December 12, 1995, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board issued a grant of authority to the Capital District Regional Planning Commission, authorizing the establishment of Foreign-Trade Subzone 121B at the BASF Corporation plant in Rensselaer, New York (Board Order 794, 61 FR 1322, 1/19/96);

Whereas, the Commission advised the Board on August 31, 1999 (FTZ Docket 13-2000), that zone procedures were no longer needed at the facility and requested voluntary termination of Subzone 121B;

Whereas, the request has been reviewed by the FTZ Staff and the Customs Service, and approval has been recommended;

Now, therefore, the Foreign-Trade Zones Board terminates the subzone status of Subzone No. 121B, effective this date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of June, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-15404 Filed 6-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1102]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 8 Toledo, OH

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 8 (Toledo, Ohio), submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 8 to include an additional site in Fremont, Ohio (Site 3), within the Toledo/Sandusky Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 40-99; filed 8/5/99);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 44891, 8/18/99) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 8 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of June 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-15403 Filed 6-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-852]

Structural Steel Beams from Japan: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 19, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Juanita H. Chen or Robert A. Bolling, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, at (202) 482-0409, or (202) 482-3434, respectively.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 1, 1998).

Final Determination

On April 18, 2000, the Department determined that structural steel beams from Japan are being, or likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 735(a) of the Act. See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Structural Steel Beams from Japan, 65 FR 24182 (April 25, 2000).

Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the products covered are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hot-or cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated, or clad. These products ("Structural Steel Beams") include, but are not limited to, wide-flange beams ("W" shapes), bearing piles ("HP" shapes), standard beams ("S" or "I" shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this investigation unless otherwise excluded. The following products, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation:

- Structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7216.32.0000, 7216.33.0030, 7216.33.0060, 7216.33.0090, 7216.50.0000,

7216.61.0000, 7216.69.0000, 7216.91.0000, 7216.99.0000, 7228.70.3040, 7228.70.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Antidumping Duty Order

On June 9, 2000, the International Trade Commission ("Commission") notified the Department of its final determination pursuant to section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of subject merchandise from Japan. Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct Customs officers to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise for all relevant entries of structural steel beams from Japan. These antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of structural steel beams from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 11, 2000, the date on which the Department published its notice of preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**. See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Structural Steel Beams From Japan, 65 FR 6992 (February 11, 2000). On or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties, cash deposits for the subject merchandise equal to the estimated weighted-average antidumping duty margins as noted below. The "All Others" rate applies to all exporters of subject stainless steel sheet and strip in coils not specifically listed. The revised weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

| Exporter/manufacturer | Weighted-average Margin (in percent) |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Kawasaki Steel Corporation | 65.21 |
| Nippon Steel Corporation | 65.21 |
| NKK Corporation/TOA Steel Co., Ltd | 65.21 |
| Sumitomo Metals Industries, Ltd Tokyo Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd | 65.21 |
| Topy Industries, Limited | 65.21 |
| All Others | 31.98 |

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to structural steel beams from Japan. Interested parties may contact the Department's Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce building, for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: June 14, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-15520 Filed 6-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-856]

Notice of Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Synthetic Indigo From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 19, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger or Dinah McDougall, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations refer to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Amendment to the Final Determination

On May 3, 2000, the Department published its final determination that synthetic indigo from the People's Republic of China (PRC) is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. See *Synthetic Indigo from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 65 FR 25706,

May, 3, 2000) ("Final Determination"). On May 9, 2000, we received a timely submission from the petitioners, Buffalo Color Corporation and the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, alleging ministerial errors pertaining to the margin calculations in the Department's final determination.

After analyzing the submissions, we determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224, that ministerial errors were made in the margin calculations for the exporter Wonderful Chemical Industrial Ltd. ("Wonderful"). Specifically:

- In valuing the dispersing agent factor in the final determination calculation of normal value, the Department inadvertently applied the per-kilogram price to the per-metric-ton factor.

- The Department inadvertently omitted price corrections for certain sales made by Wonderful, which were identified at verification. For a detailed discussion of the ministerial error allegations and the Department's analysis, see Memorandum to Richard W. Moreland from the Team, dated May 25, 2000.

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final determination of the antidumping duty investigation of synthetic indigo from the PRC. The revised weighted-average dumping margins are listed in the "Antidumping Order" section below.

Scope of Order

The products subject to this investigation are the deep blue synthetic vat dye known as synthetic indigo and those of its derivatives designated commercially as "Vat Blue 1." Included are Vat Blue 1 (synthetic indigo), Color Index No. 73000, and its derivatives, pre-reduced indigo or indigo white (Color Index No. 73001) and solubilized indigo (Color Index No. 73002). The subject merchandise may be sold in any form (e.g., powder, granular, paste, liquid, or solution) and in any strength. Synthetic indigo and its derivatives subject to this investigation are currently classifiable under subheadings 3204.15.10.00, 3204.15.40.00 or 3204.15.80.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Antidumping Duty Order

On June 12, 2000, in accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) notified the Department that a U.S. industry is materially injured by reason