

concrete non-overflow section and gated spillway; (2) the 45-foot-high, 6,200-foot-long Salina dike; (3) a reservoir at a normal power pool elevation of 619 feet msl; (4) a powerhouse integral with the dam containing four generating units with a total installed capacity of 100 MW, and (5) other appurtenances.

m. Each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by May 31, 2003.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-15275 Filed 6-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-M**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6714-8]

### Information Collection Request for the State Source Water Assessment and Protection Programs 1997 Guidance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): The 1997 State Source Water Assessment and Protection Programs Guidance; EPA ICR #1816.01; OMB Control #2040-0197; expiration date August 31, 2000. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described in the supplementary information.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before August 15, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons may obtain a copy by requesting EPA ICR# 1816.01 from Edward Heath; US Environmental Protection Agency; Ariel Rios Building; 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.; MC 4606; Washington, DC 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward Heath (202) 260-9865; FAX (202) 401-3041; E-mail: [heath.edward@epa.gov](mailto:heath.edward@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Affected Entities:* Entities (hereinafter referred to as "States") potentially

affected by this action are the 50 States, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia.

*Title:* The 1997 State Source Water Assessment and Protection Programs Guidance; OMB Control #2040-0197; EPA ICR #1816.01; expiring 8/31/2000.

Section 1453 of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996 authorizes State Source Water Assessment Programs (SWAPs) to achieve or maintain compliance with SDWA requirements and to protect public health.

*Abstract:* Section 1453(a)(3) of the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996 required States to submit a Source Water Assessment Program within 18 months after the guidance was issued on August 6, 1997. These SWAP's describe the process by which a State delineates source water protection areas, conducts contamination source inventories and susceptibility determinations, and indicates whether or not it plans to implement a source water protection program. A State must develop a SWAP program with public participation, and release assessment results to the public.

Once a State program is approved by EPA, the State has two years to complete the source water assessment for the public water systems within their borders. Section 1453(a)(4) of the SDWA Amendments of 1996 allows a State to request an extension of up to 18 months to complete the assessments. The final phase of this ICR will focus on the years 2000-2003 of the SWAP program, including completing the assessments, and State reporting of data on the required assessments to EPA.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and,

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Burden Statement:* The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for

this collection of information is estimated to average 50,256 hours per State response.

*Estimated Number of Likely Respondents:* 52.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per year.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 2,613,349 hours.

*Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden:* \$82,031,139.00

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to implement the source water assessments; review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* States, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia.

Dated: June 2, 2000.

**Cynthia C. Dougherty,**

*Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.*

[FR Doc. 00-15300 Filed 6-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[CT-044-7171, FRL-6717-7]

### Adequacy Status of the VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> Budgets for Connecticut Submitted for Transportation Conformity Purposes as Part of Their Addenda to the Ozone Attainment Demonstrations for the Southwest Connecticut Severe Ozone Nonattainment Area and the Greater Connecticut Serious Ozone Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this document EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the 2007 budgets received from Connecticut on February 15, 2000 adequate for conformity purposes. This includes VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> motor vehicle emission budgets for the Southwest

Connecticut severe ozone nonattainment area and the Greater Connecticut serious ozone nonattainment area. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted SIPs cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, Connecticut can use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted SIP addenda for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** These budgets are effective July 3, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq> (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity"). You may also contact Jeff Butensky, Environmental Planner, One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (CAQ), Boston, MA 02114-2023; (617) 918-1665; [butensky.jeff@epa.gov](mailto:butensky.jeff@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Today's document publishes the Region's finding that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the Southwest Connecticut severe ozone nonattainment area and the Greater Connecticut serious ozone nonattainment area for 2007 for VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. This finding has also been announced on EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq> (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a

budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making and publishing our adequacy determination.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 1, 2000.

**Mindy S. Lubber,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA New England.*  
[FR Doc. 00-15296 Filed 6-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-U**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6608-3]

**Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 29, 2000 through June 02, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

**Draft EISs**

ERP No. RD-FRA-A53055-00 Rating EC2, Proposed Rule for the Use of Locomotive Horns at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings in the United States.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concern regarding the lack of information on funding the quiet zones and requested that more flexibility be provided to those communities that have existing quiet zones.

**Final EISs**

ERP No. F-UAF-E11046-FL Tyndall Air Force Base, Implementation, Proposed Conversion of Two F-15 Fighter Squadrons to F-22 Fighter Squadrons, FL.

*Summary:* EPA believes that the proposed action will not pose significant and/or long-term adverse environmental consequences.

Dated: June 13, 2000.

**Ken Mittelholtz,**

*Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-15302 Filed 6-15-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-U**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6608-2]

**Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or [www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa) Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed June 05, 2000 through June 09, 2000 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 000178, DRAFT EIS, COE, NE, Sand Creek Watershed Restoration Project, To Develop Environmental Restoration, City of Wahoo, Saunders County, NE, Due: July 31, 2000, Contact: Kevin Mayberry (402) 221-4020.*

*EIS No. 000179, FINAL EIS, AFS, UT, South Manti Timber Salvage, To address Ecological and Economic Values affected by Spruce Beetle Activity in the South Manti Project, Manti-La National Forest, Ferron-Price and Sanpete Ranger Districts, Sanpete and Sevier Counties, UT, Due: July 17, 2000, Contact: Don Fullmer (435) 637-2817.*

*EIS No. 000180, DRAFT EIS, NRC, MS, New Porters Bayou Watershed Plan, Reducing Flood and Drainage Damage To Cropland, Improvements to Watershed Channels, City of Shaw, Bolivar and Sunflower Counties, MS, Due: July 31, 2000, Contact: Homer L. Wilkes (601) 965-5205.*

*EIS No. 000181, FINAL EIS, IBR, CA, Lower Mokelumne River Restoration Program, Implementation, Resource Management Plan, San Joaquin County, CA, Due: July 17, 2000, Contact: Buford Holt (530) 275-1554.*

*EIS No. 000182, FINAL EIS, AFS, AK, Skipping Cow Timber Sale, Harvesting Timber, South half of Zarembo Island, Tongass National Forest, Wrangell Ranger District, Due: July 17, 2000, Contact: Jerry Jordan (907) 874-2323.*

*EIS No. 000183, DRAFT EIS, NPS, LA, Cane River Creole National Historical Park, General Management Plan, Natchitoches Parish, LA, Due: August 15, 2000, Contact: Jerry Belson (318) 352-0383.*

*EIS No. 000184, DRAFT EIS, COE, MS, TN, Wolf River, Memphis and*