

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Workers producing industrial valves at DeZurik Corporation, McMinnville, Tennessee, were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that the contributed importantly criterion of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Layoffs at the subject firm plant were attributable to the transfer of production to another domestic facility. The subject firm did not import articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the McMinnville plant. Customer imports of industrial valves were minor and accompanied by increased domestic purchases during the time period relevant to the investigation.

The NAFTA-TAA petition for the same worker group was denied based on the Department's finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the worker group eligibility requirements contained in paragraph (a)(1) of section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. There were no company imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the workers' firm. Customer imports of industrial valves from Mexico or Canada did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the workers' firm. There was no shift in production of industrial valves from the McMinnville plant to Mexico or Canada. Layoffs at the subject firm were attributable to a shift in production to another domestic facility.

The IAM provided documentation on company imports of cylinders, knife gate valve bodies ready for assembly, and multiple parts, that were formerly produced by workers at the subject firm. Additionally, the IAM provided a listing of machines that will be sent to the company's plant in Canada.

For both the TAA and NAFTA-TAA petition investigations, the Department is required to determine import impact of the articles produced at the workers' firm. In this case, during the time period relevant to the investigation, the primary output at the plant was industrial valves. Although the company acknowledges imports of cylinders and other components, those articles cannot be considered like or directly competitive with the finished product, industrial valves. Machinery sent to Canada is not a basis for worker group certification. A small percentage of production at the McMinnville plant

will be shifted to Canada but that has not as yet occurred.

#### Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 5th day of June, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15143 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

#### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,637]

#### Fort James Operating Company, Wauna Mill, Clatskanie, OR; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 1, 2000, in response to a worker petition which was filed by the company on behalf of workers at the Wauna Mill, Fort James Operating Company, Clatskanie, Oregon.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of May, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15145 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

#### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37, 542, 542A, 542B, 542C]

#### GPM, Bartlesville, OK and Operating at Various Locations in the States; Amended Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker adjustment Assistance

on April 24, 2000, applicable to workers of GPM, Bartlesville, Oklahoma. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 2000 (65 FR 30442).

At the request of the petitioner, the Department reviewed the negative determination for workers of the subject firm. The workers of the subject firm are engaged in employment related to gathering, transporting and marketing natural gas. Review of the investigation shows that the Department's negative determination inadvertently excluded the workers of the subject firm's other Oklahoma locations, in addition to the Texas and New Mexico locations of GPM.

It was the Department's intent to issue the negative determination for all workers of the subject firm cited in the petition form. The negative determination is being amended to expand the denial to workers of GPM at various locations in Oklahoma (except Bartlesville), Texas and New Mexico.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-37,542 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of GPM, Bartlesville, Oklahoma (TA-W-37,542), and operating at various locations in the States of Oklahoma, except Bartlesville (TA-W-37,542A), Texas (TA-W-37,542B), and New Mexico (TA-W-37,542C) are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of May, 2000.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-15144 Filed 6-14-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

#### Employment and Training Administration

[Docket No. TA-W-35,319]

#### Simpson Pasadena Paper Company, Pasadena, Texas; Notice of Revised Determination on Remand

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) in the matter of *Former Employees of Simpson Pasadena Paper Company v. Alexis Herman, United States Secretary of Labor*, USCIT, No. 99-04-00249, remanded for additional customer survey, the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under the Trade Act of 1974.

On remand, the Department conducted a survey of additional declining customers of Simpson