automatically from the requirement to “call your local solid waste agency”? If so, what types of products should be excluded?

5. Rinsing and recycling of containers.
   (a) Many ready-to-use products are in containers that can’t be opened, so rinsing the container or removing the sprayer head is not feasible.
   Furthermore, recycling of pesticide containers, where it occurs, is very much a market-driven activity. For these reasons, should the Agency simply direct consumers to call their local authorities for recycling instructions?
   (b) Is the phrase “Do not rinse, unless required for recycling” useful?

C. Why is a PR Notice Guidance and Not a Rule?

The draft PR Notice discussed in this notice is intended to provide guidance to EPA personnel and decision-makers, and to the public. As a guidance document and not a rule, this policy is not binding on either EPA or any outside parties. Although the guidance document provides a starting point for EPA decisions, EPA will depart from this policy where the facts or circumstances warrant. In such cases, EPA will explain why a different course was taken. Similarly, outside parties remain free to assert that this policy is not appropriate for a specific pesticide or that the specific circumstances demonstrate that this policy should be modified.

EPA has stated in this notice that it will make available revised guidance after consideration of public comment. Public comment is not being solicited for the purpose of converting this guidance document into a binding rule. EPA will not be codifying this policy in the Code of Federal Regulations. EPA is soliciting public comment so that it can make fully informed decisions regarding the content of this guidance.

The “revised” guidance will not be an unalterable document. Once a “revised” guidance document is issued, EPA will continue to treat it as guidance, not a rule. Accordingly, on a case-by-case basis, EPA will decide whether it is appropriate to depart from the guidance or to modify the overall approach in the guidance. In the course of commenting on this guidance document, EPA would welcome comments that specifically address how the guidance document can be structured so that it provides meaningful guidance without imposing binding requirements.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Pesticides and pest.
for the use of the pumpout. Seymour’s Boat Yard (Northport Harbor), located on Bayview Avenue, Northport, operates a pumpout. The pumpout is available from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. by appointment beginning May 1 through October 31. A fee of $25.00 is charged for the use of the pumpout. Britannia Yacht and Racquet Club (Northport Harbor), located at 81C Fort Salonga Road, Northport, operates a pumpout. The pumpout is available from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. beginning April 15 through October 31. A fee of $20.00 is charged for the use of the pumpout. The Village of Northport operates a mobile pumpout vessel which serves Northport Harbor, Northport Bay and Duck Island Harbor beginning May 23 through October 12. No fee is charged for the service. Powles Marine Agency (Cold Spring Harbor), located at 74 Harbor Road, Cold Spring Harbor, operates a pumpout. The pumpout is available 24 hours a day beginning May 1 through October 31 and is self-service. No fee is charged for the use of the pumpout. This facility is located outside of the proposed NDA and is not included as one of the ten landside facilities. The facility has been included in the application for information purposes.

Vessel waste generated from the pumpout facilities located at West Shore Marina, Knutson’s West Marina, Huntington Yacht Club, Britannia Yacht and Seymour’s are hauled by privately operated waste haulers. The Town of Huntington provides waste hauling service to the municipally owned pumpout facilities located at Cold Spring Harbor, Halesite Marina, Mill Dam Marina, Woodbine Marina, and Cold Star Mooring and Launch Service. All hauled waste from the pumpout facilities is discharged into and treated at the Town of Huntington sewage treatment plant (SPDES Permit No. NY0021342) located on Creek Road in Halesite.

According to the State’s petition, the maximum daily vessel population for the waters of Greater Huntington-Northport Bay Complex is approximately 3200 vessels which are docked or moored with an additional 700 vessels accessing the greater harbor from boat ramps. An inventory was developed including the number of recreational, commercial and estimated transient vessels that occupy or traverse the Greater Bay complex. This estimate is based on (1) vessels (approximately 1600 vessels) docked or moored (including transients) in the proposed NDA, (2) vessels (approximately 1600 vessels) docked or moored (including transients) in the existing Huntington/Lloyd Harbor NDA and (3) vessels (approximately 700 vessels) which use the boat ramps in the Greater Bay Complex. While approximately one-third to one-half of the vessels operating in the Greater Bay Complex are not equipped with a marine sanitation device, the ratio of boats to pumpout facilities has been based on the total number of vessels which could be expected. With ten shore-side pumpout facilities and two pumpout facilities available to boaters, the ratio of docked or moored boats (including transients) is approximately 267 vessels per pumpout. If we include the vessels (approximately 700) using the available boat ramps, the ratio increase to 325 vessels per pumpout. Standard guidelines refer to acceptable ratios failing in the range of 300 to 600 vessels per pumpout.

A previous application which was approved by the Regional Administrator on April 21, 1994 designated Huntington Harbor and Lloyd Harbor as a NDA. Responses to comments were prepared and mailed to interested parties on April 21, 1994, along with a copy of the final determination. These two final determinations designate the entire Greater Huntington-Northport Harbor Complex as a NDA. The northern boundary line for the NDA extends from the southernmost point at East Branch (Lloyd Harbor) easterly to the southernmost point at West Beach or “Sand City Beach”.

The EPA hereby makes a final affirmative determination that adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal and treatment of sewage from all vessels are reasonably available for the Greater Huntington-Northport Bay Complex in the county of Suffolk, New York. This final determination on this matter will result in a New York State prohibition of any sewage discharges from vessels in Greater Huntington-Northport Bay Complex.


William J. Muszynski,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region II.

[FR Doc. 00–15028 Filed 6–13–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget


The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. For further information contact Shoko B. Hair, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418–1379.

Federal Communications Commission
OMB Control No.: 3060–0942.
Expiration Date: 12/31/2000.

Title: In the Matter of Access Charge Reform, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Low-Volume Long Distance Users, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service. Form No.: N/A.
Respondents: Business or other for profit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 27 respondents; 472.5 hours per response (avg). 12,758 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: $0.
Frequency of Response: On occasion; Quarterly; Annually; Recordkeeping; Third Party Disclosure.

Description: By adopting the Sixth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96–262 (released May 31, 2000), the Commission took action to further accelerate the development of competition in the local and long-distance telecommunications markets, and to further establish explicit universal service support that will be sustainable in an increasingly competitive marketplace, pursuant to the mandate of the 1996 Act. The Commission requires the following information to be reported to the following entities under the CALLS Proposal: a. Tariff Filing: The Report and Order requires price cap LECs to modify their annual access tariff filings in the following ways: (1) Subtracting from their next tariff filings the estimated universal service support that they will receive from USAC over the next year; (2) consolidating the access revenues that they examine to determine whether to charge the new SLC cap or the actual cost of their access lines; (3) if they choose to deaverage their SLCs, adding up the components of their averaged traffic sensitive charges to test whether the charges have reached the target rate; (4) calculating their SLC rates by Unbundled Network Element Zone. (No. of respondents: 18; hours per response: 2 hours; total annual burden 36 hours).

b. Quarterly and Annual Data Filings: The Report and Order requires each price cap or competitive LEC that wishes to receive support from the interstate access universal service support mechanism to