

processes, and established mitigation measures are fully sufficient to address these deepwater components and associated activities.

Specific deepwater operations and activities that are substantially different from those associated with conventional operations and activities on the continental shelf have been addressed by requiring specific mitigation measures, initiating a more in-depth EA, and initiating an EIS.

Seafloor discharges from pre-riser and riserless drilling operation, and the discharge of synthetic-based drilling fluids (SBF) and cuttings wetted with SBF may pose potentially significant localized impacts to chemosynthetic communities. An appropriate mitigation measure has been developed to avoid or reduce the potential for significant impacts from these factors. Deepwater wells must be at least 1,000 ft away from any potential high-density chemosynthetic communities. Notice to Lessees (NTL) 98-11 is being modified to include this 1,000 ft buffer zone around all deepwater well sites. As the NTL goes through the formal review and implementation process, this mitigation is currently being applied on a site-by-site basis. Accidental spills of chemical products and the subsea release of oil are low-probability events. Extensive mitigation measures for spill prevention and response are currently required.

Deepwater seismic surveying operations are essentially the same as seismic surveying operations on the continental shelf. Historically, the potential impacts of noise associated with seismic surveying have been considered insignificant, and the EA supports this view. As this position has recently become controversial, the potential impacts of geological and geophysical (G&G) activities, including seismic surveying operations, on the GOM OCS are currently being analyzed in detail in a separate EA. The decision on the need to prepare an EIS on seismic surveying operations is pending completion of the G&G EA.

The use of floating production, storage, and offloading (FPSO) systems represents new and unusual technology for the GOM OCS and may pose potentially significant impacts to the marine and coastal environments. The need for an EIS was recognized early during the preparation of this EA. A Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on June 10, 1999.

Copies of the EA are available from the Public Information Office (MS 5034), Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans,

Louisiana 70123-2394 by asking for publication MMS 2000-001. Copies of the Technical Report (OCS Report MMS 2000-015) prepared in support of the EA will also be available in mid-July 2000. Both the EA and the Technical Report will eventually be available on CD and will be placed on the MMS website <http://www.mms.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Questions regarding the EA should be directed to Ms. Deborah Cranswick, Leasing and Environment, at (504) 736-2744.

Questions regarding deepwater operations should be directed to Mr. Jim Regg, Field Operations, at (504) 736-2843. The mailing address is Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, Louisiana 70123-2394.

Dated: June 6, 2000.

**J. Hammond Eve,**

*Acting Regional Director, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region.*

[FR Doc. 00-14738 Filed 6-9-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

[FES 00-20]

#### Woodbridge Irrigation District and City of Lodi's Lower Mokelumne River Restoration Program, San Joaquin County, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of the final environmental impact report/ environmental impact statement (Final EIR/EIS).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), Woodbridge Irrigation District (WID), and the City of Lodi have prepared a joint final EIR/EIS for the Lower Mokelumne River Restoration Program (LMRRP). The LMRRP encompasses an area located in northern San Joaquin County along the lower Mokelumne River between Camanche Dam and the Mokelumne and Cosumnes Rivers. The Proposed Project comprises four elements: Improving fish passage at Woodbridge Dam, upgrading the fish screen at the WID diversion, placing screens on unscreened or underscreened riparian diversions on the Mokelumne River between Camanche Dam and the Cosumnes Rivers on a voluntary basis, and restoring riparian vegetation along

the Mokelumne River. The final EIR/EIS describes and presents the environmental effects of the four elements of the program. The first two elements are addressed at a project level in this final EIR/EIS, including five fish passage alternatives and five optional fish passage actions. The remaining two elements are addressed at a programmatic level. The preferred alternative is to construct a new dam and new fish-passage facilities, and includes optional actions to improve predator control below the dam, move the WID diversion point to just upstream of the dam, and construct a downstream splash pool.

**DATES:** Reclamation will not make a decision on the proposed action until after Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance has been completed. After ESA compliance has been completed, Reclamation will complete a Record of Decision (ROD). The ROD will state the action that will be implemented and will discuss all factors leading to the decision.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the final EIR/EIS may be requested from Mr. Anders Christensen, Woodbridge Irrigation District, 18777 N. Lower Sacramento Road, Woodbridge, California 95258, or Mr. Buford Holt, Bureau of Reclamation, 16349 Shasta Dam Boulevard, Shasta Lake, California 96019.

See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for locations where copies of the final EIR/EIS are available for public inspection.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Buford Holt, Bureau of Reclamation, at (530) 275-1554; or Mr. Anders Christensen, Woodbridge Irrigation District, at (209) 369-6808.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The LMRRP was developed to implement important elements from resource management plans prepared by CALFED, USFWS, and DFG. The goal of the LMRRP is to substantially increase fall-run chinook salmon and steelhead populations, enhance critical and limiting aquatic habitats, and restore riparian ecosystem integrity and diversity. In addition to a No-Project Alternative, which involves the continued operation of the existing Woodbridge Dam and fish passage facilities, four action alternatives are examined, including: (1) Construct new fish passage facilities on the existing Woodbridge Dam; (2) construct a new Woodbridge Dam with operable weir gates and new fish passage facilities; (3) construct a new Woodbridge Dam with operable weir gates and new fish passage facilities, and diversion pumps;

and (4) replace the existing Woodbridge Dam and pump water from the river. The final EIR/EIS considers the environmental effects of the five alternatives in all topical areas required under NEPA and CEQA. Of particular importance for this project are the following topics: Fisheries, water quality, vegetation and wetland resources, wildlife, recreation, and visual resources.

Notice of the draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement was published in the **Federal Register** on November 3, 1999 (64 FR 0212). A public hearing was held on November 16, 1999. The written comment period closed on January 4, 2000. The final EIR/EIS contains responses to all comments received and changes made to the text of the draft EIR/EIS as a result of those comments.

*Locations for Inspecting/Reviewing the Final EIR/EIS*

Copies of the final EIR/EIS are available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

- Woodbridge Irrigation District Office, 18777 N. Lower Sacramento Road, Woodbridge, California 95258; telephone: (209) 369-6808
- Bureau of Reclamation, Program Analysis Office, Room 7456, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240; telephone: (202) 208-4662
- Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Office Library, Building 67, Room 167, Denver Federal Center, 6th and Kipling, Denver CO 80225; telephone: (303) 445-2072
- Bureau of Reclamation, Regional Director, Attention: MP-140, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825-1898; telephone: (916) 978-5100
- Natural Resources Library, U.S. Department of the Interior, Main Interior Building, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240-0001
- Lodi Public Library, 201 W. Locust Street, Lodi, CA 95240-2099.

Dated: May 26, 2000.

**Lester A. Snow,**  
*Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 00-14744 Filed 6-9-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-395]

**Notice of Decision To Extend the Deadline for Determining Whether To Review an Initial Determination on Inventorship**

In the Matter of Certain Eprom, Eeprom, Flash Microcontroller Semiconductor Devices and Products Containing Same.

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to extend by two weeks, *i.e.*, until July 17, 2000, the deadline for determining whether to review an initial determination (ID) issued on May 17, 2000, by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3104. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this patent-based investigation on March 18, 1997, based on a complaint filed by Atmel Corporation. 62 FR 13706. The complaint alleged that several respondents violated section 337 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and/or selling in the United States after importation certain electronic products and/or components that infringe one or more of claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,511,811 (the '811 patent), claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,673,829 (the '829 patent), claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,974,565 (the '565 patent) and claims 1-9 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,451,903 (the '903 patent). The '565 patent was later withdrawn from the case.

On July 2, 1998, the Commission found that the '903 patent was unenforceable for failure to name a co-inventor. During the Commission investigation, a U.S. District Court found the '811 and '829 patents invalid and the Commission, therefore, applied collateral estoppel to find that the '811 and '829 patents were invalid. Atmel obtained a "Certificate of Correction"

from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office which changed the inventorship of the '903 patent. In view of the fact that the inventors had been corrected on the '903 patent, Atmel petitioned the Commission on September 8, 1998, to reconsider its finding of no violation based on the unenforceability of the '903 patent. The Commission referred the petition to the presiding ALJ on January 25, 1999, for issuance of an ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and section 210.42(h) of the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42(h).

Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Public documents are also available for downloading from the Commission's website at <http://www.usitc.gov>.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: June 6, 2000.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-14762 Filed 6-9-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731-TA-571 (Review)]

**Professional Electric Cutting Tools From Japan**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Termination of five-year review.

**SUMMARY:** The subject five-year review was initiated in November 1999 to determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping duty order on professional electric cutting tools would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and of material injury to a domestic industry. On June 2, 2000, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the order "[b]ecause the domestic interested parties have withdrawn, in full, their participation in the ongoing sunset review" (65 FR 35324). Accordingly, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), the subject review is terminated.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 2, 2000.