

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 31, 2000.

**Jeanne M. Fox,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 00-14637 Filed 6-8-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Region II Docket No. NY 36-201 FRL-6712-9]

### Adequacy Status of the Submitted 2007 Attainment Demonstration for the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Transportation Conformity Purposes for the New York State Portion of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut Severe Ozone Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides in the submitted 2007 ozone attainment demonstration for the New York State portion of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut severe nonattainment area for ozone to be adequate for conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted state implementation plans (SIPs) cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, the New York State portion of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut severe nonattainment area for ozone can use the motor vehicle emissions budgets for volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides from the submitted 2007 attainment demonstration for ozone for

future conformity determinations. These budgets are effective June 26, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rudolph K. Kapichak, Mobile Source Team Leader, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-3804, e-mail address: Kapichak.Rudolph@epa.gov.

The finding and the response to comments will be available at EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 2 sent a letter to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation on May 31, 2000 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted 2007 attainment demonstration for the New York State portion of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut severe nonattainment area for ozone are adequate for conformity purposes. This finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999

Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 31, 2000.

**Jeanne M. Fox,**

*Regional Administrator Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 00-14638 Filed 6-8-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6607-9]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564-7167 or [www.epa.gov/oecea/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oecea/ofa)

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed May 29, 2000 Through June 02, 2000

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*EIS No. 000170, Draft Supplement, FHWA, WA, North Spokane Freeway Project, Improvements Transportation through the City of Spokane and Spokane County between I-90, Spokane County, WA, Due: July 24, 2000, Contact: Gene Fong (360) 753-9480.*

*EIS No. 000171, Draft EIS, AFS, WY, State of Wyoming School Section 16 T.12N., R.83W., 6th P.M., Issuing a Forest Road Special-Use-Permit for Access, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Brush Creek/Hayden Ranger District, Carbon County, WY, Due: July 24, 2000, Contact: John Baumchen (307) 326-2500.*

*EIS No. 000172, Final EIS, AFS, MT, Swamp Timber Sales Project, Implementation, Kootenai National Forest, Fortine Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT, Due: July 10, 2000, Contact: Edward C. Monning (406) 882-4451.*

*EIS No. 000173, Final EIS, AFS, MN, Gunflint Corridor Fuel Reduction, Implementation, Superior National Forest, Gunflint Ranger District, Cook County, MN, Due: July 10, 2000, Contact: Becky Bartol (218) 387-1750.*

*EIS No. 000174, Draft EIS, SFW, NV, Clark County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take-of-79 Species, Clark County, NV, Due: July 24, 2000, Contact: Ben Harrison (503) 231-2068.*

*EIS No. 000175, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Colusa Basin Drainage District, Developing an Integrated Resource Management Program for the Control*

of Flooding, Glenn, Colusa and Yolo Counties, CA, Due: August 25, 2000, Contact: Russ Smith (530) 275-1554.

*EIS No. 000176*, Draft SUPPLEMENT, UAF, TX, Programmatic EIS—Kelly Air Force Base (AFB), Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, San Antonio County, TX, Due: July 24, 2000, Contact: Jonathan D. Farthing (210) 536-3787.

*EIS No. 000177*, Draft EIS, GSA, DC, Department of Transportation Headquarters, Proposal to Lease 1.3 to 1.35 Million Rentable Square Feet of Consolidated and Upgraded Space, Five Possible Sites, Located in the Central Employment Area, Washington, DC, Due: July 24, 2000, Contact: John Simeon (202) 260-9586.

#### Amended Notices

*EIS No. 000101*, Draft EIS, FAA, NC, Piedmont Triad International Airport, Construction and Operation, Runway 5L/23R and New Overnight Express Air Cargo Sorting and Distribution Facility, and Associated Developments, Funding, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Greensboro, Guilford County, NC, Due: June 22, 2000, Contact: Donna M. Meyer (404) 305-7150. Revision of FR notice published on 05/19/2000: CEQ Comment Date has been Extended from 06/07/2000 to 06/22/2000.

Dated: June 6, 2000.

#### Ken Mittelholtz,

*Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-14668 Filed 6-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6608-1]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 22, 2000 Through May 26, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65348-ID Rating EC2, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, Small Sales, Harvesting Dead and Damaged Timber, Coeur d'Alene River Range District, Kootenai and Shoshone Counties, ID.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to old growth units and the level and nature of risk to landowners from wildfire from the proposed actions.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65349-ID Rating EC2, Warm Springs Ridge Vegetation Management Project, Improve Forest Condition, Boise National Forest, Cascade Resource Area, Boise County, ID.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to already impaired streams within the watershed. EPA recommends that the final EIS supply additional information on watershed condition and proposed restoration strategies.

ERP No. D-TVA-E39052-MS Rating EO2, Union County Multipurpose Reservoir/Other Water Supply Alternatives Project, To Provide an Adequate and Reliable Water Supply, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, City of New Alban, Union County, MS.

*Summary:* EPA raised objections to foreseeable reservoir water quality impacts and engineering design uncertainties. Omission of water conservation and reuse as an alternative should be re-evaluated for the FEIS. EPA could favor the pipeline alternative, depending on additional requested information regarding the impacts on the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway source water and potential interbasin water transfer issues.

ERP No. DS-COE-K32046-CA Rating EC2, Port of Los Angeles Channel Deepening Project, To Improve Navigation and Disposal of Dredge Material for the Inner Harbor Channels, Los Angeles County, CA.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impacts to air quality and aquatic resources, indirect and cumulative impacts, environmental justice considerations, and mitigation measures proposed in the supplemental EIS. EPA is concerned that the EIS fails to address hazardous air pollutants (air toxics) currently emitted at the Port and reasonably foreseeable air toxic emissions that could occur under the project.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65302-AK, Kuakan Timber Sale, Timber Harvesting in the Kuakan Project Area, Implementation, Deer Island within the Wrangell Ranger District, Stikine Area of the Tongass National Forest, AK.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FB-NOA-E86002-00 Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Regulatory Impact Review, Snapper-Grouper Complex, South Atlantic Region.

*Summary:* EPA has no objections to the proposed plan. EPA strongly supports the proposed stock reassessment every two years and adaptive management approach of the FMP. While agreeing with the overall FMP, EPA prefers the alternative to enact a moratorium on red porgy fishing.

Dated: June 6, 2000.

#### Ken Mittelholtz,

*Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-14669 Filed 6-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6712-3]

### Science Advisory Board; Notification of Public Advisory Committee Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) will meet on Monday, June 26, 2000 from 11 am to 12 pm Eastern Daylight Time to review a report developed by its Technical Subcommittee on Fine Particle Monitoring. The meeting will be coordinated through a conference call connection in Room 6013 in the USEPA, Ariel Rios Building North, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. The public is encouraged to attend the meeting in the conference room noted above. However, the public may also attend through a telephonic link, to the extent that lines are available (phone lines will be very limited). Additional instructions about how to participate in the meeting can be obtained by calling Ms. Diana Pozun prior to the meeting at (202) 564-4544, or via e-mail at <pozun.diana@epa.gov>.

#### Background

The CASAC Technical Subcommittee on Fine Particle Monitoring (the Subcommittee) was established in 1996 to provide advice and comment to EPA (through CASAC) on appropriate