

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-841 (Final)]

Certain Non-Frozen Concentrated Apple Juice From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China of certain non-frozen concentrated apple juice,³ provided for in subheadings 2009.70.00 and 2106.90.52 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Background

The Commission instituted this investigation effective June 7, 1999, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Coloma Frozen Foods, Inc.; Coloma, MI; Green Valley Apples of California, Los Angeles, CA; Knouse Foods Cooperative, Inc., Peach Glen, PA; Mason County Fruit Packers Cooperative, Ludington, MI; and Tree Top, Inc., Selah, WA. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by the Department of Commerce that imports of certain non-frozen concentrated apple juice from China were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of January 20, 2000 (65 FR 3247). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on April 10, 2000, and

all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on May 30, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3303 (May 2000), entitled Certain Non-Frozen Concentrated Apple Juice from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-841 (Final).

Issued: June 1, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-14318 Filed 6-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

[OJP (OJJDP)—1280]

Meeting of the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Justice.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Announcement of the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention meeting.

DATES: A meeting of the advisory committee, chartered as the Coordinating Council on Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, will take place in the District of Columbia, beginning at 1 p.m. on Monday, June 26, 2000, and ending at 3 p.m., ET.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Main Conference Room, 3rd Floor, 810 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Altman, Program Manager, Juvenile Justice Resource Center at (301) 519-5721. [This is not a toll-free number.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coordinating Council, established pursuant to section 3(2)A of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2), will meet to carry out its advisory functions under Section 206 of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5601 *et seq.*). This meeting will be open to the public. Members of the public who wish to attend the meeting

should notify the Juvenile Justice Resource Center at the number listed above by 5 p.m., ET, on Friday, June 16, 2000. For security purposes, picture identification will be required.

Dated: June 2, 2000.

John J. Wilson,

Acting Administrator, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

[FR Doc. 00-14360 Filed 6-6-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Chief Financial Officer: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of Department of Labor regulations implementing the Salary Offset provision of the Debt Collection Act of 1982.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before August 7, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments are to be submitted in writing to Mark Wolkow, Department of Labor, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Room S-4502 Frances Perkins Building, 200 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20210; via fax to 202-219-4975; or via email to wolkow-mark@dol.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Wolkow, Division of Policy and Internal Control at 202-219-8184 x127, or via email at wolkow-mark@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Debt Collection Act of 1982 and the Office of Personnel Management salary offset regulations, as

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Chairman Lynn M. Bragg not participating.

³ "Certain non-frozen concentrated apple juice" consists of all non-frozen concentrated apple juice with a Brix scale of 40 or greater, whether or not containing added sugar or other sweetening matter, and whether or not fortified with vitamins or minerals. Excluded from the scope of the investigation are frozen concentrated apple juice; non-frozen concentrated apple juice that has been fermented; and non-frozen concentrated apple juice to which spirits have been added.

implemented in the Department by 29 CFR part 20, require Federal agencies to afford debtors the opportunity to exercise certain rights before the agency makes a salary offset to collect a debt. In the exercise of these rights, the debtor may be asked to provide a written explanation of the basis for disputing the amount or existence of a debt alleged owed the agency. A debtor may also be required to provide asset, income, liability, or other information necessary for the agency to determine the debtor's ability to repay the debt, including any interest, penalties and administrative costs assessed.

Information provided by the debtor will be evaluated by an independent hearing official in order to reconsider the responsible agency official's decision with regard to the existence or amount of the debt. Information concerning the debtor's assets, income, liabilities, etc., will be used by the independent hearing official to determine whether the agency's action with regard to salary would create undue financial hardship for the debtor, or to determine whether the agency should accept the debtor's proposed repayment schedule.

If a debtor disputes or asks for reconsideration of the agency's determination concerning the debt, the debtor will be required to provide the information or documentation necessary to state his/her case. While much or all of this information might be available in agency records, it would only be appropriate to offer the debtor the opportunity to supply any information deemed relevant to his/her case.

Information concerning the debtor's assets, income, liabilities, etc., would typically not be available to the agency unless submitted by the debtor.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated,

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

Failure of the agency to request the information described would either violate the debtor's rights under the Debt Collection Act of 1982 or limit the agency's ability to collect outstanding debts.

If a debtor wishes to appeal an agency action based on undue financial hardship, he/she may be asked to submit information on his/her assets, income, liabilities, or other information considered necessary by the hearing official for evaluating the appeal. Use of the information will be explained to the debtor when it is requested; consent to use the information for the specified purpose will be implied from the debtor's submission of the information.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Office of the Chief Financial Officer.

Title: Salary Offset.

OMB Number: 1225-0038.

Agency Form Number: N/A.

Affected Public: Federal employees.

Cite/Reference/Form/etc: It is estimated that 25% of the individuals indebted to the Department will contest the proposed collection action and will request a review and/or appeal an action based on undue financial hardship. In some cases the debtor will make one request, but not the other. However, in most cases, it is expected that the debtor will request both actions—first, review of the determination of indebtedness, and second, relief because of undue financial hardship.

Annual burden was estimated based on a review of debtor responses to similar requests for information. Debtors typically respond in 1–2 page letters, supplemented by copies of documents. Letters are most often typewritten. Annual burden is based on a 1¼ hour time allotment to prepare and type a letter. Debtors will not be asked to respond on a form.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 375.

Total Annualized Costs: Capital/startup costs: \$0; operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services: \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 23, 2000.

Kenneth Bresnahan,

Chief Financial Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–14291 Filed 6–6–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–23–P

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

Privacy Act of 1974; Deletion of Privacy Act System of Records

AGENCY: Merit Systems Protection Board.

ACTION: Notice of intent to delete and existing system of records.

SUMMARY: The Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB) publishes this document pursuant to the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974 at 5 U.S.C. 552a to inform the public that it will no longer maintain records in the system formerly known as MSPB/Internal-4 by the name or personal identifier of the record subject. Names and personal identifiers will be deleted for all existing and future documents maintained in the OAC Decision Data Base.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 7, 2000.

ADDRESS: Office of the Clerk of the Board, U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20419.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael H. Hoxie, (202) 653–7200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By **Federal Register** Notice of July 2, 1996 (61 FR 34448) the Board announced a new system of records, Office of Appeals Counsel Decision Data Base, MSPB/Internal-4. The categories of records to be maintained in the system included advisory memoranda prepared by the Office of Appeals Counsel for the Board of individual members of the Board and instructions from members of the Board regarding the preparation of decisions for Board issuance. These records were to contain individual appellant's names, and could also contain social security numbers, home addresses, veterans status, race, sex, national origin and disability status data.

The Board hereby announces its intention to delete all names and personal identifiers from the records now maintained in this data base, and from any future records placed in the data base. Henceforth, the records in this data base will be retrieved by legal or factual issues. No personal information associated with any individual will be maintained.