

Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the seven separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of May 2000.

**Carl J. Poleskey,**

*Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.*

[FR Doc. 00-13670 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-27-M**

## **NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION**

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, June 6, 2000.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Open.

#### **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Proposed IRPS 00-1, Amendments to NCUA's Chartering and Field of Membership Policies.

2. Request from a Federal Credit Union to Convert to a Community Charter.

3. Request from a Credit Union to Merge into a Federal Mutual Savings Association.

4. Proposed Rule: Amendments to Part 792, Subparts C & E, NCUA's Rules and Regulation, Privacy Act.

5. Proposed Rule: Appendix to Part 748, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Privacy of Consumer Financial Information.

6. Final Rule: Amendments to Sections 716.4 and 716.7, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Privacy of Consumer Financial Information.

7. Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Predatory Lending.

**RECESS:** 1:00 p.m.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:30 p.m., Tuesday, June 6, 2000.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Closed.

#### **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Field of Membership Appeal. Closed pursuant to exemptions (8) and (9)(A)(ii).

2. One (1) Personnel Matter. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2) and (6).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone 703-518-6304.

**Becky Baker,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 00-13932 Filed 5-31-00; 10:02 am]

**BILLING CODE 7535-01-M**

## **NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

### **National Endowment for the Arts, Special Projects Advisory Panel**

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Special Projects Advisory Panel (Research Section), to the National Council on the Arts will be held on June 12, 2000. The committee will meet from 1:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. in Room 716 at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20506, to assist in developing parameters for a national study of jazz artists in four cities.

The agenda will tentatively include: Discussion of the revised proposal and additional methodologies  
Context—landscape for jazz artists in 4 cities

Definitions for the purpose of this study:

What is jazz? What is a jazz artist?

Criteria for determining what is a jazz artist  
Response Driven Sampling (RDS) for interviews

Appropriateness of incentives for RDS  
Kinds of analysis—context, data, comparisons, recommendations

This meeting will be open to the public on a space available basis. Any person may observe meetings, or portions thereof, of advisory panels which are open to the public, and, if time allows, may be permitted to participate in the panel's discussions at the discretion of the panel chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employee in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of AccessAbility, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20506, 202/682-5532, TDY-TDD 202/682-5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Mr. Tom Bradshaw, Office of Policy Research & Analysis, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682-5527.

Dated: May 26, 2000.

**Kathy Plowitz-Worden,**

*Panel Coordinator, Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.*

[FR Doc. 00-13693 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7537-01-M**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-289]

### **AmerGen Energy Company, LLC; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-50 issued to AmerGen Energy Company, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (TMI-1), located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania.

The proposed amendment would add new Technical Specifications (TSs) 3.7.2.a(ii) and 3.7.2.h to address voltage on the 230 kV (kilovolt) grid as a precondition of criticality and to provide a time limit for when the 230 kV grid voltage is found to be insufficient to support Loss-of-Coolant Accident (LOCA) electrical loading during power operation. The application also requests various minor editorial changes. The Bases have also been changed to reflect the addition of the two new TSs and to provide clarification of the components to which surveillance is applicable. The changes requested supplement an application dated August 20, 1999, which were noticed in the **Federal Register** on December 1, 1999 (64 FR 67334).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a

margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes to the TS 3.7.2 to incorporate an action statement for Post Contingency grid voltages are intended to provide greater confidence that the NSR [nuclear safety related] equipment power supplies are maintained. The proposed changes will enhance the ability of the undervoltage protection scheme to perform in accordance with its intended design, and will improve the ability to respond to low grid voltage conditions. Therefore, operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment will not involve a significant increase in the probability [of occurrence] or the consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the SAR [Safety analysis Report].

2. The proposed changes to the TS 3.7.2 LCO [limiting condition for operation] and TS 3.7 Bases are consistent with the intended design of the degraded voltage protection scheme and do not introduce the possibility of any new failure modes to the protection scheme or the electrical distribution system. The proposed changes reduce the probability of operation of the Unit without sufficient voltages to NSR loads from off-site sources. Therefore, operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed changes do not create a possibility of a new or different kind [type] of accident than any accident previously evaluated in the SAR.

3. The proposed changes to the TS 3.7.2 LCO and TS 3.7 Bases are intended to provide sufficient time for the transmission system operator to take appropriate action to restore grid voltage levels and operability of the offsite sources. The risk analysis results for use of the proposed LCO time period is such that there is only a small incremental increase in the core damage frequency (CDF). These changes enhance the current Technical Specifications by limiting the unavailability of the offsite sources to supply NSR equipment during a LOCA. Therefore, operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed changes would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that

failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By July 3, 2000, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>). If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the

designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Edward J. Cullen, Jr., PECO Energy Company, 2301 Market Street (S23-1), Philadelphia, PA 19103, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated May 22, 2000, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of May 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Timothy G. Colburn,**

*Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 00-13875 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 50-312]**

### **Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD), Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Plant; Notice of Public Meeting**

The NRC will conduct a public meeting in the Chabolla Community Center, 630 Chabolla Avenue, Galt, California, on June 20, 2000, to discuss SMUD plans to commence dismantlement and decontamination activities at the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station, Herald, California. The meeting will begin at 7:00 p.m., with the doors opening at 6:30 p.m. The meeting will be chaired by Mr. Don Notolli, Supervisor, County Supervisory District 5, County of Sacramento, California, and will include short presentations by the NRC staff on the decommissioning process and NRC inspection program and by SMUD on its planned decommissioning activities. There will be an opportunity for members of the public to make comments and question the NRC staff and SMUD representatives.

On November 4, 1999, SMUD provided Amendment 2 to its Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report (PSDAR) to the NRC staff. This revision describes, in part, the licensee's plans to forego its initial option of hardened—SAFSTOR (*i.e.*, long-term safe storage) for the Rancho Seco facility to implement an accelerated schedule of dismantlement and decontamination that will result in license termination by 2008. This licensee decision was made, in part, on its success in the incremental decontamination and dismantlement of the facility as described in Amendment 1 to its PSDAR dated January 29, 1997.

The NRC staff believes that the licensee's decision to change from hardened-SAFSTOR to full-plant decontamination and dismantlement is a significant change to the activities and schedules identified in the original PSDAR submitted on March 20, 1997. As such, the NRC plans to inform the public of the licensee's new decommissioning schedule by conducting a public meeting at the Chabolla Community Center which is in

the vicinity of the Rancho Seco facility. This meeting will also provide a forum for the public to gather information and ask questions or make comments.

For more information, contact Paul W. Harris, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone 301-415-1169 or email at [PWH1@NRC.gov](mailto:PWH1@NRC.gov).

The PSDAR is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room located at the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and is accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library (Amendment 2 Accession No. ML993160051) component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of May 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Paul W. Harris,**

*Project Manager, Decommissioning Section, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 00-13876 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Investment Company Act Release No. 24477; 812-11644]**

### **UAM Funds, Inc. et al.; Notice of Application**

May 25, 2000.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission").

**ACTION:** Notice of an application under section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") exempting applicants from section 12(d)(1) of the Act, under sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act exempting applicants from section 17(a) of the Act, and under section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint arrangements.

**SUMMARY OF THE APPLICATION:** The order would permit certain registered open-end investment companies to use cash collateral from securities lending transactions ("Cash Collateral") to purchase shares of affiliated money market funds or affiliated private investment companies, to deposit Cash Collateral in one or more joint accounts, and to pay fees based on a share of the revenue generated from securities lending transactions to an affiliated lending agent and other affiliated entities.