only if the beneficiary applies for an increase within one year of that date.

(2) If a claimant reopens a previously disallowed claim based on corrected military records, VA will award the benefit from the latest of the following dates: the date the veteran or beneficiary applied for a correction of the military records; the date the disallowed claim was filed; or, the date one year before the date of receipt of the reopened claim.

(f) Reductions and discontinuities. VA will generally reduce or discontinue awards according to the facts found except as provided in §§ 3.105 and 3.114(b).

(1) If benefits were paid erroneously because of beneficiary error, VA will reduce or discontinue benefits as of the effective date of the erroneous award.

(2) If benefits were paid erroneously because of administrative error, VA will reduce or discontinue benefits as of the date of last payment.

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 1805, 1806, 5110, 5112.

PART 17—MEDICAL

1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501, 1721 unless otherwise noted.

2. The authority citation at the end of § 17.901 is revised to read as follows:

§ 17.901 Definitions.


3. The authority citation at the end of § 17.902 is revised to read as follows:

§ 17.902 Preauthorization.


4. The authority citation at the end of § 17.903 is revised to read as follows:

§ 17.903 Payment.


PART 21—VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION AND EDUCATION

Subpart M—Vocational Training and Rehabilitation for Vietnam Veterans’ Children With Spina Bifida

1. The authority citation for part 21, subpart M continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 101, 501, 512, 1151 note, 1801–1806, 5112, unless otherwise noted.

2. The authority citation at the end of § 21.8012 is revised to read as follows:

§ 21.8012 Definitions and abbreviations.


3. The authority citation at the end of § 21.8014 is revised to read as follows:

§ 21.8014 Application.


ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 132

Revocation of the Selenium Criterion Maximum Concentration for the Final Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Partial revocation of final rule.

SUMMARY: In March 1995, EPA promulgated acute and chronic aquatic life criteria for selenium as part of the Final Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System (40 CFR part 132). In 1996 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued an order vacating the acute criterion for selenium. AISI v. EPA, 115 F. 3d 979 (1997) (order dated September 10, 1996). EPA did not immediately publish a notice removing the vacated acute criterion from the Code of Federal Regulations because it anticipated promulgating a new acute criterion within one year. Although EPA proposed a new criterion in November 1996, it has not yet promulgated a final criterion. Based on comments received on the proposal, as well as earlier comments EPA had received on the methodology used to develop the national selenium criteria, EPA decided to subject the selenium criteria methodology to a broader workgroup and peer review process. While the selenium workgroup and peer reviewers have made good progress they are still months away from making final recommendations on the acute criterion for selenium. In the meantime, to avoid potential confusion about the status of the vacated acute criterion, EPA has decided to remove it from the final Great Lakes Guidance in 40 CFR part 132. EPA plans to propose a new replacement acute criterion once the workgroup and peer review process is complete. In the interim, EPA is recommending that States and Tribes rely on the chronic aquatic life criterion for selenium in setting permit limits. Today’s action will not affect that chronic aquatic life criterion.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The public docket for this and earlier rulemakings concerning the Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System, including the proposal, public comments in response to the proposal, other major supporting documents, and the index to the docket are available for inspection and copying at U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604 by appointment only. Appointments may be made by calling Mary Willis Jackson (telephone 312–886–3717).


SUPPLEMENTS INFORMATION:

I. Discussion

A. Potentially Affected Entities

Citizens concerned with water quality in the Great Lakes System may be interested in this rulemaking. Also, entities potentially affected by today’s action are those discharging or intending to discharge selenium to waters of the United States in the Great Lakes System. Categories and entities that may ultimately be affected include:
This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. To determine whether your facility is affected by this action, you should carefully examine the definition of “Great Lakes System” in 40 CFR 132.2 and examine the preamble to 40 CFR part 132, which describes the part 132 regulations. See 60 FR 15366 (March 23, 1995). If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Examples of potentially affected entities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Industries discharging or intending to discharge selenium to waters in the Great Lakes System as defined in 40 CFR 132.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipalities</td>
<td>Publicly owned treatment works discharging or intending to discharge selenium to waters of the Great Lakes System as defined in 40 CFR 132.2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is as a result of today’s action, States and Tribes need not adopt or submit to EPA for review an aquatic life CMC for selenium for waters of the Great Lakes Basin. In the interim, EPA is recommending that States and Tribes rely on the aquatic life CMC for selenium in Table 2(a) of part 132 when setting...
permit limits. States and Tribes have submitted aquatic life CCC for selenium that are as protective as the 1995 Guidance CCC for selenium.

II. “Good Cause” Under the Administrative Procedure Act

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. EPA has determined that there is good cause for making today’s rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because EPA finds it “unnecessary” to provide an opportunity to comment on the strictly legal issue of the impact of the AISI decision on the March 1995 selenium CMC. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued an order vacating the acute criterion for selenium in 1996. This rule merely removes the criterion from the CFR to eliminate confusion. Thus, notice and public procedure are contrary to the public interest. EPA finds that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). For this reason, EPA has also determined that it has “good cause” under 5 U.S.C. 553(d) to make the rule immediately effective upon publication.

III. Executive Order 12866

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), EPA must determine whether the regulatory action is “significant” and therefore subject to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Order defines “significant regulatory action” as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

1. Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or Tribal governments or communities;

2. Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

3. Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

4. Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order. It has been determined that this rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under the terms of Executive Order 12866 and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

IV. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and public procedure is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA has made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefore, and established an effective date of June 2, 2000. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

V. Regulatory Flexibility Act as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

Because the Agency has made a “good cause” finding that this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute (see section II), it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

VI. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Because the Agency has made a “good cause” finding that this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute (see section II), it is not subject to sections 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Public Law 104–4).

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

There are no information collection requirements in this final rule and therefore there is no need to obtain OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

VIII. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications. “Policies that have federalism implications” is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” Under section 6 of the Executive Order 13132, EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by State and local governments, or EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation. EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law, unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This final rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. Because the AISI Court vacated the aquatic life CMC for selenium in 1996, EPA is removing it from the final Great Lakes Guidance in 40 CFR part 132. As a result, States and Tribes need not adopt or submit to EPA for review an aquatic life CMC for selenium for waters of the Great Lakes Basin as part of their part 132 submission. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

IX. Executive Order 13084, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the
Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the Tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA’s prior consultation with representatives of affected Tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments “to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.”

Today’s rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments nor does it impose substantial direct compliance costs on them. Today’s final rule only withdraws the selenium CMC from part 132, Table 1(a) of the final Great Lakes Guidance. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

X. Executive Order 13045 on Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be “economically significant” as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This final rule is not subject to the Executive Order because it is not economically significant as defined in Executive Order 12866. In addition, this rule does not concern an environmental health or safety risks that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. This final rule merely removes the aquatic life CMC for selenium from part 132, Table 1(a) of the Guidance, consistent with the 1996 Court order vacating the acute (CMC) criterion for selenium. In the interim, EPA is recommending that States and Tribes rely on the aquatic life CMC for selenium in Table 2(a) of part 132 when setting permit limits. States and Tribes have submitted aquatic life CMC for selenium that are as protective as the 1995 Guidance CMC for selenium.

XI. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104–113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 132

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Great Lakes, Indians-lands, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water pollution control.


Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

For the reasons set out in the preamble Title 40, Chapter I of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 132—WATER QUALITY GUIDANCE FOR THE GREAT LAKES SYSTEM

1. The authority citation for part 132 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

2. Table 1(a) to part 132 is amended by removing the entry for selenium.

[FR Doc. 00–13771 Filed 6–1–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

41 CFR Parts 51–8, 51–9, and 51–10

Change in Committee Mailing Address

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Committee is making changes in its regulations to correct its mailing address after a recent office move.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 2, 2000.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G. John Heyer (703) 603–0665. Copies of this notice will be made available on request in computer diskette format.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee is amending those provisions of its regulations which state its mailing address, as the address changed on May 12, 2000. The provisions appear in the Committee’s Freedom of Information Act, Privacy Act, and nondiscrimination regulations at 41 CFR parts 51–8, 51–9, and 51–10 respectively. This amendment is exempt under 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2) from notice-and-comment rulemaking because it is a matter of internal agency management.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This final rule is exempt from the Regulatory Flexibility Act because it does not meet the definition of a “rule” in that Act.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply to this final rule because it contains no new information collection or recordkeeping requirements as defined in that Act and its regulations.

Executive Order No. 12866

The Committee has been exempted from the regulatory review requirements of the Executive Order by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. Additionally, this final rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined in the Executive Order.

List of Subjects

41 CFR Part 51–8

Freedom of information.

41 CFR Part 51–9
Privacy.