

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted by July 28, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments are to be submitted to Ledia Esther Bernal, OSHRC Clearance Officer, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street, N.W., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036-3419. They may also be sent by facsimile to (202) 606-5390.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Copies of the information collection are available for inspection at the address above. They will be mailed to persons who request copies by telephoning Ledia Esther Bernal at (202) 606-5390.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (OSHRC) published a rule in the **Federal Register** dated August 14, 1995 establishing the "E-Z Trial" program. The rule was subsequently amended to eliminate the sunset provisions in the original rule and to revise the procedural rules governing the "E-Z Trial" program effective July 31, 1997. We are evaluating the program as modified effective July 31, 1997. The evaluation will involve surveying employers and employer representatives regarding their satisfaction with the fairness and efficiency of the process. The evaluation will also analyze data on the rate at which "E-Z Trial" cases go to a hearing, and on the length and cost of hearings. Finally, the evaluation will study the cycle times of these cases as compared to those of conventional cases. Information will also be gathered from Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) staff and from the Solicitor of Labor.

**II. Current Action**

This notice requests comment on the proposed instruments to be used in connection with the evaluation.

*Type of Review:* Regular Submission (new).

*Title:* Evaluation of "E-Z Trial."

*OMB Number:* New.

*Affected Public:* Employers and/or their representatives, labor organizations and staff of the Office of the Solicitor of Labor who have been involved in cases with the Review Commission.

*Frequency:* Once.

*Total Respondents:* 100.

*Average Time per Response:* 45 minutes.

*Estimated Total Reporting burden:* 75 hours.

*Total Annualized capital/startup costs:* 0.

*Total Operation and Maintenance costs:* 0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request. Comments will become a matter of public record.

**Patricia A. Randle,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 00-13558 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7600-01-U**

**PRESIDIO TRUST**

**Letterman Complex, The Presidio of San Francisco, Notice of approval of Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Planning Guidelines**

**AGENCY:** The Presidio Trust.

**ACTION:** Notice of Approval of Record of Decision.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-90 as amended), and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Presidio Trust (Trust) has prepared and approved a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement and Planning Guidelines for New Development and Uses on 23 Acres within the Letterman Complex (FEIS), The Presidio of San Francisco, San Francisco, California (Presidio). The FEIS is a supplement to the 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) EIS for the Presidio. The ROD documents the decision and rationale for selecting a development alternative for the 23-acre site in compliance with the mandates of the Presidio Trust Act and as guided by the GMPA. The ROD is a concise statement of the decision, alternatives considered, the nature of public involvement, and mitigating measures developed to avoid or minimize environmental impacts. Comments received on the FEIS and responses are also attached to the ROD.

**DATES:** The Trust has selected for implementation Alternative 5, the Digital Arts Center (identified as the proposed action in the FEIS), as the development alternative for the 23-acre site, and will implement the selected proposal as soon as practicable. This alternative and five other alternatives were fully examined in the Draft EIS that was publicly circulated and filed with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on April 23,

1999 (64 FR 23296-97) and the FEIS that was publicly circulated and filed with the EPA on March 17, 2000 (65 FR 14558). The Presidio Trust Board of Directors reviewed and considered the ROD after an extended no-action period, and authorized the Trust's Executive Director to finalize and approve the ROD, which was signed on May 24, 2000.

**MATERIALS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC:** The approved ROD is available for viewing on the Internet by clicking on "Library" and then "Postings" at the following website: <http://www.presidiotrust.gov>.

Additionally, copies of the ROD are available by writing or calling: The Presidio Trust, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052, Phone: 415-561-5300; Fax: 415-561-5315.

The ROD is also available for review at:

The Presidio Trust Library, 34 Graham Street, San Francisco, CA 94129, Phone: 415-561-5300.

William Penn Mott, Jr. Visitor Center (Presidio) (open 7 days), Montgomery Street, Main Post, San Francisco, CA 94129, Phone: 415-561-4323.

GGNRA Park Headquarters, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123, Phone: 415-561-4720.

San Francisco Main Library, Government Information Center, Civic Center, San Francisco, CA 94102, Phone: 415-557-4500.

San Francisco Library, Presidio Branch, 3150 Sacramento Street, San Francisco, CA 94115, Phone: 415-292-2155.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Telephone 415-561-5300.

Dated: May 24, 2000.

**Karen A. Cook,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 00-13508 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-4R-U**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 206(4)-3, SEC File No. 270-218, OMB Control No. 3235-0242; Rule 206(4)-4, SEC File No. 270-304, OMB Control No. 3235-0345.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

(44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 206(4)-3, which is entitled "Cash Payments for Client Solicitations," provides restrictions on cash payments for client solicitations. The rule requires that an adviser pay all solicitors' fees pursuant to a written agreement. When an adviser will provide only impersonal advisory services to the prospective client, the rule imposes no disclosure requirements. When the solicitor is affiliated with the adviser and the adviser will provide individualized services, the solicitor must, at the time of the solicitation, indicate to prospective clients that he is affiliated with the adviser. When the solicitor is not affiliated with the adviser and the adviser will provide individualized services, the solicitor must, at the time of the solicitation, provide the prospective client with a copy of the adviser's brochure and a disclosure document containing information specified in rule 206(4)-3. The information rule 206(4)-3 requires is necessary to inform advisory clients about the nature of the solicitor's financial interest in the recommendation so they may consider the solicitor's potential bias, and to protect investors against solicitation activities being carried out in a manner inconsistent with the adviser's fiduciary duty to clients. Rule 206(4)-3 is applicable to all registered investment advisers. The Commission believes that approximately 1,588 of these advisers have cash referral fee arrangements. The rule requires approximately 7.04 burden hours per year adviser and results in a total of approximately 11,180 total burden hours ( $7.04 \times 1,588$ ) for all advisers.

Rule 206(4)-4, which is entitled "Financial and Disciplinary Information that Investment Advisers Must Disclose to Clients," requires advisers to disclose certain financial and disciplinary information to clients. The disclosure requirements in rule 206(4)-4 are designed so that clients will have information about an adviser's financial condition and disciplinary events that may be material to an evaluation of the adviser's integrity or ability to meet contractual commitments to clients. We estimate that approximately 1,118 advisers are subject to this rule. The rule requires approximately 7.5 burden hours per year per adviser and amounts

to approximately 8,385 total burden hours ( $7.5 \times 1,118$ ) for all advisers.

The estimates of burden hours set forth above are made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the cost of SEC rules and forms.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 22, 2000.

**Margaret H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-13492 Filed 5-30-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 17f-2(a); SEC File No. 270-34; OMB Control No. 3235-0034.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

### • Rule 17f-2(a) Fingerprinting Requirements for Securities Professionals

Rule 17f-2(a) requires that securities professionals be fingerprinted. This requirement serves to identify security risk personnel, to allow an employer to make fully informed employment decisions, and to deter possible wrongdoers from seeking employment in the securities industry. Partners, directors, officers, and employees of exchanges, broker, dealers, transfer agents, and clearing agencies are included.

It is estimated that approximately 10,000 respondents will submit fingerprint cards. It is also estimated that each respondent will submit 55 fingerprint cards. The staff estimates that the average number of hours necessary to comply with the Rule 17f-2(a) is one-half hour. The total burden is 275,000 hours for respondents, based upon past submissions. The average cost per hour is approximately \$50. Therefore, the total cost of compliance for respondents is \$13,750,000.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimates for the curden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Michael E. Barrett, Associated Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Secretary and Exchange Commission, 450 5th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: May 23, 2000.

**Margarett H. McFarland,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-13528 Filed 5-23-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-M**