

This rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments because it applies only to State and local permitting programs. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

*J. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act*

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), Public Law 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by one or more voluntary consensus standard bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This rule does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA is not considering the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 70**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Operating permits.

Dated: May 12, 2000.

**Carol M. Browner,**  
*Administrator.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, title 40, chapter I, of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below.

**PART 70—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

2. Appendix A of part 70 is amended by the following:

- a. Revising the date at the end of the third sentence in paragraph (a) under Texas to read “December 1, 2001”; and
- b. Revising the date at the end of the following paragraphs to read “December 1, 2001”: Paragraph (a) under Alaska, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virgin Islands, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin;

paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) under Alabama and Nevada; paragraphs (a), (b), (c)(1), (c)(2), (d)(1), and (d)(2) under Arizona; paragraphs (a) through (hh) under California; paragraphs (a) and (e) under Tennessee; and paragraphs (a) through (i) under Washington.

[FR Doc. 00-12789 Filed 5-19-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**48 CFR Part 235**

**[DFARS Case 200-D401]**

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation Budget Category Definitions**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Acting Director of Defense Procurement has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to remove obsolete definitions pertaining to research and development efforts. The rule replaces the obsolete definitions with a reference to the current definitions pertaining to research and development found in the DoD Financial Management Regulation.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 22, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Susan Schneider, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, PDUSD(AT&L)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone (703) 602-0326; telefax (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2000-D401.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Background**

This final rule revises DFARS 235.001 to remove obsolete definitions pertaining to research and development and to replace the definitions with a reference to those in the DoD Financial Management Regulation (DoD 7000.14-R).

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

**B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

This final rule does not constitute a significant revision within the meaning of FAR 1.501 and Public Law 98-577 and publication for public comment is not required. However, DoD will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such

comments should cite DFARS Case 2000-D401.

**C. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

**List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 235**

Government procurement.

**Michele P. Peterson,**

*Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.*

Therefore, 48 CFR Part 235 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 235 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

**PART 235—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTING**

2. Section 235.001 is revised to read as follows:

**235.001 Definitions.**

“Research and development” means those efforts described by the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) budget activity definitions found in the DoD Financial Management Regulation (DoD 7000.14-R), Volume 2B, Chapter 5.

[FR Doc. 00-12417 Filed 5-19-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5000-04-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**48 CFR Part 241**

**[DFARS Case 99-D309]**

**Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Authority Relating to Utility Privatization**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Acting Director of Defense Procurement is adopting as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 2812 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000. Section 2812 provides that DoD may enter into utility service contracts related to the conveyance of a utility system for periods not to exceed 50 years.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 22, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Melissa Rider, Defense Acquisition