

reasonably required. Any chemical covered by TSCA for which EPA or another Federal Agency has a reasonable need for information and which cannot be satisfied via other sources is a proper potential subject for a chemical-specific TSCA section 8(a) rulemaking. Information that may be collected under TSCA section 8(a) includes, but is not limited to, chemical names, categories of use, production volume, byproducts of chemical production, existing data on deaths and environmental effects, exposure data, and disposal information. Generally, EPA uses chemical-specific information under TSCA section 8(a) to evaluate the potential for adverse human health and environmental effects caused by the manufacture, importation, processing, use, or disposal of identified chemical substances and mixtures. Additionally, EPA may use TSCA section 8(a) information to assess the need or set priorities for testing and/or further regulatory action. To the extent that reported information is not considered confidential, environmental groups, environmental justice advocates, state and local government entities and other members of the public will also have access to this information for their own use.

Responses to the collection of information are mandatory (see 40 CFR part 704). Respondents may claim all or part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

V. What are EPA's Burden and Cost Estimates for this ICR?

Under the PRA, "burden" means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. For this collection it includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of this estimate, which is only briefly summarized in this notice.

The annual public burden for this collection of information is estimated to average about 69 hours per response. The following is a summary of the estimates taken from the ICR:

Respondents/affected entities: 4.

Frequency of response: On occasion.

Estimated total/average number of responses for each respondent: 1.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 275.

Estimated total annual burden costs: \$0.

VI. Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

There are no changes in the burden estimates since the last approval of this ICR.

VII. What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 11, 2000.

Susan H. Wayland,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.
[FR Doc. 00-12648 Filed 5-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6607-3]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed May 08, 2000 Through May 12, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000139, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, NV, Legislative EIS—Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Homeland, To Establish a Permanent Tribal Land Base and

Related Cooperative Activities, The Transfer of Federal Land and Acquisition of Private Land, Death Valley National Park, Saline Valley, CA and Lida Ranch near Lida, NV, Due: July 19, 2000, Contact: Alan Schmierer (415) 427-1441.

EIS No. 000140, Final EIS, BLM, WY, Horse Creek Coal Lease Application (Federal Coal Lease Application WYW-141435), Implementation, Campbell and Converse Counties, WY, Due: June 19, 2000, Contact: Nancy Doelger (307) 261-7627.

EIS No. 000141, Draft EIS, AFS, Forest Service Roadless Area Conservation, Implementation, Proposal to Protect Roadless Areas, In addition, the Agency is proposing special consideration for the Tongass National Forest, Due: July 03, 2000, Contact: Scott Conroy (703) 605-5299.

EIS No. 000142, Draft EIS, FHW, NV, Reno Railroad Corridor, Implementation of the Freight Railroad Grade Separation Improvements in the Central Portion of the City of Reno, Washoe County, NV, Due: July 03, 2000, Contact: John T. Price (775) 687-1204.

EIS No. 000143, Final EIS, UAF, FL, Tyndall Air Force Base, Implementation, Proposed Conversion of Two F-15 Fighter Squadrons to F-22 Fighter Squadrons, FL, Due: June 19, 2000, Contact: Herman Bell (850) 283-8572.

EIS No. 000144, Final EIS, SFW, CA, High Desert Power Project, Construction and Operation, A Combined-Cycle Natural Gas-Fueled Electrical Generation Power Plant, Approval of Incidental Take Permit Authorization under Sections 7 and 10 of the Federal ESA, San Bernardino County, CA, Due: June 19, 2000, Contact: George Walker (760) 255-8852.

EIS No. 000145, Draft Supplement, NOA, FL, Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS), Comprehensive Management Plan, Updated Information, Proposal to Establish a No-Take Ecological Reserve in the Tortugas Region, FL, Due: July 31, 2000, Contact: Billy D. Causey (305) 743-2437.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000101, Draft EIS, FAA, NC, Piedmont Triad International Airport, Construction and Operation, Runway 5L/23R and New Overnight Express Air Cargo Sorting and Distribution Facility, and Associated Developments, Funding, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Greensboro, Guilford County, NC, Due: June 07, 2000, Contact: Donna

M. Meyer (404) 305-7150. Revision of FR notice published on 04/14/2000: CEQ Comment Date corrected from 05/30/2000 to 06/07/2000.

EIS No. 000135, Draft Supplement, NPS, MS, Natchez Trace Parkway, Update Information on the Construction of Section 3P13 (Old Agency Road), City of Ridgeland, Madison County, MS, Due: July 12, 2000, Contact: Wendall Simpson (601) 680-4005.

Published FR 05-12-00 Correction to Title.

Dated: May 16, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-12680 Filed 5-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6607-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 01, 2000 Through May 05, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FAA-B51019-RI Rating EC2, T. F. Green Airport Project, To Implement the Part 150 Noise Abatement Procedures in a Safe and Efficient Manner, Warwick County, RI.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the analysis of community noise impacts and mitigation measures described in the DEIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40308-TN Rating EC2, TN-374 (North Parkway) Project, Construction from TN13 to TN 76 in Clarksville, Funding, US Coast Guard and COE Section 404 Permits, Montgomery County, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding purpose and need and potential wetland impacts. EPA requested clarification of these issues.

ERP No. D-FHW-F40388-WI Rating EC2, US-14/61 Westby-Virogua Bypass Corridor Study, Transportation

Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Cities of Virogua and Westby, Vernon County, WI.

Summary: EPA expressed concern that the document did not provide information on how this project relates to plans for the Highway 14 and 61 corridors.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40167-MO Rating EC2, US 65 Improvements, from County Road 65-122 South to Route EE Intersection south of Buffalo, COE Section 404 Permit, Dallas County, MO.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding possible detrimental impacts to drinking water supplies; lack of cumulative and indirect impacts analysis; and a lack of maps detailing information addressed in the various sections of the DEIS.

ERP No. D-FRC-K03023-00 Rating EC2, Southern Trails Pipeline Project (CP99-163-000), Conversion of an Existing Crude Oil Pipeline (known as the ARCO Four Corners Pipeline Line 90 System), Construction and Operation, CA, AZ, UT and NM.

Summary: EPA identified some concerns and additional analysis needs, particularly in the area of socioeconomics and the treatment of environmental justice.

ERP No. D-FTA-F54012-OH Rating EC2, Bera/I-X Center Red Line Extension Project, Southwest Corridor Major Investment, Transit Improvements, Funding, Cuyahoga County, OH.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns because of the lack of discussion pertaining to avoidance, minimization and mitigation of wetlands and the insufficiency of the content and format of noise and vibration analysis.

ERP No. D-USN-K11033-CA Rating EC2, El Toro Marine Corps Air Station Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed concern that re-use activities could lead to exceedences of applicable air quality standards, could result in increased water pollution, or could harm wildlife. EPA recommended that the Navy identify mitigation to protect wetlands, develop a restoration alternative, and consider an environmental management system (EMS) to mitigate risks.

ERP No. DA-IBR-J35005-00 Rating EC2, Animas-La Plata Project (ALP Project), Municipal and Industrial Water Supply, Reservoir Construction in Ridges Basin, Implementation and Water Acquisition Funding, Additional Information concerning Project Alternatives Developed in 1996 through 1997, CO NM.

Summary: EPA requested that additional information be provided on

how the various environmental impacts of the alternatives are being compared and details of the proposed wetland and habitat mitigation plan.

ERP No. DS-DOD-A11075-00 Rating LO, National Missile Defense Deployment (NMD) System, Upgraded Early Warning Radar Supplement (UEWR), To Address Interior Replacement of Electronic Hardware and Computer Software, Affected Areas Clear Air Force Station (AFS), Denali Borough, AK; Beale Air Force Base (AFB), Yuba County, CA; and Cape Cod AFS, Barnstable County, MA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to this project.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BOP-E80002-SC South Carolina—Federal Correctional Institution, Construct and Operate, Possible Sites: Andrew, Bennettsville, Oliver and Salters, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential wetlands impacts.

ERP No. F-FAA-B51021-MA Provincetown Municipal Airport Safety and Operational Enhancement Project, Improvements (1) Firefighter Equipment Garage; (2) General Aviation Parking Apron Expansion; (3) Runaway Safety Areas, and (4) a Runaway Extension, COE Section 404 Permit, Cape Cod National Seashore, Barnstable County, MA.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the recommended actions in the FEIS but asked to be actively involved in any NEPA reevaluation associated with any future runway extension project at the airport.

ERP No. F-FHW-H50001-MO MO-19 Missouri River Replacement Bridge Project, Construction and Operation, US Coast Guard and COE Section 404 Permits, Gasconade and Montgomery Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA urged FHWA/MoDOT to condition the Record of Decision for the selection of the 5-W1 alternative pending completion of Fish and Wildlife Service surveys for three endangered species.

ERP No. F-FHW-K40225-CA Marin US-101 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Gap Closure Project, Construction from US 101 I-580 on US-101 from Lucky Drive to North San Pedro Road and I-580 from Irene Street to US-101, Funding, COE Section 404 and Bridge Permits, Marin County, CA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FTA-K40238-CA Downtown Sacramento—Folsom Corridor, Improvement of Transit Services, US 50/Folsom Boulevard,