

Assessment announced herein will cover only the initial 153 MW simple cycle plant and related electric transmission line as only the 153 MW unit is being considered for RUS financial assistance.

The alternative to be considered by RUS to providing financial assistance to Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation for the construction of the 153 MW plant would be to take no action and, therefore, not provide financial assistance.

Upon completion of the Environmental Assessment, the document will be made available for public review for 30 days. Should RUS determine that the overall impacts of the construction and operation of the plant will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment, it will prepare and publish a finding of no significant impact (FONSI).

Availability of the Environmental Assessment and notification of a FONSI will be published in the **Federal Register** and in newspapers with a general circulation in the project area.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposed project will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with environmental review requirements prescribed by CEQ and RUS regulations.

Dated: May 11, 2000.

**Mark Plank,**

*Acting Director, Engineering and Environmental Staff.*

[FR Doc. 00-12440 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zone Board

[Docket 19-2000]

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone 165—Midland, TX; Application for Subzone, Phillips Petroleum Company (Oil Refinery Complex), Borger, TX**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the City of Midland, grantee of FTZ 165, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the oil refinery complex of Phillips Petroleum Company, located in Borger, Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on May 3, 2000.

The refinery complex (130,000 BPD capacity, 246 storage tanks with 10.3 million barrel capacity) is located at two sites in Borger, Texas: Site 1 (6,045

acres)—main refinery complex, located at Spur 119 North, Borger; Site 2 (585 acres)—crude oil tank farm, located on Highway 136, Borger, 5 miles north of the main refinery complex. The refinery is used to produce fuels, liquid petroleum gases, and refinery by-products including gasoline, jet fuel, aviation gas, distillates, residual fuels, naphthas, motor fuel blendstocks, butane, isobutane, butadiene, propane, benzene, toluene, xylene, acyclic and cyclic hydrocarbons, hydrogen sulfide, carbon black oil, petroleum coke, asphalt and sulfur. Some 10 percent of the crude oil (60 percent of inputs) is sourced from abroad. The application also indicates that the company may in the future import under FTZ procedures some naphthas, virgin gas oil, natural gas condensate and motor fuel blendstocks.

Zone procedures would exempt the refinery from Customs duty payments on the foreign products used in its exports. On domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the Customs duty rates that apply to certain petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (duty-free) by admitting incoming foreign crude in non-privileged foreign status. The duty rates on inputs range from 5.25 cents/barrel to 10.5 cents/barrel. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the refinery's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 17, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to July 31, 2000.

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

Office of the Port Director, U.S. Customs Service, 10801 Airport Blvd., Amarillo, TX 79111

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zone Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: May 7, 2000.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-12327 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-506]

#### **Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On January 26, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Clover Enamelware Enterprise, Ltd. of China ("Clover"), and its Hong Kong affiliated reseller, Lucky Enamelware Factory Ltd. ("Lucky"), collectively referred to as Clover/Lucky, for the period December 1, 1998 through November 30, 1999. The Department is rescinding this review after receiving a timely withdrawal from the Petitioner, Columbian Home Products, of its request for review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 17, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Russell Morris, Group II, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1775.

#### **The Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

#### **Background**

On December 30, 1999, Petitioner requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Clover/Lucky, manufacturer and/or reseller of the subject merchandise in the PRC for the period December 1, 1998 through November 30, 1999. On January 26, 2000, the Department published in the

**Federal Register** a notice of initiation of administrative review with respect to Clover/Lucky for the period December 1, 1998 through November 30, 1999. See, *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 65 FR 4228 (January 26, 2000). On April 25, 2000, Petitioner requested that it be allowed to withdraw its request for a review and that the review be terminated.

#### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Because the Petitioner's request for termination was submitted within the 90-day time limit, and there were no requests for review from other interested parties, we are rescinding this review. We will issue appropriate appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service. This notice is in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 8, 2000.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 00-12326 Filed 5-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-808]

#### Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel wire rod from India.

**SUMMARY:** On January 11, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results and partial rescission of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from India (65 FR 1597). This review covers Viraj Group Ltd., ("Viraj"), a manufacturer and exporter of subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review is December 1, 1997 through November 30, 1998.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have changed

our results from the preliminary results of review. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 17, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen Bailey, Laurel LaCivita or Rick Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0414, (202) 482-4243 or (202) 482-3818, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

##### Background

On January 11, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 1597) the preliminary results and partial rescission of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from India ("Preliminary Results"). As we stated in that notice, this review was rescinded with respect to Mukand, Ltd. and Panchmahal Steel Ltd., pursuant to timely requests for withdrawal of their review requests. We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review.

We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is stainless steel wire rod from India. This merchandise is classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS") subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0020, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0040, 7221.00.045, 7221.00.0060, 7221.00.0075, and 7221.00.0080. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this finding remains dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in

the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("*Decision Memorandum*") from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May, 10, 2000, which is hereby adopted in this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/import-admin/records/frn](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import-admin/records/frn). The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

##### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have changed our results from the preliminary results of review. For the final results of review, Viraj's duty drawback claims have not been allowed.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following percentage margin exists for the period December 1, 1997, through November 30, 1998:

Manufacturer/exporter/reseller	Margin (percent)
Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd .....	11.88

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment rates. We divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the entered quantity of those reviewed sales for Viraj. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting unit margins against the entered quantity for the subject merchandise on each of Viraj's entries during the review period.

##### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of stainless steel wire rod from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of