

operators is estimated to be \$25,920, or \$960 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 2000-NM-98-AD.

Applicability: Model 747 airplanes, certificated in any category; equipped with Pratt & Whitney JT9D-7Q and JT9D-7Q3 turbofan engines.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability

provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent contact between the precooler support link and the precooler support fitting, which could contribute to an uncontained failure of the diffuser case and damage to the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) For any precooler support fitting having P/N 65B90924-1 or P/N 65B90924-600 that has not been reworked to the dimensions specified in Boeing Service Letter 747-SL-36-089, dated August 10, 1998: Within 6,000 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, or within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, perform a detailed visual inspection to detect evidence of contact wear or contact between the precooler support fitting and link assembly, P/N 69B93162-1 or 69B93162-3, in accordance with the service letter.

(1) If no evidence of contact wear or contact between the precooler support fitting and link assembly is found: At the next engine removal, rework the precooler support fitting to the dimensions specified in the service letter, in accordance with the service letter; and permanently and legibly reidentify the support fitting as P/N 65B09024-601.

(2) If any evidence of contact wear or contact between the precooler support fitting and link assembly is found: Prior to further flight, rework the precooler support fitting to the dimensions specified in the service letter, in accordance with the service letter; and permanently and legibly reidentify the support fitting as P/N 65B09024-601.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed visual inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

(b) For any precooler support fitting having P/N 65B90924-1 or P/N 65B90924-600 that has been reworked to the dimensions specified in Boeing Service Letter 747-SL-36-089, dated August 10, 1998, but has not been permanently and legibly reidentified: Within 6,000 hours time-in-service or 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, permanently and legibly reidentify the reworked fitting as P/N 65B09024-601.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permit

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 27, 2000.

Donald L. Riggan,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-11064 Filed 5-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-849, MM Docket No. 00-66, RM-9842]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Des Moines, NM

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Sierra Grande Broadcasting seeking the allotment of Channel 287C to Des Moines, NM, as the community's first local aural service. Petitioner is requested to provide demographic information showing that Des Moines qualifies as a "community" for allotment purposes. Channel 287C can be allotted to Des Moines in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction, at coordinates 36-45-48 NL; 103-50-12 WL.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 5, 2000, and reply comments on or before June 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should

serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Willison H. Gormly, Owner and Electrical Engineer, Sierra Grande Broadcasting, P.O. Box 51, Des Moines, New Mexico 88418-0051.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00-66, adopted April 5, 2000, and released April 14, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00-10925 Filed 5-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 697

[Docket No. 000412106-0106-01; I.D. 032200A]

RIN 0648-A002

Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act Provisions; Atlantic Coast Horseshoe Crab Fishery; Closed Area to Horseshoe Crab Fishing

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR); consideration of a closed area to fishing for horseshoe crab.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces that it is considering, and is seeking public comment on, a prohibition on fishing for horseshoe crab (*Limulus polyphemus*) in Federal waters (EEZ) in an area encompassing a 30-nautical mile (nm) (55.6 km) radius from the mouth of the Delaware Bay (measured from the territorial sea boundary midway between Cape May, New Jersey and Cape Henlopen, Delaware). NMFS would take such action, if appropriate, under the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act (ACFCMA, 16 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*) with the purpose of conserving the Delaware Bay population of horseshoe crabs at a level that can sustain fisheries and provide a sufficient amount of horseshoe crab eggs for migratory shorebirds, which feed on such eggs.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Richard Schaefer, Chief, Staff Office for Intergovernmental and Recreational Fisheries (Fx2), National Marine Fisheries Service, 8484 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Perra, 301-427-2014.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Atlantic coast horseshoe crab fishery takes place from Maine through Florida. Approximately 60 percent of horseshoe crabs are taken in the territorial sea (an area which extends from the coastline seaward to a distance of 3 nm (5.56 km)) off of the mid-Atlantic states (New York through Virginia). The fishery in Federal waters (3-200 nm) takes place seaward of the 3-nm line off of the mid-Atlantic states, where horseshoe crabs are primarily harvested with trawls or dredges.

In the mid-Atlantic area in recent years, there has been a dramatic shift in fishing effort on horseshoe crabs from waters under state jurisdiction to waters under Federal jurisdiction. This has raised concern about maintaining the Delaware Bay population of horseshoe crabs at levels that can sustain fisheries and provide an abundance of horseshoe crab eggs, an important food source for migratory shorebirds. While no complete Atlantic coast stock assessment is available for horseshoe crabs, some mid-Atlantic surveys show declining trends in horseshoe crab abundance. Fisheries in waters under state jurisdiction are managed through

the Interstate Fishery Management Plan for the Horseshoe Crab (Plan) developed by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (Commission). Since the majority of horseshoe crabs are harvested from waters under state jurisdiction, horseshoe crab fisheries are managed most appropriately and effectively under the authority of the ACFCMA, which provides for the issuance of compatible Federal regulations in the EEZ complementary to those of the states.

The Commission approved the Plan in November 1999, and Addendum 1 to the Plan in February 2000. The states, through adoption of the Plan and its Addendum 1, recognize the need to conserve horseshoe crab stocks. Under Addendum 1, a variety of new requirements in state waters is being implemented to better monitor and manage the horseshoe crab fishery, including a 25 percent reduction in each state's horseshoe crab bait-fishery landings. Addendum 1 also recommends to NMFS that it "should establish an offshore horseshoe crab sanctuary in federal waters within a 30 nautical mile radius of the mouth of the Delaware Bay. The taking of horseshoe crabs for any purpose, including biomedical, would be prohibited in this sanctuary. Furthermore, the NMFS should prohibit the transfer of horseshoe crabs in Federal waters."

The Commission requested that the area in the EEZ off the mouth of the Delaware Bay be closed to fishing to give special protection to that Bay's population of horseshoe crabs. The Commission determined that this protection is necessary to conserve the Delaware Bay population of horseshoe crabs at sustainable levels and to maintain the abundance of horseshoe crab eggs in Delaware Bay as a food source for migratory shorebirds.

Because of the difficulty in enforcing a closed area in the shape of a radius (semi-circle), NMFS is considering establishing a closed area in Federal waters that would be roughly equivalent in the shape of a rectangle. The closed area would be bounded as follows:

(1) On the north by a straight line connecting points 39°15.0' N. lat., 74°32.66' W. long. (3 nm off of Peck Beach, New Jersey) and 39°15.0' N. lat., 74°22.0' W. long.

(2) On the east by a straight line connecting points 39°15.0' N. lat., 74°22.0' W. long. and 38°22.0' N. lat., 74°22.0' W. long.

(3) On the south side by a straight line connecting points 38°22.0' N. lat., 74°22.0' W. long. and 38°22.0' N. lat., 75°35.46' W. long. (3 nm off of Ocean City, Maryland).