

Commission's minimum distance separation requirements at city reference coordinates. The coordinates for Channel 286A at Sheffield are 41-42-42 North Latitude and 79-00-56 West Longitude. Since Sheffield is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border, Canadian concurrence has been requested.

The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Erie Foods International, Inc., proposing the allotment of Channel 288A at Erie, Illinois, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 288A can be allotted to Erie in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 0.6 kilometers (0.4 miles) east to avoid a short-spacing to licensed site of Station KQLI(FM), Channel 285C3, DeWitt, Iowa. The coordinates for Channel 288A at Erie are 41-39-22 North Latitude and 90-04-23 West Longitude.

The Commission also requests comments on a petition filed by Sutton Radiocasting Corporation proposing the allotment of Channel 237A at Due West, South Carolina, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 237A can be allotted to Due West in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 5.5 kilometers (3.4 miles) south to avoid a short-spacing to the licensed site of Station WBTS(FM), Channel 238C1, Athens, Georgia. The coordinates for Channel 237A at Due West are 34-17-13 North Latitude and 82-24-23 West Longitude.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00-9778 Filed 4-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA No. 00-776, MM Docket No. 00-63, RM-9837]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Greenville and Cooper, TX

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by KRBE LICO, Inc. requesting the reallocation of Channel 228C3 from Greenville, Texas, to Cooper, Texas, and modification of the license for Station KIKT(FM) to specify Cooper, Texas, as the community of license. The coordinates for Channel 228C3 at Cooper are 33-21-55 and 95-41-55. In accordance with Section 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules, we shall not accept competing expressions of interest in the use of Channel 228C3 at Cooper.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before May 30, 2000, and reply comments on or before June 14, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Mark N. Lipp, 600 14th Street, NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00-63, adopted March 29, 2000, and released April 7, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this

one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00-9779 Filed 4-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 567 and 568

[Docket No. NHTSA-99-5673]

RIN 2127-AE27

Vehicles Built in Two or More Stages

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of establishment of a negotiated rulemaking advisory committee and notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: NHTSA announces the establishment of a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee to develop recommended amendments to the existing NHTSA regulations (49 CFR parts 567, 568) governing the certification of vehicles built in two or more stages to the Federal motor vehicle safety standards (49 CFR part 571). The purpose of the amendments would be to assign certification responsibilities more equitably among the various participants in the multi-stage vehicle manufacturing process. The Committee will develop its recommendations through a negotiation process. The Committee will consist of persons who represent the interests that would be affected by the proposed rule, such as first-stage, intermediate and final-stage manufacturers of motor vehicles, equipment manufacturers, vehicle converters, testing facilities, trade associations that represent various manufacturing groups, and consumers. This notice also announces the time and place of the first advisory committee meeting. The public is invited to attend; an opportunity for members of the public to make oral presentations will be provided if time permits.

DATES: The meeting will be from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May

10, 2000, and will continue from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 11, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at 1752 N Street, NW, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For non-legal issues, you may call Charles Hott, Office of Crashworthiness Standards, at 202-366-4920.

For legal issues, you may call Rebecca MacPherson, Office of the Chief Counsel, at 202-366-2992.

You may send mail to both of these officials at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC, 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On May 20, 1999, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) published a notice of intent to establish an advisory committee (Committee) for a negotiated rulemaking to develop recommendations for regulations governing the certification of vehicles built in two or more stages. The notice requested comment on membership, the interests affected by the rulemaking, the issues that the Committee should address, and the procedures that it should follow. The reader is referred to that notice (64 FR 27499) for further information on these issues.

NHTSA received 17 comments on the notice of intent. All commenters endorsed the concept of using the negotiated rulemaking process for this subject. Commenters generally supported the proposed list of issues without specific comment.

Based on this response, and for the reasons stated in the notice of intent, we have determined that establishing an advisory committee on this subject is appropriate and in the public interest. In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA; 5 U.S.C. App. I sec. 9(c)), we prepared a Charter for the Establishment of a Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee. We intend to file the charter within fifteen (15) days from the date of this publication.

II. Membership

A total of 20 individuals were nominated or applied for membership to the Committee, either through written comments or through follow-up telephone calls.

In considering requests for representation on the Committee, we had to first determine whether the requesters represent interests significantly affected by the proposed

rulemaking. As identified in the notice of intent, in addition to the Department of Transportation (DOT), these interests are: manufacturers of various stages of motor vehicles, equipment manufacturers, vehicle converters, testing facilities, trade associations that represent various manufacturing groups, and consumers of the affected vehicles.

Following is the list of Committee members, identified by interest. Members are encouraged to designate alternates who can serve in place of the member if necessary. As noted in the notice of intent, the Committee will make its decisions through a process of negotiation leading to consensus. "Consensus" means the unanimous concurrence among the interests represented on the Committee, unless the Committee explicitly adopts a different definition.

The meetings of the Committee will be facilitated by Phillip Harter and Alan Strasser of the Mediation Institute. The organizations and interests that will participate in the negotiated rulemaking are:

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration:

1. Rebecca MacPherson, Department of Transportation, NHTSA;

Incomplete Vehicle Manufacturers:

2. Timothy Blubaugh, Freightliner Corporation;
3. Lindsay Harding, Ford Motor Company;
4. Paul Murphy, Motor Coach Industries, International;
5. David Stensland, Navistar International Transportation Corporation;
6. Glenn Zuchniewicz, General Motors Corporation;

Component Manufacturers:

7. Jerome Loftus, Atwood Mobile Products;
8. Paul Wagner, Bornemann Products, Inc.

Final Stage Manufacturers:

9. Andy Callaway, Mark III Industries;
10. Phillip Headley, Environmental Industries Association;
11. David Humphreys, Recreational Vehicle Industry Association (RVIA);
12. Michael Kastner, National Truck Equipment Association (NTEA);
13. Mark Sidman, Ambulance Manufacturers Division, Manufacturers Council of Small School Buses, and Mid-Size Bus Manufacturers Association;
14. Thomas Turner, Blue Bird Body Company;
15. Becky Plank, National Mobility Equipment Dealers Association (NMEDA);

Dealers:

16. Douglas Greenhaus, National Automobile Dealers Association (NADA);

Testing Facilities:

17. John Phillips, Transportation Research Center (TRC);

Consumer Representatives:

18. Christopher Amos, National Association of Fleet Manufacturers;
19. Mark Edwards, AAA;
20. Clarence Ditlow, Center for Auto Safety;
21. Bob Herman, Paralyzed Veterans of America (PVA).

III. Participation by Non-Members

Meetings of the Committee will be open to the public so that individuals who are not part of the Committee may attend and observe. Any person attending the Committee meetings may address the Committee, if time permits, or file statements with the Committee.

IV. Key Issues for Negotiation

In its notice of intent, NHTSA tentatively identified major issues that should be considered in this negotiated rulemaking and asked for comment concerning the appropriateness of these issues for consideration and whether other issues should be added. These issues were:

- Equitable and effective allocation of certification responsibility;
- Enforcement issues relevant to each stage of manufacturing;
- Costs to regulated parties of testing or certification;
- Effects on safety;
- Effects on small businesses;
- Enforceability against later-stage manufacturers of standards that include dynamic testing;
- Feasibility and cost effectiveness of alternate methods (e.g., testing, computer modeling, or other as-yet-unspecified methods) to ensure compliance of completed vehicles with requirements of applicable FMVSSs;
- Mechanisms for incorporating alternate methods of ensuring compliance into these regulations;
- Mechanisms for sharing costs of testing;
- Requirements tailored to the capabilities and circumstances of each class of vehicles;
- Extended leadtime for implementation of FMVSSs for final-stage manufacturers;
- Recall and warranty responsibilities of manufacturers;
- Pass-through certification as a compliance option;
- Relative administrative/compliance burdens of certification on first-stage and later-stage manufacturers; and

• Scope of compliance “envelopes” prescribed by first-stage manufacturers and ability of intermediate- and final-stage manufacturers to stay within those envelopes.

Commenters neither objected to these issues nor suggested that additional issues be addressed. Accordingly, they will be the issues considered by the Committee.

V. Procedures and Schedule

Staff support for the Committee will be provided by NHTSA and the facilitator, and meetings will take place in Washington, DC, unless agreed otherwise by the Committee.

Consistent with FACA requirements, the facilitator will prepare summaries of each Committee meeting. These summaries and all documents submitted to the Committee will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

As stated in the notice of intent, the Committee’s objective is to prepare a report containing an outline of its recommendations for a notice of proposed rulemaking with suggestions for specific preamble and regulatory language based on the Committee’s recommendations, as well as information relevant to a regulatory evaluation and an evaluation of the impacts of the proposal on small businesses.

NHTSA intends to accept the Committee recommendations, keeping in mind its statutory authority and other legal requirements. In the event that the agency rejects any of the recommendations, the preamble to a NPRM addressing the issues that were the subject of the negotiations will explain the reasons for the rejection.

VI. Authority

5 U.S.C. sections 561 *et seq.*, delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

Issued on: April 14, 2000.

Stephen R. Kratzke,

Acting Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 00–9829 Filed 4–18–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018–AF92; RIN 1018–AF95

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Extension of Comment Periods on Proposed Critical Habitat for the Spectacled Eider and Steller’s Eider

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) provides notice that the comment periods on the proposed rules designating critical habitat for spectacled eider (*Somateria fischeri*) and Steller’s eider (*Polysticta stelleri*) are extended. The spectacled eider and Steller’s eider are found in marine waters and coastal wetlands in Alaska. All interested parties are invited to submit comments on these proposals.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule concerning spectacled eiders, which originally closed on May 8, 2000, now closes on June 30, 2000. The comment period for the proposed rule concerning Steller’s eiders, which originally closed on May 12, 2000, now closes on June 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written data or comments on the spectacled eider should be submitted to the Field Supervisor, Ecological Services Field Office, Anchorage, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 605 W. 4th Ave. Rm G–62, Anchorage, AK 99501; Fax: 907/271–2786. Written data or comments on the Steller’s eider should be submitted to the Field Supervisor, Northern Alaska Ecological Services, 101 12th Ave., Rm 110, Fairbanks, AK 99701. All comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the official administrative record and may be made available to the public.

The deadline for requesting public hearings for the spectacled eider critical habitat proposal was March 24, 2000. The deadline for requesting public hearings for the Steller’s eider critical habitat proposal is April 27, 2000. In order to be considered valid, requests must have been, or must be, submitted in writing and received at the offices indicated above before the public hearing request deadline date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the proposed rule concerning spectacled eiders, contact Ann G. Rappoport, Field Supervisor, Ecological Services Field

Office, Anchorage, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 605 W. 4th Ave. Rm G–62, Anchorage, AK 99501; phone: 907/271–2787 or toll-free 800/272–4174; Fax: 907/271–2786. For the proposed rule concerning Steller’s eiders, contact Ted Swem, Endangered Species Branch, at Northern Alaska Ecological Services, 101 12th Ave., Rm 110, Fairbanks, AK, 99701; phone: 907/456–0203; fax: 907/456–0208.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The spectacled eider is a large seaduck found in marine waters and coastal areas from the Nushagak Peninsula of southwestern Alaska north to Barrow and east nearly to the Canadian Border. The species may be threatened by habitat degradation, lead poisoning, increased predation rates, and hunting and other human disturbance. The Steller’s eider is a seaduck found in coastal and marine waters from the eastern Aleutian Islands around the western and northern coasts of Alaska to the Canada border. The Alaska-breeding population of this species is thought to have decreased significantly, but the causes of the suspected decline are unknown. On February 8, 2000, the Service published a proposed rule (65 FR 6114) to designate critical habitat for the spectacled eider, and on March 13, 2000, the Service published a proposed rule (65 FR 13262) to designate critical habitat for the Steller’s eider.

The comment period for the proposed rule designating critical habitat for spectacled eiders originally closed on May 8, 2000. The comment period for the proposed rule designating critical habitat for Steller’s eiders originally closed on May 12, 2000. Following publication of the proposed rules several parties expressed concern that the original comment periods did not allow sufficient time for review and comment by individuals and communities that may be affected by the proposed designation of critical habitat. The parties specifically indicated that the original comment periods may be inadequate for communities in remote areas and communities that are populated predominantly by Alaska Natives, for many of whom English is a second language. Additionally, we anticipate that the comment periods for the economic analyses associated with these proposed critical habitat designations will be open during June 2000. We wish to solicit comments on the proposed rules and their respective economic analyses simultaneously. In order to accommodate these