

### How Will I Be Told if My Application Was Selected?

Organizations selected as grant recipients will be notified by a representative of the Assistant Secretary. An applicant whose proposal is not selected will be notified in writing.

Notice that an organization has been selected as a grant recipient does not constitute approval of the grant application as submitted. Before the actual grant award, OSHA will enter into negotiations concerning such items as program components, funding levels, and administrative systems. If the negotiations do not result in an acceptable submittal, the Assistant Secretary reserves the right to terminate the negotiation and decline to fund the proposal.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of April 2000.

**Charles N. Jeffress,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 00-9754 Filed 4-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

### THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

#### Meetings of Humanities Panel

**AGENCY:** The National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Laura S. Nelson, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose trade secrets and

commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential and/or information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* May 1, 2000.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education at the March 1, 2000 deadline.

2. *Date:* May 2, 2000.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes School Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education at the March 1, 2000 deadline.

3. *Date:* May 3, 2000.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education at the March 1, 2000 deadline.

4. *Date:* May 5, 2000.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers, submitted to the Division of Education at the March 1, 2000 deadline.

5. *Date:* May 8-9, 2000.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education at the April 15, 2000 deadline.

6. *Date:* May 15-16, 2000.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education at the April 15, 2000 deadline.

7. *Date:* May 18-19, 2000.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 315.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Humanities Focus Grants, submitted to the Division of Education at the April 15, 2000 deadline.

8. *Date:* May 19, 2000.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 6 p.m.

*Room:* 415.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Regional Humanities Centers Planning Grants, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the March 31, 2000 deadline.

9. *Date:* May 19, 2000.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 527.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Extending the Reach Faculty Research Grants in Faculty Research Grants, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the April 10, 2000 deadline.

10. *Date:* May 22, 2000.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

*Room:* 527.

*Program:* This meeting will review applications for Extending the Reach Faculty Research Grants in Faculty Research Grants, submitted to the Division of Research Programs at the April 10, 2000 deadline.

**Laura S. Nelson,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-9814 Filed 4-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 150, "Exemptions and Continued Regulatory Authority in Agreement States and in Offshore Waters under Section 274".
2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0032.
3. *How often the collection is required:* 10 CFR 150.16(b), 150.17(c),

and 150.19(c) require the submission of reports following specified events, such as the theft or unlawful diversion of licensed radioactive material. The source material inventory reports required under 10 CFR 150.17(b) must be submitted annually by certain licensees.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Agreement State licensees authorized to possess source or special nuclear material at certain types of facilities, or at any one time and location in greater than specified amounts.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 9 Agreement State licensees.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 42 hours.

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 150 provides certain exemptions from NRC regulations for persons in Agreement States. Part 150 also defines activities in Agreement States and in offshore waters over which NRC regulatory authority continues, including certain information collection requirements. The information is needed to permit NRC to make reports to other governments and the International Atomic Energy Agency in accordance with international agreements. The information is also used to carry out NRC's safeguards and inspection programs.

Submit, by June 19, 2000, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T-6 E 6, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at (301) 415-7233, or by

Internet electronic mail at [BJS1@NRC.GOV](mailto:BJS1@NRC.GOV).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of April, 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda Jo. Shelton,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-9750 Filed 4-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-247]

### Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2; Issuance of Final Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

By letter dated September 15, 1999, Mr. David A. Lochbaum, on behalf of the Union of Concerned Scientists (Petitioner), pursuant to Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR 2.206), requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission or NRC) take action with regard to the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 (IP2), owned and operated by Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Ed). The Petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action to modify or suspend the operating license for IP2, operated by Con Ed (the licensee), to prevent the reactor from resuming operation until the five issues identified in the attachment to the Petition have been fully resolved. As an acceptable alternative in lieu of a suspension or modification of the license, the Petitioner requested that the NRC issue a confirmatory action letter or an order requiring these issues to be fully resolved before unit restart. The five issues that were raised in the Petition are (1) The apparent violation of station battery design and licensing bases, (2) the apparent failure to adequately correct circuit breaker problems, (3) the apparent unreliability of emergency diesel generators, (4) the potentially unjustified license amendment for undervoltage and degraded voltage relay surveillance intervals, and (5) the apparent errors and nonconservatism in individual plant examinations (IPEs). Along with the last issue, the Petitioner stated that the event on August 31, 1999, at IP2 revealed potential problems with the plant-specific risk assessment developed by the licensee and now used to establish priorities for maintenance and inspections. Additionally, the Petitioner requested that a public

hearing on this Petition be conducted in the vicinity of the plant before its restart is authorized by the NRC. In a transcribed telephone conversation between the Petitioner and the members of the NRC's Petition Review Board on September 22, 1999, the Petitioner clarified two of the issues in the Petition. First, the Petitioner stated that because of an apparent failure to accomplish the commitment in the NRC's safety evaluation for the license amendment mentioned in the Petition, the Petitioner was concerned that past licensing commitments may not have been implemented. Second, the Petitioner questioned whether the amount of time the licensee took to perform certain actions during the event on August 31, 1999, was consistent with the times expected if a station blackout (SBO) had occurred since many of the procedures and processes in response to an SBO event were used.

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has addressed the technical concerns provided by the Petitioner. However, the Petitioner's request for the staff to take enforcement action was not granted for the reasons that are explained in the "Final Director's Decision Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-00-02). The complete text of the Final Director's Decision is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room located in the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and will be accessible electronically from the agencywide documents access and management system (ADAMS) public library component on the NRC web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the electronic reading room).

A copy of the Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c) of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of issuance of the Decision unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of April 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Samuel J. Collins,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 00-9751 Filed 4-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P