

Dated: April 5, 2000.

Robert E. Taylor,

Clerk of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00-8861 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7400-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 91

[Docket No. 99-102-2]

Ports Designated for Exportation of Horses; Dayton, OH

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: On February 17, 2000, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service published a direct final rule. (See 65 FR 8013-8014, Docket No. 99-102-1.) The direct final rule notified the public of our intentions to amend the "Inspection and Handling of Livestock for Exportation" regulations by adding Dayton International Airport in Dayton, OH, as a port of embarkation and Instone Air Services, Inc., as the export inspection facility for equines for that port. We did not receive any written adverse comments or written notice of intent to submit adverse comments in response to the direct final rule.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the direct final rule is confirmed as: April 17, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Morley Cook, Senior Staff Veterinarian, National Center for Import and Export, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231; (301) 734-6479.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 105, 112, 113, 114a, 120, 121, 134b, 134f, 136, 136a, 612, 613, 614, and 618; 46 U.S.C. 466a, and 466b; 49 U.S.C. 1509(d); 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

Done in Washington, DC, this 5th day of April 2000.

Bobby R. Acord,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 00-8936 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM171, Special Conditions No. 25-160-SC]

Special Conditions: Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 Airplanes; High Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes modified by Electronic Cable Specialists. These airplanes will have novel and unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. The installation of Honeywell Classic Navigator Systems will use advanced electronics when compared to the Inertial Navigation Systems. The applicable type certification regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of this system from the effects of high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that provided by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: The effective date of these special conditions is March 31, 2000. Comments must be received on or before May 26, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments on these special conditions may be mailed in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Transport Airplane Directorate, Attn: Rules Docket (ANM-114), Docket No. NM171, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; or delivered in duplicate to the Transport Airplane Directorate at the above address. Comments must be marked: Docket No. NM171. Comments may be inspected in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Connie Beane, FAA, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2796; facsimile (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA has determined that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance; however, interested persons are invited to submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket and special conditions number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered by the Administrator. These special conditions may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments submitted will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking will be filed in the docket. Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this request must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. NM171." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Background

On November 29, 1999, Electronic Cable Specialists, 5300 West Franklin Drive, Franklin, Wisconsin 53132, applied for a Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) to modify Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes approved under Type Certificate No. A35EU. These are transport category airplanes with twin engines, and a seating capacity of up to 267 passengers. The modification incorporates the installation of Honeywell Classic Navigator Systems. Each system consists of a Honeywell HT-9100 Navigation Management System, a Super Attitude Heading Reference System, and a Digital to Analog Adapter. These advanced systems use electronics to a far greater extent than the original Inertial Navigation Systems and may be more susceptible to electrical and magnetic interference. This disruption of signals could result in loss of attitude or present misleading information to the pilot.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.101, Electronic Cable Specialists must show that Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes, as changed, continue to meet the applicable

provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate No. A35EU, or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the "original type certification basis." The certification basis for the modified Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes includes 14 CFR part 25, dated February 1, 1965, as amended by Amendments 25-1 through 25-21.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (i.e., part 25, as amended) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes because of novel or unusual design features, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes must comply with the part 25 fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the part 25 noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36.

Special conditions, as appropriate, are issued in accordance with § 11.49, as required by §§ 11.28 and 11.29(b), and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with § 21.101(b)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should Electronic Cable Specialists apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model already included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Novel or Unusual Design Features

The Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes will incorporate a new navigation system, which was not available at the time of certification of these airplanes, that performs critical functions. This system may be vulnerable to high intensity radiated fields (HIRF) external to the airplane.

Discussion

There is no specific regulation that addresses protection requirements for electrical and electronic systems from HIRF. Increased power levels from ground-based radio transmitters and the growing use of sensitive electrical and

electronic systems to command and control airplanes have made it necessary to provide adequate protection.

To ensure that a level of safety is achieved equivalent to that intended by the regulations incorporated by reference, special conditions are needed for the Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes, which require that new electrical and electronic systems, such as the Honeywell Navigator Systems, that perform critical functions be designed and installed to preclude component damage and interruption of function due to both the direct and indirect effects of HIRF.

High-Intensity Radiated Fields

With the trend toward increased power levels from ground-based transmitters, plus the advent of space and satellite communications coupled with electronic command and control of the airplane, the immunity of critical digital avionics systems to HIRF must be established.

It is not possible to precisely define the HIRF to which the airplane will be exposed in service. There is also uncertainty concerning the effectiveness of airframe shielding for HIRF. Furthermore, coupling of electromagnetic energy to cockpit-installed equipment through the cockpit window apertures is undefined. Based on surveys and analysis of existing HIRF emitters, an adequate level of protection exists when compliance with the HIRF protection special condition is shown with either paragraph 1, or 2 below:

1. A minimum threat of 100 volts per meter root-mean-square (rms) electric field strength from 10 KHz to 18 GHz.
 - a. The threat must be applied to the system elements and their associated wiring harnesses without the benefit of airframe shielding.
 - b. Demonstration of this level of protection is established through system tests and analysis.
2. A threat external to the airframe of the following field strengths for the frequency ranges indicated. Both peak and average field strength components from the table are to be demonstrated.

Field Strength (volts per meter)		
Frequency	Peak	Average
10 kHz-100 kHz	50	50
100 kHz-500 kHz	50	50
500 kHz-2 MHz	50	50
2 MHz-30 MHz	100	100
30 MHz-70 MHz	50	50
70 MHz-100 MHz	50	50
100 MHz-200 MHz	100	100

Field Strength (volts per meter)		
Frequency	Peak	Average
200 MHz-400 MHz	100	100
400 MHz-700 MHz	700	50
700 MHz-1 GHz	700	100
1 GHz-2 GHz ...	2000	200
2 GHz-4 GHz ...	3000	200
4 GHz-6 GHz ...	3000	200
6 GHz-8 GHz ...	1000	200
8 GHz-12 GHz	3000	300
12 GHz-18 GHz	2000	200
18 GHz-40 GHz	600	200

The field strengths are expressed in terms of peak of the root-mean-square (rms) over the complete modulation period.

Applicability

As discussed above, these special conditions are applicable Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes modified by Electronic Cable Specialists. Should Electronic Cable Specialists apply at a later date for a supplemental type certificate to modify any other model included on the same type certificate to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, these special conditions would apply to that model as well under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Conclusion

This action affects only certain design features on Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes modified by Electronic Cable Specialists. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of the special conditions for this airplane has been subjected to the notice and comment procedure in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason, and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701, 44702, 44704.

The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis Airbus A300 Model B2-1A, B2-1C, B4-2C, B2K-3C, B4-103, B2-203, B4-203 airplanes modified by Electronic Cable Specialists.

1. *Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)*. Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated fields.

2. For the purpose of these special conditions, the following definition applies: *Critical Functions*. Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, March 31, 2000.

Donald L. Riggan,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, ANM-100.

[FR Doc. 00-8849 Filed 4-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 99-NM-57-AD; Amendment 39-11667; AD 2000-07-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 757-200 and -200PF Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 757-200 and -200PF series airplanes, that requires repetitive detailed visual inspections to detect loose fuse pins in

the outboard beam attachment and forward trunnion support on the main landing gear (MLG) and to detect corrosion on the structure adjacent to the fuse pin; and corrective actions, if necessary. This amendment also requires eventual replacement of the fuse pins with new corrosion resistant steel (CRES) fuse pins, which constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This amendment is prompted by a report of damaged fuse pins caused by corrosion. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent corroded fuse pins, which could result in the MLG separating from the wing, and consequent damage to the airplane and possible rupture of the wing fuel tank.

DATES: Effective May 16, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207.

This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the **Federal Register**, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James G. Rehrl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2783; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 757-200 and -200PF series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on October 6, 1999 (64 FR 54227). That action proposed to require repetitive detailed visual inspections to detect loose fuse pins in the outboard beam attachment and forward trunnion support on the main landing gear (MLG) and to detect corrosion on the structure adjacent to the fuse pin; and corrective actions, if necessary. That action also proposed to require eventual replacement of the fuse pins with new corrosion resistant steel (CRES) fuse pins, which would constitute terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received.

Request To Change Repetitive Inspection Interval

The commenter requests that the proposed repetitive inspection interval be changed from 3,000 flight cycles or 24 months (whichever occurs first) to either 36 months or to 3,000 flight cycles or 24 months (whichever is later). The commenter states that 3,000 flight cycles does not correspond to the 24-month calendar time. The commenter adds that 36 months would more closely reflect the amount of time it takes for its airplanes to accumulate 3,000 flight cycles.

The FAA does not concur with this request. This AD addresses corrosion of the fuse pins, which is a time-related phenomenon. Therefore, the critical element of the repetitive inspection interval in this case is the amount of calendar time that passes between inspections, rather than the number of flight cycles accumulated. Therefore, the FAA finds that the repetitive inspection interval of 3,000 flight cycles or 24 months, whichever occurs first, is appropriate to address the identified unsafe condition in a timely manner and to ensure an adequate level of safety. No change to the final rule is necessary.

Revised Service Information

Since the issuance of the proposed AD, the FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 757-57A0054, Revision 1, including Appendix A, both dated December 16, 1999. (The original issue of the service bulletin is referenced in the proposal as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of the actions required by this AD.) Revision 1 is essentially equivalent to the original issue; however, Revision 1 adds references to optional parts and changes certain compliance recommendations. Revision 1 recommends that, if the alloy steel fuse pins have already been replaced on an airplane that was four years (or more) old, the inspection of those pins can be extended to within four years or 6,000 flight cycles after installation. A new paragraph (b) has been added to the final rule to specify the revised compliance time for those particular airplanes.

The FAA also has revised the final rule to include Revision 1 of the service bulletin as an additional source of service information. Further, the FAA has revised references to the original