and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

List of Subjects
21 CFR Part 809
Labeling, Medical devices.

21 CFR Part 864
Biologics, Blood, Laboratories, Medical devices, Packaging and containers.

Thereinafter the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR parts 809 and 864 are amended as follows:

PART 809—IN VITRO DIAGNOSTIC PRODUCTS FOR HUMAN USE

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 809 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 809.10 is amended by adding paragraph (f) to read as follows:

   § 809.10 Labeling for in vitro diagnostic products.

   (f) The labeling for over-the-counter (OTC) test sample collection systems for drugs of abuse testing shall bear the following information in language appropriate for the intended users:

   (1) Adequate instructions for specimen collection and handling, and for preparation and mailing of the specimen to the laboratory for testing.

   (2) An identification system to ensure that specimens are not mixed up or otherwise misidentified at the laboratory, and that user anonymity is maintained.

   (3) The intended use or uses of the product, including what drugs are to be identified in the specimen, a quantitative description of the performance characteristics for those drugs (e.g., sensitivity and specificity) in terms understandable to lay users, and the detection period.

   (4) A statement that confirmatory testing will be conducted on all samples that initially test positive.

   (5) A statement of warnings or precautions for users as established in the regulations contained in 16 CFR part 1500 and any other warnings appropriate to the hazard presented by the product.

   (6) Adequate instructions on how to obtain test results from a person who can explain their meaning, including the probability of false positive and false negative results, as well as how to contact a trained health professional if additional information on interpretation of test results from the laboratory or followup counseling is desired.

   (7) Name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor.

3. Section 809.40 is added to subpart C to read as follows:

   § 809.40 Restrictions on the sale, distribution, and use of OTC test sample collection systems for drugs of abuse testing.

   (a) Over-the-counter (OTC) test sample collection systems for drugs of abuse testing (§ 864.3260 of this chapter) are restricted devices under section 520(e) of the Act subject to the restrictions set forth in this section.

   (b) Sample testing shall be performed in a laboratory using screening tests that have been approved, cleared, or otherwise recognized by the Food and Drug Administration as accurate and reliable for the testing of such specimens for identifying drugs of abuse or their metabolites.

   (c) The laboratory performing the test(s) shall have, and shall be recognized as having, adequate capability to reliably perform the necessary screening and confirmatory tests, including adequate capability to perform integrity checks of the biological specimens for possible adulteration.

   (d) All OTC test sample collection systems for drugs of abuse testing shall be labeled in accordance with § 809.10(f) and shall provide an adequate system to communicate the proper interpretation of test results from the laboratory to the lay purchaser.

PART 864—HEMATOLOGY AND PATHOLOGY DEVICES

4. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 864 continues to read as follows:


5. Section 864.3250 is amended in paragraph (a) by adding a sentence to the end of the paragraph to read as follows:

   § 864.3250 Specimen transport and storage containers.

   (a) * * * * * This section does not apply to specimen transport and storage containers that are intended for use as part of an over-the-counter test sample collection system for drugs of abuse testing.

6. Section 864.3260 is added to subpart D to read as follows:

   § 864.3260 OTC test sample collection systems for drugs of abuse testing.

   (a) Identification. An over-the-counter (OTC) test sample collection system for drugs of abuse testing is a device intended to: Collect biological specimens (such as hair, urine, sweat, or saliva), outside of a medical setting and not on order of a health care professional (e.g., in the home, insurance, sports, or workplace setting); maintain the integrity of such specimens during storage and transport in order that the matter contained therein can be tested in a laboratory for the presence of drugs of abuse or their metabolites; and provide access to test results and counseling. This section does not apply to collection, transport, or laboratory testing of biological specimens for the presence of drugs of abuse or their metabolites that is performed to develop evidence for law enforcement purposes.

   (b) Classification. Class I (general controls). The device is exempt from the premarket notification requirements in part 807, subpart E of this chapter subject to the limitations in § 864.9 if it is sold, distributed, and used in accordance with the restrictions set forth in § 809.40 of this chapter. If the device is not labeled or otherwise represented as sterile, it is exempt from the current good manufacturing practice regulations in part 820 of this chapter, with the exception of § 820.198 of this chapter with respect to complaint files.


   Jane E. Henney,
   Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

   Donna E. Shalala,
   Secretary of Health and Human Services.

[FR Doc. 00–6598 Filed 4–6–00; 8:45 am]
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Medical Devices; Laser Fluorescence Caries Detection Device

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is classifying the laser fluorescence caries detection device into class II (special controls). The special controls that will apply to this device are set forth below. The
agency is taking this action in response to a petition submitted under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) as amended by the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 (the amendments), the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, and the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997. The agency is classifying this device into class II (special controls) in order to provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the device.

DATES: This rule is effective May 8, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert S. Betz, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ–480), Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301–827–5283.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In accordance with section 513(f)(1) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360c(f)(1)), devices that were not in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976, the date of enactment of the amendments, generally referred to as postamendments devices, are classified automatically by statute into class III without any FDA rulemaking process. These devices remain in class III and require premarket approval, unless and until the device is classified or reclassified into class I or II or FDA issues an order finding the device to be substantially equivalent, in accordance with section 513(f) of the act, to a predicate device that does not require premarket approval. The agency determines whether new devices are substantially equivalent to previously marketed devices by means of premarket notification procedures in section 510(k) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360(k)) and part 807 (21 CFR part 807) of the FDA regulations.

Section 513(f)(2) of the act provides that any person who submits a premarket notification under section 510(k) of the act for a device that has not previously been classified may, within 30 days after receiving an order classifying the device in class III under section 513(f)(1) of the act, request FDA to classify the device under the criteria set forth in section 513(a)(1) of the act. FDA shall classify the device by written set forth in section 513(a)(1) of the act. After review of the information submitted in the petition, its amendments, and the original 510(k) notification (K983658), FDA issued an order on February 22, 2000, classifying the DIAGNOdent Laser Fluorescence Caries Detection Device and substantially equivalent devices of this generic type into class II under the generic name “laser fluorescence caries detection device.” FDA has determined that the laser fluorescence caries detection device can be classified in class II with the establishment of the following special controls:

1. That sale, distribution, and use of this device are restricted to prescription use in accordance with 21 CFR 801.109;
2. That premarket notifications include clinical studies, or other relevant information, that demonstrates that the device aids in the detection of tooth decay by measuring increased laser induced fluorescence; and
3. That the labeling must include detailed use instructions with precautions that urge users to: (a) Read and understand all directions before using the device, (b) store probe tips under proper conditions, (c) properly sterilize the emitter-detector handpiece before each use, and (d) properly maintain and handle the instrument in the specified manner and condition.

FDA believes that these class II special controls, in addition to the general controls, provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device.

II. Environmental Impact

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.34(b) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

III. Analysis of Impacts

FDA has examined the impacts of the final rule under Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) (as amended by subtitle D of the Small Business Regulatory Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121)), and the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4). Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). The agency believes that this final rule is consistent with the regulatory philosophy and principles identified in the Executive Order. In addition, the final rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by the Executive Order and so it is not subject to review under the Executive Order.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires agencies to analyze regulatory options that would minimize any significant impact of a rule on small entities. Classification of these devices into class II will relieve manufacturers of the device of the cost of complying with the premarket approval requirements of section 515 of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e), and may permit small potential competitors to enter the marketplace by lowering their costs. FDA knows of only one manufacturer of this type of device. Therefore, the agency certifies that this final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. In addition, this final rule will not impose costs of $100 million or more on either the private sector or State, local, and tribal governments in the aggregate, and, therefore, a summary statement of analysis under section 202(a) of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act is not required.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This final rule contains no collections of information. Therefore, clearance by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 is not required.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 872

Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 872 is amended as follows:

PART 872—DENTAL DEVICES

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 872 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 872.1745 is added to subpart B to read as follows:

§ 872.1745 Laser fluorescence caries detection device.

(a) Identification. A laser fluorescence caries detection device is a laser fluorescence detector housed in a dental handpiece, and a control console that performs device calibration, as well as
variable tone emitting and fluorescence measurement functions. The intended use of the device is to aid in the detection of tooth decay by measuring increased laser induced fluorescence.

(b) Classification. Class II, subject to the following special controls:

(1) Sale, distribution, and use of this device are restricted to prescription use in accordance with § 801.109 of this chapter;

(2) Premarket notifications must include clinical studies, or other relevant information, that demonstrates that the device aids in the detection of tooth decay by measuring increased laser induced fluorescence; and

(3) The labeling must include detailed use instructions with precautions that urge users to:

(i) Read and understand all directions before using the device,

(ii) Store probe tips under proper conditions,

(iii) Properly sterilize the emitter-detector handpiece before each use, and

(iv) Properly maintain and handle the instrument in the specified manner and condition.


Linda S. Kahan,
Deputy Director for Regulations Policy, Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

[FR Doc. 00–8597 Filed 4–6–00; 8:45 am]
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Medical Devices; Gastroenterology-Urology Devices; Nonimplanted, Peripheral Electrical Continence Device

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is classifying the nonimplanted, peripheral electrical continence device into class II (special controls). The special controls that will apply to this device are set forth below. The agency is taking this action in response to a petition submitted under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) as amended by the Medical Device Amendments of 1976, the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, and the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997. The agency is classifying this device into class II (special controls) in order to provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the device.

DATES: This rule is effective May 8, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura J. Byrd, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ–470), Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301–594–2194.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In accordance with section 513(f)(1) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360c(f)(1)), devices that were not in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976, the date of enactment of the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 (the amendments), generally referred to as postamendment devices, are classified automatically by statute into class III without any FDA rulemaking process. These devices remain in class III and require premarket approval, unless and until the device is classified or reclassified into class I or II or FDA issues an order finding the device to be substantially equivalent, in accordance with section 513(i) of the act, to a predicate device that does not require premarket approval. The agency determines whether new devices are substantially equivalent to previously marketed devices by means of premarket notification procedures in section 510(k) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360k(k)) and 21 CFR part 807 of the FDA regulations. Section 513(f)(2) of the act provides that any person who submits a premarket notification under section 510(k) of the act for a device that has not previously been classified may, within 30 days after receiving an order classifying the device in class III under section 513(f)(1), request FDA to classify the device under the criteria set forth in section 513(a)(1). FDA shall, within 60 days of receiving such a request, classify the device by written order. This classification shall be the initial classification of the device. Within 30 days after the issuance of an order classifying the device, FDA must publish a notice in the Federal Register announcing such classification.

On January 24, 2000, UroSurge, Inc., submitted a petition under section 513(f)(2) of the act requesting classification of its Percutaneous SANS Device intended for use in patients suffering from urinary urgency, frequency, or urge incontinence. After review of the information submitted in the petition and the premarket notification (K992069), FDA issued an order on February 9, 2000, classifying the UroSurge Percutaneous SANS (Stoller Afferent Nerve Stimulator) Device and substantially equivalent devices of this generic type into class II under the generic name, “nonimplanted, peripheral nerve stimulator for pelvic floor dysfunction.”

FDA has determined that the nonimplanted, peripheral nerve stimulator for pelvic floor dysfunction can be classified in class II with the establishment of the following special controls:

1. That sale, distribution, and use of this device are restricted to prescription use in accordance with § 801.109 (21 CFR 801.109).

2. That the labeling must bear all information required for the safe and effective use of the device as outlined in § 801.109(c), including a detailed summary of the clinical information upon which the instructions are based.

FDA believes that these class II special controls, in addition to the general controls, provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device.

II. Environmental Impact

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.43(b) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

III. Analysis of Impacts

FDA has examined the impacts of the final rule under Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) and the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4). Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). The agency believes that this final rule is consistent with the regulatory philosophy and principles identified in the Executive Order. In addition, the final rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by the Executive Order and so it is not subject to review under the Executive Order. The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires agencies to analyze regulatory options that would minimize any significant impact of a rule on small entities. Classification of these devices into class II will relieve manufacturers of the device of the cost of complying