to the Copyright Office website (http://www.loc.gov/copyright/licensing/motion-suspend.pdf). Comments on the motion to suspend are to be included in the comments a party submits on the substantive issues set forth in the initial notice of proposed rulemaking. Comments are due on April 17, 2000, and reply comments are due on May 1, 2000, the dates specified in the initial notice of proposed rulemaking, and should be included as part of any comments interested parties submit in response to the initial notice of proposed rulemaking.

Dated: March 31, 2000.

Marilyn J. Kretsinger,

Assistant General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-8386 Filed 4-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-31-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA-157-0222 b; FRL-6570-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, San Diego County, San Joaquin Valley Unified, and Ventura County Air Pollution Control Districts

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern rule rescissions from the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD), San Diego County Air Pollution Control (SDCAPCD), San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District and amendments to the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (APCD).

The intended effect of this action is to update and clarify the State Implementation Plan in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse

comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received by May 5, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations: California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, 8411 Jackson Rd., Sacramento, CA 95826

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123–1096

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite 200, Fresno, CA 93721 Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cynthia G. Allen, (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901; Telephone: (415) 744–1189.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns the rule revisions listed below, submitted to EPA by the California Resources Board on the dates listed for each rule.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD)

Rule 445, Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning, submitted 05/18/98; rescission adopted 10/03/96.

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDCAPCD)

Rule 67.8, Dry Cleaning Facilities Using Halogenated Organic Solvent, submitted 07/23/99, rescission adopted 11/04/98.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD)

Rule 4671, Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning System, submitted 10/13/95, rescission adopted 06/15/95.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD)

Rule 74.5.2, Dry Cleaning Facilities Using Halogenated Organic Solvents, submitted 08/10/95 revision adopted 05/09/95.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 15, 2000.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 00–8150 Filed 4–4–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 236-0225b; FRL-6569-6]

Revision to the California State Implementation Plan, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from adhesives and sealants. We are proposing to approve a local rule to regulate this emission source under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by May 5, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR– 4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revision and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revision at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Dr., Suite B–23, Goleta, CA 93117.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1199. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The table below lists the rule addressed by this

proposal with the date that it was adopted by the local air agency and

submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

SUBMITTED RULE

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
SBCAPCD	353	Adhesives and Sealants	08/19/99	10/29/99

In the Rules section of this Federal **Register**, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, we will withdraw the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: March 17, 2000.

Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 00–8148 Filed 4–4–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 141 and 142

[FRL-6570-8]

Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment and Filter Backwash Rule Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is holding a public meeting on April 14, 2000 in the EPA Auditorium located at 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460. The meeting will provide a description and summary of the proposed Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment and Filter Backwash Rule (LT1FBR) to be published in the Federal Register on April 10, 2000. The LT1FBR proposal, LT1FBR fact sheet, and LT1FBR draft implementation guidance may be obtained from www.epa.gov/safewater or by calling the Safe Drinking Water Hotline, telephone (800) 426-4791.

EPA is inviting all interested members of the public to attend the meeting. EPA is instituting an open door policy to allow any member of the public to attend the meeting for any length of time. Approximately 150 seats will be available for the public. Seats will be available on a first-come, first served basis.

DATES: The meeting will start at 9:00 AM on April 14 and will adjourn at 1:00 PM.

ADDRESSES: For additional information about the meeting, please contact Jeffery Robichaud (4607), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202) 260–7575, or by e-mail at robichaud.jeffery@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffery Robichaud, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, telephone 202–260–2568.

Dated: March 29, 2000.

Janet D. Pawlukiewicz,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

[FR Doc. 00–8156 Filed 4–4–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 571

[Docket No. 00-7145]

RIN No. 2127-AH61

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Head Impact Protection

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to amend the upper interior impact requirements of Standard No. 201, Occupant Protection in Interior Impact, to modify the minimum distance between certain target points on vertical surfaces inside a vehicle. Compliance with the upper interior impact requirements is determined, in part, by measuring the forces experienced by a test device known as the Free Motion Headform (FMH) when it is propelled into certain target points in the vehicle

interior. To ensure that tests conducted within the same vehicle do not affect each other, the standard specifies that tested targets be at least a certain distance apart; currently 150 mm (6 inches). We are proposing to expand this minimum distance to 200 mm (8 inches) for tests performed on certain vertical surfaces in order to alleviate concerns that the current distance is not large enough to prevent FMH impact overlap to nearby target points in the same vehicle. We are also proposing to add target points for pillar-like structures that do not meet the definition of "pillar," i.e., certain door frames and vertical seat belt mounting structures.

DATES: You should submit your comments early enough to ensure that Docket Management receives them not later than June 5, 2000.

ADDRESS: You should mention the docket number of this document in your comments and submit your comments in writing to: Docket Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC, 20590.

You may call the Docket at 202–366–9324. You may visit the Docket from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For non-legal issues, you may call Dr. William Fan, Office of Crashworthiness Standards, at (202) 366–4922, facsimile (202) 366–4329, electronic mail "bfan@nhtsa.dot.gov"

For legal issues, you may call Otto Matheke, Office of the Chief Counsel, at 202–366–5263.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Safety Problem
- II. Background
 - A. August 1995 Final Rule on Upper Interior Impact Protection
 - B. April 1997 Final Rule
 - C. Petitions for Reconsideration
 - D. March 31, 1998 Letter
 - E. August 1998 Meeting
 - F. New Vehicle Configurations

III. Agency Proposal

- A. Minimum Distance Between Tested Targets on Pillars
- B. Pillar Surrogates
- 1. Door Frames
- 2. Seat Belt Mounting Structures
- IV. Costs and Benefits