

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## **PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add temporary § 165.T01–198 to read as follows:

### **§ 165.T01–198 Safety Zone: Parade of Tall Ships Newport 2000, Rhode Island, Lower Narragansett Bay, East Passage.**

(a) *Location.* A moving safety zone 200 yards ahead of the lead vessel in the parade, 200 yards astern of the last vessel in the parade, and 200 yards abeam of each vessel participating in the Tall Ships Newport 2000 parade of sail. The parade of sail route extends through the East Passage of Narragansett Bay and passes through the following points: (see NOAA Charts(s) #13218, 13221, 13223)

Latitude	Longitude
41.30'18" N .....	71.20'58" W
41.31'43" N .....	71.20'00" W
41.33'29" N .....	71.19'14" W
41.33'29" N .....	71.20'55" W
41.32'19" N .....	71.21'12" W
41.28'45" N .....	71.20'45" W
41.27'44" N .....	71.22'24" W

(b) *Effective period.* Paragraph (a) of this section is effective between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. on Sunday, July 2, 2000. Departure time is dependent on the tide, weather and granting of authority for departure by the Captain of the Port, Providence.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) The general regulations governing safety zones contained in 33 CFR 165.23 apply.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on-scene-patrol personnel. These personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of the vessel shall process as directed.

Dated: March 6, 2000.

**Peter A. Popko,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Marine Safety Office Providence.*

[FR Doc. 00–7104 Filed 3–21–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–U**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Coast Guard**

#### **33 CFR Part 165**

[CGD01–99–197]

**RIN 2115–AA97**

#### **Safety Zone: Fireworks Display, Naval Station Newport, Newport, RI**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a safety zone within a five hundred (500) yard radius of the fireworks launching site at Naval Station Newport, Newport, RI on June 30, 2000. The safety zone is needed to safeguard the public from possible hazards associated with a fireworks display. Entry into this zone will be prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Providence, Rhode Island.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before May 8, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** You may mail comments and related material to Marine Safety Office Providence, 20 Risho Avenue, East Providence, Rhode Island 02914. The Prevention Department maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Office Providence between 8 am and 3 pm, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** CWO John W. Winter at Marine Safety Office Providence, (401) 435–2335.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD01 99–197), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. The comment period for this proposed rule is 45 days. This time period is adequate to allow input

because the event is highly publicized, and the shortened comment period will allow the full 30 day publication requirement prior to the final rule becoming effective. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

#### **Public Meeting**

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. However, you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to Marine Safety Office Providence at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

#### **Background and Purpose**

The safety zone is needed to protect the public from debris and other hazards associated with fireworks display at Naval station Newport, starting at 8 p.m. on June 30. The event will last approximately 3 hours.

#### **Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The Coast Guard proposes this rule to protect mariners and spectator crafts from falling debris and possible fire hazards related to fireworks displays. The event is scheduled to start at 8 p.m. and last approximately 3 hours. This proposed rule would give the Coast Guard the authority to ensure the safety of all spectator vessels enjoying the event.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This proposed rule is not a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979).

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

This safety zone involves a very small area of Narragansett Bay. The effect of this regulation will not be significant due to the lateness of the hour; all vessel traffic may safely transit around this safety zone; and the extensive maritime advisories that will be made.

### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This proposed rule would affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in a portion of Narragansett Bay from 8 p.m. to 11 p.m. on June 30, 2000.

This safety zone would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This rule would be in effect for only three hours and vessel traffic could pass safely around the safety zone. Before the effective period, we would issue maritime advisories widely available to users of Narragansett Bay.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact CWO John W. Winter, telephone (401)435–2335.

### Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Federalism

We have analyzed this proposed rule under E.O. 13132 and have determined

that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those costs. This proposed rule would not impose an unfunded mandate.

### Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of implementing this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.IC, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A “Categorical Exclusion Determination” is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add temporary § 165.T01–197 to read as follows:

#### **§ 165.T01–197 Safety Zone: Fireworks Display, Naval Station Newport, Newport, Rhode Island.**

(a) *Location.* All waters within a five hundred (500) yard radius of the fireworks launching platform located approximately 300 yards off shore from Coasters Island, Naval Station Newport, Newport, Rhode Island.

(b) *Effective Period.* This section is effective from 8 p.m. until 11 p.m. on June 30, 2000, unless extended or terminated sooner by the Captain of the Port Providence.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) The general regulations governing safety zones contained in 33 CFR 165.23 apply.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on-scene patrol personnel. These personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

Dated: March 6, 2000.

**Peter A. Popko,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Marine Safety Office Providence.*

[FR Doc. 00–7060 Filed 3–22–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–15–U**

### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

#### **40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 224–0213b; FRL–6549–8]

#### **Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, San Joaquin Unified Air Pollution Control District, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, South Coast Air Quality Air Management District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from wood product and wood panelling coating operations.