

Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal (purchase and deed restrictions) and an alternative that would include fewer acres exchanged. The SEIS will not address any exchange parcels that were not analyzed in the 1996 EIS. The SEIS will disclose the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of the alternatives. Past, present, and projected activities on both private and National Forest system lands will be considered.

The original issues will guide the analysis, along with the issues raised by the Court of Appeals.

No scoping meetings are planned (40 CFR 1502.9(c)(4)). Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR part 215. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within 30 days.

The draft SEIS is expected to be filed in July 2000. Following release of the Draft SEIS, there will be a 45-day public comment period from the date the Environmental Protection Agency published the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final

environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.)

The final SEIS is scheduled to be completed in December 2000. In the final SEIS, the Forest Service will respond to comments received (during the comment period) that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft SEIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered for this proposal. The lead agency is the Forest Service; the Forest Supervisor of the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest is the responsible official. The responsible official will document the decision and the rationale for the decision in the Record of Decision. That decision will be subject to Forest Service appeal regulations 36 CFR part 215.

Dated: March 7, 2000.

**Mary E. Wells,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 00-6903 Filed 3-20-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### **John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council, Hells Canyon Subgroup**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Hells Canyon Subgroup of the John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council will meet on April 13 and 14, 2000 at Nez Perce Tribal Headquarters, Main St., and Beaver Grade, Lapwai, ID. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until 5 p.m. the first day and will begin at 8 a.m. and continue until 4 p.m. on the second day. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Review draft CMP alternatives and, (2) Open public forum. All meetings are open to the public. Public comments will be received at 1:30 p.m. on April 13, 2000.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Kendall Clark, Area Ranger, USDA, Hells Canyon National Recreation Area, 88401 Highway 82, Enterprise, OR 97828, 541-5501.

Dated: March 15, 2000.

**John C. Schuyler,**

*Deputy Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 00-6991 Filed 3-20-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-808]

#### **Notice of Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Cold-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products from Turkey**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 21, 2000.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Charles Ranado, Stephanie Arthur or Robert James at (202) 482-3518, (202) 482-6312 or (202) 482-0649, respectively; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **The Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department of Commerce (Department) regulations