

required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on 07/31/1998, one comment was received and evaluated.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 52 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Owners and operators of aerospace manufacturing or rework facilities.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 16,402.

**Frequency of Response:** start-up, and semi-annual.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 856,437 hours.

**Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden:** \$561,000.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No 1687.05 and OMB Control No. 2060-0314 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460; and  
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503

Dated: March 13, 2000.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-6859 Filed 3-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[IN124; FRL-6562-4]

### Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) Final Determination; Indiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this document is to announce that on September 8, 1999, the Environmental Protection Agency's Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) dismissed two appeals of a permit issued to the ConAgra Soybean Processing Company by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) pursuant to the Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) regulations in the Clean Air Act, as administered by the State of Indiana.

**DATES:** The effective date of EAB's decision denying review is September 8, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** The documents relevant to the above action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following address: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard (AR-18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Pallavi Reddy, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd. (AR-18J), Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6204.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 14, 1998, the IDEM issued construction permit number CP-129-8541-0039 under the PSD requirements of the Clean Air Act of 1990 to ConAgra Soybean Processing Company for the construction of a new soybean processing plant in Posey County, Indiana. On September 14, 1998, two separate entities, Valley Watch Inc., a non-profit environmental group, and Consolidated Grain and Barge Company filed petitions for review of this permit with the EPA's EAB (PSD Appeal Nos. 98-27 & 98-28). The petitioners alleged that: (1) IDEM improperly issued ConAgra's permit because the permit fails to demonstrate that the proposed facility will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone as required by the Act; (2) the permit fails to satisfy the requirements for pre-construction monitoring for PM-10; (3) IDEM improperly issued the permit because the increment consumption analysis for PM-10 does not comply with the requirements of 40 CFR

52.21(c), (k), and (m); and (4) the additional impacts analysis of the proposed project on economic growth, soils, vegetation, and visibility required by 40 CFR 52.21(o) was inadequate.

On October 18, 1999, the EAB denied the petitioners' request for review. The petitioners failed to prove that the permit or permit condition was based on a finding of fact or conclusion of law that was clearly erroneous, or to demonstrate that there was an exercise of discretion or important policy consideration warranting the EAB's discretionary review, as required by 40 CFR 124.19(a). The EAB also ordered IDEM to revise Condition 38 of the permit, which relates to emissions offsets, to strike reference to Federal law and specifically, 40 CFR 52.21(k).

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: March 9, 2000.

**David A. Ullrich,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 00-6860 Filed 3-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6563-5]

### Workshop on Information Needs To Address Children's Cancer Risk

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA's Office of Research and Development and the National Institutes of Health's National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) are co-sponsoring a workshop entitled, "Information Needs to Address Children's Cancer Risk." The main focus of the workshop is to discuss children's cancer risk assessment and related data needs to address issues that have arisen during public review of the Agency's 1999 Draft Revised Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment. These issues include: characterizing the ideal data set to adequately address children's cancer risk, and proposed approaches to using available data in the absence of the ideal data set. Invited participants represent the pediatric, toxicologic, and risk assessment communities and are leaders in the area of human health testing, research, and assessment.

**DATES:** The workshop will begin on Thursday, March 30, 2000, at 7:00 p.m. and end on Friday, March 31, 2000, at 4:30 p.m. There will be space available for observers on a first-come, first-served basis.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn Arlington at Ballston, 4610 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia, 22203, Tel: (703) 243-9800. As seating capacity is limited, please contact Eastern Research Group, Inc., Tel: (781) 674-7374, Fax: (781) 674-2906 by Monday, March 27, 2000, to attend the workshop as an observer.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For technical inquiries, contact Linda C. Tuxen (Mail Code 8601D), U.S. EPA, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20460, Tel: (202) 564-3332, Fax: (202) 565-0090, and E-Mail: tuxen.linda@epa.gov. Inquiries concerning the workshop should be directed to Eastern Research Group, Inc. Copies of background materials will be made available to observers upon request from Eastern Research Group, Inc., Tel: (781) 674-7374. Copies of background materials will also be available at the workshop.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the workshop is focused and derives from issues discussed in the EPA's 1999 Draft Revised Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment. First, participants will consider the content of the ideal data set to adequately address children's cancer risk. The workshop participants will focus on data needed for assessing the impact of childhood (including in utero) exposures to carcinogens and the issues related to hazard identification and dose-response analyses. The participants will address not only induction of childhood cancer, but also increased risks of cancer during adulthood resulting from childhood exposure. As part of this discussion, the participants also will be asked to consider how current protocols might be redesigned to better answer questions related to children's cancer risk and what additional types of data might be brought to bear on children's cancer risk assessment. This would include information that is currently collected as well as data sets using new approaches. In addition, the workshop participants will be asked to discuss the question, What are the elements of a "cogent biological rationale," as presented in the draft revised cancer guidelines, for addressing modes-of-action for children's cancer? Further, participants will address whether and how such a rationale can be made, which is sufficiently health-protective of children, based on the kinds of data that are typically collected by and available to Federal and state health science agencies at the present time. These might include data on cancer mode-of-action, comparative pharmacokinetics and

pharmacodynamics in adults and children, rate and pattern of exposure in adults and children, etc. The background for these discussions is the reality that chemical-specific data are often lacking to specifically address children's cancer risk from environmental chemical exposures. As a consequence, the assessment of children's risk is currently addressed by evaluations of traditional cancer bioassays in mature animals using sensitive responders, comparative biochemistry and physiology between adults and developing animals and humans, and public-health-protective default positions in the absence of child-specific data. It is expected that workshop discussions will be valuable to the general risk assessment community, will provide input to Federal testing strategies for the future, and will inform the public dialogue around children's health issues as they are addressed in the EPA's draft revised cancer guidelines.

The workshop format will be an evening session on Thursday at which the key participants will set the stage for the discussion to follow at the Friday full-day session. The evening session will include an overview of the contents of and current issues coming out of the EPA's 1999 Draft Revised Guidelines for Cancer Risk Assessment regarding assessment of children's cancer risk, and a discussion of the questions to be addressed in detail at the Friday session. A summary report of the perspectives and views coming out of this workshop will be published in the peer-reviewed, scientific literature.

Dated: March 15, 2000.

**George W. Alapas,**  
*Acting Director, National Center for Environmental Assessment.*

[FR Doc. 00-6936 Filed 3-17-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-34173B; FRL-6499-2]

### Organophosphate Pesticide; Availability of Revised Risk Assessments

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of the revised risk assessments and related documents for one organophosphate pesticide, phosmet. In addition, this notice starts a 60-day public participation period

during which the public is encouraged to submit risk management ideas or proposals. These actions are in response to a joint initiative between EPA and the Department of Agriculture (USDA) to increase transparency in the tolerance reassessment process for organophosphate pesticides.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket control number OPP-34173B for phosmet, must be received by EPA on or before May 19, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit III. of the "SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION." To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPP-34173B for phosmet in the subject line on the first page of your response.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen Angulo, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Bldg., 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 308-8004; e-mail address: angulo.karen@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general, nevertheless, a wide range of stakeholders will be interested in obtaining the revised risk assessments and submitting risk management comments on phosmet, including environmental, human health, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the use of pesticides on food. As such, the Agency has not attempted to specifically describe all the entities potentially affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT."

#### II. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document or Other Related Documents?

A. *Electronically.* You may obtain electronic copies of this document and other related documents from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov/>. To access this document, on the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations" and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to