

Parkway, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Phone (850) 222-8822.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Leon Jourolmon, Assistant Administrator, Finance and Marketing Division, Southeastern Power Administration, Department of Energy, Samuel Elbert Building, Elberton, Georgia 30635, (706) 213-3800.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Existing rate schedules are supported by a March 1995 Repayment Study and other supporting data contained in FERC Docket EF95-3031-000. A repayment study prepared in March 2000 shows that the existing rates are not adequate to meet repayment criteria. A revised repayment study with a revenue increase of \$237,000, or 4.3 percent, demonstrates that all costs are paid within their repayment life thus satisfying the repayment criteria. The increase is primarily due to costs associated with the rehabilitation of the project. Southeastern is proposing to raise rates to recover this additional \$237,000.

The capacity charge in the proposed Wholesale Power Rate Schedule JW-1-F has been raised from \$5.13 per kilowatt per month to \$5.52 per kilowatt per month. The energy charge has been increased from 15.2 mills per kilowatt-hour to 15.49 mills per kilowatt-hour. Proposed Wholesale Power Rate Schedule JW-2-C, raises the rate from 60 percent of the Florida Power Corporation's fuel cost to 63 percent of the Corporation's fuel cost.

The studies are available for examination at the Samuel Elbert Building, Elberton, Georgia 30635, as are the 1995 repayment study and the proposed Rate Schedules.

Dated: March 1, 2000.

**Charles A. Borchardt,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 00-6677 Filed 3-16-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6252-1]

**Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

**RESPONSIBLE AGENCY:** Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR [www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa). Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 06, 2000 Through March 10, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9  
EIS No. 000071, Final EIS, TPT, CA, Presidio of San Francisco General

Management Plan, Implementation, New Development and Uses within the Letterman Complex, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, City and County of San Francisco, CA, Due: April 17, 2000, Contact: John G. Pelka (415) 561-5300.

EIS No. 000072, Draft EIS, FAA, RI, T. F. Green Airport Project, To Implement the Part 150 Noise Abatement Procedures in a Safe and Efficient Manner, Warwick County, RI, Due: May 01, 2000, Contact: Theresa Flieger (781) 238-7524.

EIS No. 000073, Draft EIS, TVA, TN, Tellico Reservoir Land Management Plan, Implementation of Seven Mainstream and Two Tributary Reservoirs, Blount, Loudon and Monroe, TN, Due: May 01, 2000, Contact: Steven L. Akers (865) 988-2430.

EIS No. 000074, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Upper Blue Stewardship Project, Implementation of Vegetation Management, Travel Management, Designation of Dispersed Camping Sites, White River National Forest, Dillion Ranger District, Summit County, CO, Due: May 12, 2000, Contact: Kathleen Phelps (970) 468-5400.

EIS No. 000075, Draft EIS, COE, NJ, Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Hurricane and Storm Damage Reduction Project, Flood Control and Storm Damage Protection, Port Monmouth, Middletown Township, Monmouth County, NJ, Due: May 01, 2000, Contact: Mark H. Barlas (212) 264-4663.

EIS No. 000076, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Middle Fork Weiser River Watershed Project, Implementation of Vegetation Restoration, Landscape Fire Pattern and Watershed Restoration Objectives, Payette National Forest, Council Ranger District, Adams County, ID, Due: May 18, 2000, Contact: Faye Kreieger (208) 253-0100.

EIS No. 000077, Draft EIS, BLM, OR, CA, Cascade Siskiyou Ecological Emphasis Area Management Plan, To Maintain, Protect, Restore or Enhance the Ecological Processes, Planning Area for Designation as a National Monument by the President, OR and CA, Due: June 14, 2000, Contact: Tom Sensenig (541) 618-2200.

**Amended Notices**

EIS No. 000034, Draft EIS, FRA, Use of Locomotive Rule, Nationwide, Due: May 26, 2000, Contact: Mark H. Tessler (202) 493-6038. Published -FR-02-18-00 This EIS was inadvertently published in the 02-18-2000 FR. The correct Notice of

Availability was published in the 01-21-2000 FR CEQ #000006 the correct date comments are due back to the preparing agency is May 26, 2000.

Dated: March 13, 2000.

**B. Katherine Biggs,**

*Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 00-6706 Filed 3-16-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-U**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6252-2]

**Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 28, 2000 Through March 03, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 09, 1999 (63 FR 17856).

**Draft EISs**

*ERP No. D-AFS-L65341-OR* Rating EC2, Tower Fire Recovery Project, Restoration and Salvage, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, North Fork John Day Ranger District, Umatilla and Grant Counties, OR.

*Summary:* EPA expressed concerns with the lack of information on related TMDL efforts by the Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality, and the potential impacts to federally listed salmonid species, especially increased sedimentation and habitat fragmentation from current and proposed roads.

*ERP No. D-BLM-J65318-00* Rating EC2, Montana, North Dakota and Portions of South Dakota Off-Highway Vehicle Management and Plan Amendment, Implementation, MT, ND and SD.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns due to potential adverse impacts to water quality, wetlands and endangered species habitat from non-system roads and user exemptions. The final EIS should include information related to monitoring, enforcement and an inventory of non-system roads. EPA suggests that the selected alternative consider the exclusion of non-system