

subject to an order is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After a hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the person is an inhabitant, or has his principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a complaint is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the forms and reporting and recordkeeping requirements that are included in the Fluid Milk Promotion Order have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and were assigned OMB No. 0581-0093, except for Board members' nominee information sheets that were assigned OMB No. 0505-0001.

#### Statement of Consideration

The proposed rule would amend certain provisions of the Fluid Milk Promotion Order. The proposed amendments would modify the membership provisions of the Order. One proposal would allow up to three representatives of a fluid milk processor to serve on the 20-member Board. Currently, the Order states that a fluid milk processor shall be represented by no more than two representatives on the Board. The Board indicated that this proposal is due to consolidations in the industry which have resulted in the formation of larger regional and national companies. Additionally, the Board asserts that the proposed amendment would provide the Secretary greater flexibility in those situations that warrant additional representation for a fluid milk processor.

The proposed amendments also would allow a Board member who changes fluid milk processor company affiliation to serve on the Board for a period of up to six months or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that the eligibility requirements of the Order are still met. Under current Order provisions, a Board member whose company affiliation changes may continue to serve on the Board for a period of up to 60 days or until a successor is appointed, whichever is sooner, provided that such member continues to meet the Order's eligibility standards. The Board states that the proposed amendment would more accurately reflect the time needed to fill a Board vacancy.

The Board believes that the proposed amendments would ensure Board continuity and full representation and

allow it to operate in an effective and efficient manner.

Interested parties are invited to comment on this proposed rule. A 30-day comment period is provided. This period is deemed appropriate so as to implement the proposed changes, if adopted, as soon as possible, in order to avoid unnecessary vacancies on the Board.

#### List of Subjects 7 CFR Part 1160

Fluid milk products, Milk, Promotion. For the reasons set forth in the preamble, it is proposed that 7 CFR part 1160 is amended as follows:

#### PART 1160—FLUID MILK PROMOTION PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 1160 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 6401-6417.

2. Section 1160.200 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

#### § 1160.200 Establishment and membership.

(a) There is hereby established a National Fluid Milk Processor Board of 20 members, 15 of whom shall represent geographic regions and five of whom shall be at-large members of the Board. To the extent practicable, members representing geographic regions shall represent fluid milk processing operations of differing sizes. No fluid milk processor shall be represented on the Board by more than three members. The at-large members shall include at least three fluid milk processors and at least one member from the general public. Except for the member or members from the general public, nominees appointed to the Board must be active owners or employees of a fluid milk processor. The failure of such a member to own or work for a fluid milk processor or its successor fluid milk processor shall disqualify that member for membership on the Board except that such member shall continue to serve on the Board for a period of up to six months following the disqualification or until appointment of a successor Board member to such position, whichever is sooner, provided that such person continues to meet the criteria for serving on the Board as a processor representative.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: March 14, 2000.

**Kathleen A. Merrigan,**  
*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 00-6675 Filed 3-16-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 1210

[Docket No. FV-00-1210-610 REVIEW]

#### Watermelon Research and Promotion Plan; Section 610 Review

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of review and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This action announces the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) review of the Watermelon Research and Promotion Plan, under the criteria contained in sec. 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA).

**DATES:** Written comments on this document must be received by May 16, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this notice of review to the Docket Clerk, Research and Promotion Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA, Stop 0244, Room 2535-S, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-0244. Comments should be submitted in triplicate and will be made available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours. Comments may also be submitted electronically to: malinda.farmer@usda.gov. All comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register**. A copy of this notice may be found at: [www.ams.usda.gov/fv/rpdocketlist.htm](http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/rpdocketlist.htm).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen T. Comfort, Research and Promotion Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, Stop 0244, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 2535-S, Washington, D.C. 20250-0244; telephone (888) 720-9917; Fax (202) 205-2800; or E-mail: Karen.Comfort@usda.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Watermelon Research and Promotion Plan (7 CFR Part 1210), regulates the development and financing (through assessments on watermelons produced in or imported into the United States) of effective, continuous, and coordinated programs of research, development, advertising, and promotion designed to strengthen, maintain, and expand domestic and foreign markets for watermelons. The Watermelon Research and Promotion Plan (Plan) is authorized under the Watermelon Research and

Promotion Act, as amended by the Watermelon Research and Promotion Improvement of 1993 (7 U.S.C. 4901–4916), hereinafter referred to as the Act.

### Background

On February 18, 1999, AMS published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 8014) its plan to review certain regulations, including the Plan, under the criteria contained in sec. 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601–612). Because many AMS regulations impact small entities, AMS decided, as a matter of policy, to review certain regulations which, although they may not meet the threshold requirement under sec. 610 of the RFA, merit review. The February 18 notice stated that AMS would list the regulations to be reviewed in AMS' regulatory agenda which is published in the **Federal Register** as part of the Unified Agenda. However, after further consideration, AMS has decided to announce the reviews in the **Federal Register** separate from the Unified Agenda. Accordingly, this notice and request for comments is made for the review of the Plan.

The purpose of the review will be to determine whether the Plan should be continued without change, amended, or rescinded (consistent with the objectives of the Act) to minimize the impacts on small entities. In conducting this review, AMS will consider the following factors: (1) The continued need for the Plan; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received from the public concerning the Plan; (3) the complexity of the Plan; (4) the extent to which the Plan overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other Federal rules, and, to the extent feasible, with State and local governmental rules; and (5) the length of time since the Plan has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the Plan.

Written comments, views, opinions, and other information regarding the Plan's impact on small businesses are invited.

Dated: March 10, 2000.

**Robert C. Keeney,**

*Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.*

[FR Doc. 00–6428 Filed 3–16–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food Safety and Inspection Service

#### 9 CFR Parts 317, 318, 319, 381

[Docket No. 97–036A]

#### Other Consumer Protection (OCP) Activities

**AGENCY:** Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is publishing this advance notice of proposed rulemaking to request comments on the need and desirability of revising its approach to verifying that meat and poultry products are not misbranded, economically adulterated, or otherwise unacceptable for reasons that do not necessarily raise food safety concerns. FSIS will refer to these program activities as “other consumer protection” (OCP) activities. This notice defines and describes FSIS' OCP activities and discusses the Agency's need for revised regulations and verification and enforcement procedures.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 15, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit one original and two copies of written comments to FSIS Docket Clerk, DOCKET #97–036A, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Room 102 Cotton Annex Building, 300 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20250–3700. FSIS has made a technical paper available in the FSIS Docket Room and on the FSIS homepage ([www.fsis.usda.gov](http://www.fsis.usda.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel Engeljohn, Director, Regulations Development and Analysis Division, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Washington, DC 20250–3700, at (202) 720–5627.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Definition of Other Consumer Protections (OCP)

As defined in the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) and the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA), meat and poultry products are economically adulterated if any valuable constituent has been omitted or abstracted; any substance has been substituted; if damage or inferiority has been concealed in any manner; or if any substance has been added so as to increase its bulk or weight, or to reduce its quality or strength, or to make it appear better or of greater value than it

is. Also, as defined in these Acts, meat and poultry products are misbranded if the labeling is false or misleading, or if the product purports to be a food for which there is a regulatory standard of identity, but the product fails to comply with that standard.

FSIS conducts a range of activities to ensure that meat and poultry products are not economically adulterated, misbranded, or otherwise unacceptable for reasons that do not necessarily raise food safety considerations. Some OCP activities are based on specific regulatory requirements. These are the food labeling requirements (Parts 317 and 381, Subpart N); definitions and standards of identity and composition (Parts 319 and 381, Subpart P); and the definitions of nonconformance and the finished product standards found in section 381.76. Other OCP activities are tied to specific regulations but are designed to verify that establishments are not producing economically adulterated or misbranded product as defined by the acts.

FSIS activities directed at preventing misbranded product from reaching the consumer include label review activities, formulation verification checks, net weight checks, and laboratory food chemistry analyses. (Note: The presence of illegal drug residues is considered a food safety issue.) FSIS activities that are designed to ensure that products have not been economically adulterated by the addition or undeclared substitution of lower valued ingredients include weighing poultry carcasses to verify that water retention limits are not exceeded during immersion chilling.

FSIS recognizes that its program activities do not fit cleanly into one of two well-defined categories, OCP and food safety. For example, while most consumers would view an unidentified ingredient as a misbranding issue, those with allergy concerns would view the same unidentified ingredient as a serious food safety concern. Similarly, many FSIS activities are related to enforcement of statutory provisions declaring that product is adulterated if it consists in whole or in part of any filthy, putrid, or decomposed substance or is for any other reason unsound, unhealthful, unwholesome, or otherwise unfit for human food. This provision speaks to both food safety and OCP concerns. FSIS conducts many activities to identify and prevent from entering commerce product that is unwholesome or unfit for human food but does not present a food safety concern. Examples of FSIS activities of this type include determining conformance with carcass Acceptable Quality Levels (AQL's)(e.g.,