

751(a)(2)(c) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Yude/Xinyu and Zhenxing/Mancheng will be the rate shown above except that, for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5 percent and therefore *de minimis*, the Department shall require no deposit of estimated antidumping duties; (2) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters (*i.e.*, the PRC rate) will be 85.20 percent; and (3) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 6, 2000.

**Robert S. LaRussa.**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix

##### *Issues in the Decision Memo*

1. Facts Available
2. Non-Market Economies  
Factor Valuation
3. Affiliation  
Control  
Collapsing
4. EP/CEP  
Movement Expenses
5. Circumstances-of-Sale Adjustments  
Indirect Selling Expenses
6. Packing and Movement Expenses  
Inventory Carrying Costs
7. Miscellaneous Issues
8. Programming and Clerical Errors

[FR Doc. 00-6085 Filed 3-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-201-810]

#### Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Mexico: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On September 8, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (CTL Plate) from Mexico for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the net subsidy rate. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final net subsidy rate for the reviewed company is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norbert Gannon or Eric B. Greynolds, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-2786.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### *Applicable Statute and Regulations*

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) effective January 1, 1995 (the Act). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. All citations to the Department's regulations reference 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1998), unless otherwise indicated. Because the request for this administrative review was filed before January 1, 1999, the Department's substantive countervailing duty regulations, which were published in the **Federal Register** on November 25, 1998 (63 FR 65348), do not govern this review.

#### *Background*

On September 8, 1999, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Mexico. *See Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Mexico: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 64 FR 48796 (September 8, 1999) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Altos Hornos de Mexico, S.A. (AHMSA). The review covers the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997, and twenty-one programs.

#### *Scope of the Review*

The products covered by this administrative review are certain cut-to-length carbon steel plates. These products include hot-rolled carbon steel universal mill plates (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 millimeters but not exceeding 1,250 millimeters and of a thickness of not less than 4 millimeters, not in coils and without patterns in relief), of rectangular shape, neither clad, plated nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances; and certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat-rolled products in straight lengths, of rectangular shape, hot rolled, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances, 4.75 millimeters or more in thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7208.31.0000, 7208.32.0000, 7208.33.1000, 7208.33.5000, 7208.41.0000, 7208.42.0000, 7208.43.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.11.0000, 7211.12.0000, 7211.21.0000, 7211.22.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, and 7212.50.0000. Included in this administrative review are flat-rolled products of nonrectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been "worked after rolling")—for example, products which have been bevelled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this administrative review is grade X-70 plate. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes.

The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

**Analysis of Comment Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Holly Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, to Robert S. LaRussa, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 6, 2000, which is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference into this notice. A list of issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the World Wide Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn), under the heading "Mexico." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes to the net subsidy rate. Any changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memorandum.

**Final Results of Review**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we calculated an individual subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this review. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to assess countervailing duties as indicated below on all appropriate entries. For the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997, we determine the net subsidy rate for the reviewed company to be as follows:

MARGIN	
Manufacturer/exporter	Percent
AHMSA .....	10.42

We will instruct Customs to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. The Department will also instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the percentages detailed above of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise

from reviewed companies, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See 19 CFR 351.213(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993); *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the Act, as amended by the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Steel Products from Mexico*, 58 FR 37352 (July 9, 1993) (*Certain Steel 1993*). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: March 6, 2000.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Appendix I—Issues in Discussed in Decision Memorandum**

[[www.ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn), under the heading ("Mexico")]

*Methodology and Background Information*

- I. Subsidies Valuation Information
    - A. Allocation Period
    - B. Discount Rates
  - II. Change in Ownership
    - A. Background
    - B. Change in Ownership Calculation Methodology
  - III. Inflation Methodology
- Analysis of Programs*
- I. Programs Conferring Subsidies
    - A. GOM Equity Infusions
    - B. 1986 Assumption of AHMSA's Debt
    - C. 1988 and 1990 Debt Restructuring of AHMSA Debt and the Resulting Discounted Prepayment in 1996 of AHMSA's Restructured Debt Owed to the GOM
    - D. IMIS Research and Development Grants
    - E. Pre-privatization Lay-off Financing from the GOM and the 1991 Equity Infusion in Connection with the Debt-to-Equity Swap of PROCARSA Shares
    - F. Bancomext Export Loans
    - G. PITEX Duty-Free Imports for Companies That Export
    - H. Immediate Deduction
  - II. Programs Determined To Be Not Countervailable
    - A. Committed Investment
    - B. The Mexican Corporation of Materials Research, S.A. de C.V. (COMMSA)
    - C. Waiver of Taxes on AHMSA Purchase of Fundadora de Monterrey, S.A. de C.V. (FMSA)
    - D. Discounted Freight Rates
    - E. Promotion of Highly Exportable Companies (ALTEX)
  - III. Other Programs Examined
    - A. Nafinsa Long-Term Loans
  - IV. Programs Not Used
    - A. Bancomext Short-Term Import Financing
    - B. FONEI Long-Term Financing
    - C. Export Financing Restructuring
    - D. Bancomext Trade Promotion Services and Technical Support

- E. ECEX  
 F. Article 15 & 94 Loans  
 V. Analysis of Comments  
 Comment 1: Requested Use of Facts Available  
 Comment 2: The 1988 and 1990 Debt Restructuring of AHMSA Debt and the Resulting Discounted Prepayment in 1996 of AHMSA's Restructured Debt Owed to the GOM  
 Comment 3: Discount Rates Used by the Department as Part of Its Significant Inflation Methodology  
 Comment 4: Use of Certain Company-Specific Loans in the Derivation of Short-Term and Long-Term Benchmark Interest Rates  
 Comment 5: Committed Investment  
 Comment 6: Value-Added Taxes (VAT) Under the Program for Temporary Import for Producing Products for Export (PITEX)  
 Comment 7: Machinery and Auxiliary Materials Imported Under PITEX  
 Comment 8: Immediate Deduction  
 Comment 9: Nafinsa Long-Term Loans  
 Comment 10: The Department's Compliance With the SCM Agreement Regarding Its Initiation of Investigations of New Subsidies Alleged During the POR

[FR Doc. 00-6088 Filed 3-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Evaluation of State Coastal Management Programs and National Estuarine Research Reserves

**AGENCY:** Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), DOC.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of final evaluation findings.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the availability of the final evaluation findings for the Wisconsin, Oregon and Guam Coastal Management Programs, and the Hudson River (New York), Ace Basin (South Carolina), South Slough (Oregon), Wells (Maine), and Weeks Bay (Alabama) National Estuarine Research Reserves (NERRs). Sections 312 and 315 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (CZMA), as amended, require a continuing review of the performance of coastal states with respect to approval of coastal management programs, and the operation and management of NERRs.

The states of Wisconsin and Oregon, and the Territory of Guam were found to be implementing and enforcing their federally approved coastal management programs, addressing the national

coastal management objectives identified in CZMA Section 303(2)(A)-(K), and adhering to the programmatic terms of their financial assistance awards.

Hudson River, Ace Basin, South Slough, Wells, and Weeks Bay NERRs were found to be adhering to programmatic requirements of the NERR System. Copies of these final evaluation findings may be obtained upon written request from: Margo E. Jackson, Deputy Director, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, NOS/NOAA, 1305 East-West Highway, 10th Floor, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910, or *Margo.E.Jackson@noaa.gov*, (301) 713-3155 Extension 114.

Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog 11.419; Coastal Zone Management Program Administration.

#### Capt. Ted Lillestolen,

*Deputy Assistant Administrator for Ocean Services and Coastal Zone Management.*

[FR Doc. 00-6028 Filed 3-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-203-000]

#### ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC GAS Tariff

March 7, 2000.

Take notice that on March 1, 2000, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets proposed to be effective April 1, 2000.

Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 19  
 Seventh Revised Sheet No. 68H

ANR states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the annual redetermination of the levels of ANR's Transporter's Use (%) as required by ANR's currently effective tariff, to become effective April 1, 2000. This redetermination reflects a decrease in the fuel use percentages for all of the transportation rate routes on ANR's system, as well as for storage and gathering services. ANR states that all of its Volume No. 1 and Volume No. 2 customers and interested State Commissions have been mailed a copy of this filing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections

385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

**David P. Boergers,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-5987 Filed 3-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER00-741-001]

#### Canal Emirates Power International, Inc.; Notice of Filing

March 7, 2000.

Take notice that on February 25, 2000, Canal Emirates Power International, Inc. (Canal), 22 Charles Street, Binghamton, New York 13905-2247, tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a revision to its market-based rate tariff. The filing consisted of 1st Revised Sheet No. 1, which superseded Original Sheet No. 1. The filing is intended to comply with the January 27, 2000 order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in the above-referenced docket, which granted the Petition of Canal Emirates Power International, Inc., for Acceptance of Initial Rate Schedule, Waivers and Blanket Authority, subject to the requirement that Canal revise its rate schedule to limit its authority to sell ancillary services to sales into the markets administered by the New York ISO.

Canal is a privately-held New York corporation having its principal place of business at 22 Charles Street, Binghamton, New York 13905-2247. Canal is the owner of a 50 MW cogeneration facility that is located in Binghamton. Canal is engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning or operating, or both owning and operating, all or part of one or more eligible facilities and selling electric