

sign in a three-line format address of a CMRA customer is not permitted in the following addresses:

JOE DOE
10 MAIN ST STE 11 #234
HERNDON VA 22071-2716

or
Joe Doe
RR 12 BOX 512 #234
Herndon VA 22071-2716

In this case, the following must be used:

JOE DOE
10 MAIN ST STE 11 PMB 234
HERNDON VA 22071-2716

or
JOE DOE
#234
10 MAIN ST STE 11
HERNDON VA 22071-2716

or
JOE DOE
RR 12 Box 512 PMB 234
HERNDON VA 22071-2716

or
JOE DOE
#234
RR 12 Box 512
HERNDON VA 22071-2716

It is also impermissible to combine the secondary address element of the physical location of the CMRA address and the CMRA customer private mailbox number, e.g., 10 MAIN ST STE 11-234. In CMRA physical addresses which contain a secondary address element on the delivery address line, the addition of the # sign and the private mailbox number of a CMRA customer on the delivery address line will likely cause operational problems. The problems are related to automation misreading the address, leading to returned or misdirected mail, service delays, and increased costs in processing and delivering the mail. Accordingly, where the CMRA address contains a secondary address element, the CMRA customer must not use the # sign in the address in the three-line format. The CMRA must write the complete CMRA delivery address used to deliver mail to each individual addressee or firm on the Form 1583 (block 3). The Postal Service may return mail without a proper address to the sender endorsed "Undeliverable as Addressed, Missing PMB or # Sign."

* * * * *

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111.3 to reflect this change will be published if the proposal is adopted.

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 00-6050 Filed 3-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[GA44 & GA36-9948b; FRL-6547-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Revisions to the Georgia State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Georgia on February 11, 1998, and November 19, 1998. The February 11, 1998, revisions update references to the August 15, 1997, version of Georgia's "Procedures for Testing and Monitoring Sources of Air Pollutants" manual. The November 19, 1998, revisions adopt new Permit by Rule provisions for several, small source categories, including: certain types of fuel-burning equipment, on-site power generation, concrete mixing plants, hot mix asphalt plants, cotton ginning operations, coating and/or gluing operations, printing operations, non-reactive mixing operations, fiberglass molding and forming operations, and peanut/nut shelling operations. For these categories of sources that operate below the major source threshold, the rules may negate the need to file for a Part 70 Permit (also known as a "Title V Permit") or a synthetic minor permit. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before April 12, 2000.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Michele Notarianni, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, EPA Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michele Notarianni at 404/562-9031 (or by e-mail at: notarianni.michele@epa.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is published in the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 14, 2000.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 00-5387 Filed 3-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-496; MM Docket No. 99-188; RM-9591]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Bruneau, ID

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; denial.

SUMMARY: This document denies a petition for rule making filed by Mountain West Broadcasting proposing the allotment of FM Channel 273C1 to Bruneau, Idaho, as a first local aural transmission service, for failure to establish that locality is a *bona fide* community for allotment purposes. See 64 FR 30293 June 7, 1999. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 99-188, adopted February 23, 2000, and released March 3, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20036, (202) 857-3800.