

1. Current Designations Being Announced for Renewal

Official agency	Main office	Designation start	Designation end
Ohio Valley	Newburg, IN	11/01/1997	10/31/2000
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	12/01/1997	11/31/2000
Lewiston	Lewiston, ID	12/01/1997	11/31/2000
Utah	Salt Lake City, UT	12/01/1997	11/31/2000
Minnesota	St. Paul, MN	01/01/1997	12/31/2000
Mississippi	Jackson, MS	01/01/1997	12/31/2000

a. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, in the States of Indiana, Kentucky, and Tennessee, is assigned to Ohio Valley.

Daviess, Dubois, Gibson, Knox (except the area west of U.S. Route 41 (150) from Sullivan County south to U.S. Route 50), Pike, Posey, Vanderburgh, and Warrick Counties, Indiana.

Caldwell, Christian, Crittenden, Henderson, Hopkins (west of State Route 109 south of the Western Kentucky Parkway), Logan, Todd, Union, and Webster (west of Alternate U.S. Route 41 and State Route 814) Counties, Kentucky.

Cheatham, Davidson, and Robertson Counties, Tennessee.

b. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, in the State of Idaho, is assigned to Idaho.

The southern half of the State of Idaho up to the northern boundaries of Adams, Valley, and Lemhi Counties.

c. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, in the State of Idaho, is assigned to Lewiston.

The northern half of the State of Idaho down to the northern boundaries of Adams, Valley, and Lemhi Counties.

d. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, the entire State of Utah, is assigned to Utah.

e. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, the entire State of Minnesota, except those export port locations within the State, is assigned to Minnesota.

f. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, the entire State of Mississippi, except those export port locations within the State, is assigned to Mississippi.

g. Pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, the following geographic area, in the State of Indiana, is assigned to Northeast Indiana.

Bounded on the North by the northern Lagrange and Steuben County lines;

Bounded on the East by the eastern Steuben, De Kalb, Allen, and Adams County lines;

Bounded on the South by the southern Adams and Wells County lines; and

Bounded on the West by the western Wells County line; the southern Huntington and Wabash County lines; the western Wabash County line north to State Route 114; State Route 114 northwest to State Route 19; State Route 19 north to Kosciusko County; the western and northern Kosciusko County lines; and the western Noble and Lagrange County lines.

The following grain elevator, located outside of the above contiguous geographic area, is part of this geographic area assignment: E.M.P. Coop, Payne, Paulding County, Ohio (located inside Michigan Grain Inspection Services, Inc.'s, area).

2. Opportunity for Designation

Interested persons, including Ohio Valley, Idaho, Lewiston, Utah, Minnesota, and Mississippi, are hereby given the opportunity to apply for designation to provide official services in the geographic areas specified above under the provisions of Section 7(f) of the Act and section 800.196(d) of the regulations issued thereunder. Persons wishing to apply for designation should contact the Compliance Division at the address listed above for forms and information.

DESIGNATION TERMS

Ohio Valley	10/31/2000 to 09/30/2003.
Idaho, Lewiston, and Utah.	11/30/2000 to 09/30/2003.
Minnesota and Mississippi.	12/31/2000 to 09/30/2003.

3. Request for Comments

GIPSA also is publishing this notice to provide interested persons the opportunity to present comments on the Ohio Valley, Idaho, Lewiston, Utah, Minnesota and Mississippi. Commenters are encouraged to submit pertinent data concerning these official agencies including information on the timeliness, cost, quality, and scope of services provided. All comments must be submitted to the Compliance Division at the above address.

Applications, comments, and other available information will be considered in determining which applicant will be designated.

Authority: Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

Dated: February 4, 2000.

Neil E. Porter,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 00-4682 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

Census 2000 Evaluation of the Multiple Response Resolution

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before May 1, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5033, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to David Phelps, Bureau of the Census, PRED, Mailstop 9200, Washington, DC 20233-0001, (301)457-4142.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

To make it easier for people to be counted in the Census 2000, the Census Bureau will provide several ways for households to respond. In addition to receiving responses through mailed questionnaires and enumerator operations, the Census Bureau will enable the public to initiate responses on forms available in public places, by telephone, or via the Internet.

While multiple methods of responding increases the opportunities for people to be counted, these methods also increase the likelihood of receiving multiple responses for some housing units. The Census Bureau has devised an automated process to manage this situation, called multiple response resolution.

To evaluate this process, we will conduct a survey of those housing units which were affected by the multiple response resolution process. We will conduct this survey shortly after Census 2000 and ask questions about the residency status of the persons that were listed on the multiple responses for that housing unit on Census Day (April 1, 2000). All information collected will be pertinent to verifying the accuracy of the multiple response resolution process.

The sample will consist of approximately 7,500 housing units with the household being contacted via a personal visit in late October through early November, 2000. We will use the data collected to determine how well the multiple response resolution process functioned.

II. Method of Collection

Specially trained interviewers will administer the survey using a paper questionnaire designed for this evaluation.

III. Data

OMB Number: Forthcoming.

Form Number: Forthcoming.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Affected Public: Those persons who reside at a housing unit for which the Bureau received more than one response.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 7,500.

Estimated Time Per Response: 12 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,500.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: There is no cost to the respondent other than the time taken to complete the survey.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title XIII United States Code, Section 141 and 193.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 24, 2000.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-4872 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Docket 5-99]

Foreign-Trade Zone 22—Chicago, IL; Application for Subzone Status; Amendment of Application—Clark Refining & Marketing, Inc. (Oil Refining Complex)

Notice is hereby given that the application of the Illinois International Port District, grantee of FTZ 22, requesting authority for special-purpose subzone status for the oil refinery complex of Clark Refining & Marketing, Inc., in Cook County, Illinois (64 FR 6877, 2/11/99), has been amended to add a contiguous parcel (6 acres, 9 tanks, 46,000 barrel capacity) to proposed Site 2, the crude oil tank farm located at 131st and Homan. The additional parcel will be used for final product storage.

The application remains otherwise unchanged.

The comment period is reopened until March 31, 2000.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-4919 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

National Institutes of Health; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 am and 5:00 pm in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 99-028. *Applicant:* National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-4094. *Instrument:* Robot and Microplate Filler with accessories, Models Q-BOT and Q-Fill. *Manufacturer:* GENETIX, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 64 FR 70213, December 16, 1999.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a unique multi-tasking robot for selecting recombinant DNA inserts from neural tissue with: (1) a pneumatic picking head for sampling 3500 colonies per hour, (2) replication of plates (96 or 384 wells) to distribute clones to others, (3) ability to create high density arrays of bacteria on nylon filters and (4) a re-arranging package. A university-based genomic research project advised February 8, 2000 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 00-4917 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

Department of Energy; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational,