

(Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks) (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that are based on health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5-501 of the Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it does not establish an environmental standard intended to mitigate health or safety risks and is not economically significant under Executive Order 12866.

#### H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

In addition, the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1997 does not apply because today's proposed action does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of voluntary consensus standards under that Act. The EPA's compliance with these statutes and Executive Orders for the underlying rule, the final NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call, is discussed in more detail in 63 FR 57477-81 (October 27, 1998).

#### I. Paperwork Reduction Act

The EPA stated in the final NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call that an information collection request was pending. Today's action imposes no additional burdens beyond those imposed by the final NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call. Any issues relevant to satisfaction of the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act will be resolved during review and approval of the pending information collection request for the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 51

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Transportation, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 18, 2000.

**Carol M. Browner,**  
Administrator.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, part 51 of chapter I of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

### PART 51—REQUIREMENTS FOR PREPARATION, ADOPTION, AND SUBMITTAL OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 51 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7410, 7414, 7421, 7470-7479, 7491, 7492, 7601, and 7602.

#### Subpart G—Control Strategy

2. Section 51.121 is amended by adding paragraph (q) to read as follows:

#### § 51.121 Findings and requirements for submission of State implementation plan revisions relating to emissions of oxides of nitrogen.

\* \* \* \* \*

(q) *Stay of Findings of Significant Contribution with respect to the 8-hour standards.* Notwithstanding any other provisions of this subpart, the effectiveness of the provisions in paragraph (a)(2) of this section is stayed.

[FR Doc. 00-4519 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 231-0206b; FRL-6540-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concerns the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from refinery vacuum-producing devices and systems.

The intended effect of this action is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further action is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be

addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by March 31, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to: Andrew Steckel, Chief, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the submitted rule revisions and our technical support documents (TSDs) may be inspected at our Region IX office from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm, Monday through Friday. To see copies of the submitted rule revisions, you may also go to the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95812.

South Coast Air Quality Management  
District, 21865 East Copley Drive,  
Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, (415) 744-1135.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 465, Refinery Vacuum-Producing Devices and Systems, submitted to EPA on October 29, 1999 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 11, 2000.

**Felicia Marcus,**

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 00-4779 Filed 2-29-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**