

Two Lease-By-Applications (LBA) and Exploration License for Iron Point and Elk Creek Coal Leases, Delta and Gunnison Counties, CO, Due: March 27, 2000, Contact: Jerry Jones (970) 240-5338. The US Department of the Interior's Bureau of Land Management and Department of Agriculture's Forest Service are Joint Lead Agencies for this project.

EIS No. 000049, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Mill-Key-Wey Project, Proposed Timber Harvesting, Ecosystem Burning, Road Construction and Reconstruction, Implementation, Lolo National Forest, Superior Ranger District, Mineral County, MT, Due: April 10, 2000, Contact: Tom Martin (406) 822-3961.

EIS No. 000050, FINAL EIS, FHW, MO, MO-19 Missouri River Replacement Bridge Project, Construction and Operation, US Coast Guard and COE Section 404 Permits, Gasconade and Montgomery Counties, MO, Due: March 31, 2000, Contact: Don Neumann (573) 636-7104.

EIS No. 000051, DRAFT EIS, FTA, OH, Bera/I-X Center Red Line Extension Project, Southwest Corridor Major Investment, Transit Improvements, Funding, Cuyahoga County, OH, Due: April 10, 2000, Contact: Carlos Pena (312) 353-2865.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000042, DRAFT EIS, USN, CA, El Toro Marine Corps Air Station Disposal and Reuse, April 03, 2000, Contact: Robert Montana (619) 532-0942, Published -FR-02-18-2000. Correction to Comment date from 03-20-2000 to 04-03-2000. Correction to Contact Name and Telephone.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-4516 Filed 2-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6251-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 07, 2000 through February 11, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments

can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 09, 1999 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-E65052-KY Rating EC1, Daniel Boone National Forest, Implementation, Salvage Harvest Due to 1998 Storm Damage Timber, McCreary and Pulaskie County, KY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts regarding water quality, riparian vegetation and increased bank erosion. EPA recommends implementing Alternative C which appears to strike a good balance by minimizing impacts to the sensitive important Rock and Marsh Creek corridors.

ERP No. D-BLM-J02038-WY Rating LO, Pinedale Anticline Oil and Gas Exploration and Development Natural Gas Wells Project, Implementation, Sublette County, WY.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-COE-K36131-CA Rating EC2, Lower Mission Creek Flood Control Project, Proposed Plan for Flood Control, City of Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara County, CA.

Summary: EPA concurred that alternative 12 would increase biological, visual, and water resource values associated with Mission Creek and would enhance flood control. EPA expressed concerns that the Tidewater Goby may be adversely affected and recommended that potential for scouring; wetland washouts; and culvert clogging be further addressed and rectified in the FEIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40781-TN Rating EC2, Interstate 40 (I-40) Transportation Improvements from I-75 to Cherry Street in Knoxville, Funding, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Knox County, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential noise and cultural resource impacts. EPA requested that these issues be addressed in greater detail in the final document.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40782-NC Rating EC2, Western Wake Freeway, Transportation Improvements from NC-55 at NC-1172 (Old Smithfield Road) to NC-55 near NC-1630 (Alston Avenue), Funding and COE 404 Permit, Wake County, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the residential noise impacts will be substantial for all of the alternatives and

that additional efforts are needed to lessen them. Additionally, EPA expressed concerns for the cumulative social impacts to one community and for storm water impacts for the entire project.

ERP No. D-NPS-F65025-MI Rating EC2, Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore, Historic Properties Management Plan, Implementation, MI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential adverse impacts of development to the lakeshore resources and requested that the final EIS include information addressing the types of activities that will be subject to NEPA and the relationship between the Historic Properties Management Plan and the General Management Plan.

FINAL EISs

ERP No. F-FHW-F40085-IN US 231 Transportation Project, New Construction from CR-200 N to CR-1150 S, Funding, Right-of-Way Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Spencer and Dubois Counties, IN.

Summary: The information provided in the final EIS is sufficient to resolve EPA's previously expressed concerns for documentation of the project's Purpose and Need, Range of Alternatives to be Evaluated, and Selection of a Preferred Alternative. Additionally, the Conceptual Wetlands Mitigation Plan described is also acceptable.

ERP No. F-FHW-G40148-00 US-71 Transportation Improvements, from south of Bella Vista to Pineville, Benton County, AR and McDonald County, MO.

Summary: EPA has no further comments. The final EIS adequately responded to EPA's comments on the draft EIS.

ERP No. F-FHW-J54000-CO Southeast Corridor Multi-Modal Project, To Improve Travel between Central and Southeast Corridors, Light Rail Transit (LRT), Colorado Metropolitan Area, Denver, CO.

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns regarding potential indirect and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. F-FTA-L53002-WA Everett-to-Seattle Commuter Rail Project, Construction and Operation, To Link the Cities of Everett, Mukilteo, Edmonds, Shoreline, and the Seattle Waterfront, U.S. Coast Guard, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Snohomish County, WA.

Summary: EPA's primary concern was addressed in the final EIS: the preferred alternative identified will have fewer impacts to the environmental than the other build alternatives.

ERP No. F-NRC-E06003-SC Generic EIS—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants

for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3, Implementation, Oconee County, SC.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns regarding offsite radiological impacts. EPA requested that these issues be discussed in more detail during the relicensing process.

Dated: February 22, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Environmental Protection Specialist Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-4517 Filed 2-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-30453A; FRL-6491-7]

Pesticide Product Registrations; Conditional Approval

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces Agency approval of an application submitted by Bio-Care Technology, to conditionally register the pesticide products NOGALL containing a new active ingredient not included in any previously registered product pursuant to the provisions of section 3(c)(7)(C) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Sharlene R. Matten, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (7511C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (703) 605-0514; e-mail address: matten.sharlene@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected categories and entities may include, but are not limited to:

Cat-egories	NAICS codes	Examples of potentially affected entities
Industry	111 112 311 32532	Crop production Animal production Food manufacturing Pesticide manufacturing

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide

for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether or not this action might apply to certain entities. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document and Other Related Documents?

1. *Electronically.* You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov/>. To access this document, on the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations" and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

To access a fact sheet which provides more detail on this registration, go to the Home Page for the Office of Pesticide Programs at <http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides>, and select "recent biopesticides fact sheets."

2. *In person.* The Agency has established an official record for this action under docket control number OPP-30453A. The official record consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received during an applicable comment period, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as Confidential Business Information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period, is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

In accordance with section 3(c)(2) of FIFRA, a copy of the approved label, the list of data references, the data and other

scientific information used to support registration, except for material specifically protected by section 10 of FIFRA, are available for public inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, Arlington, VA. Requests for data must be made in accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act and must be addressed to the Freedom of Information Office (A-101), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., 20460. Such requests should: Identify the product name and registration number and specify the data or information desired.

A paper copy of the fact sheet, which provides more detail on this registration, may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

II. Did EPA Conditionally Approve the Application?

A conditional registration may be granted under section 3(c)(7)(C) of FIFRA for a new active ingredient where certain data are lacking, on condition that such data are received by the end of the conditional registration period and do not meet or exceed the risk criteria set forth in 40 CFR 154.7; that use of the pesticide during the conditional registration period will not cause unreasonable adverse effects; and that use of the pesticide is in the public interest. The Agency has considered the available data on the risks associated with the proposed use of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* strain K1026 (engineered with a gene deletion), and information on social, economic, and environmental benefits to be derived from such use. Specifically, the Agency has considered the nature and its pattern of use, application methods and rates, and level and extent of potential exposure. Based on these reviews, the Agency was able to make basic health and safety determinations which show that use of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* strain K1026 during the period of conditional registration will not cause any unreasonable adverse effect on the environment, and that use of the pesticide is, in the public interest.

Consistent with section 3(c)(7)(C) of FIFRA, the Agency has determined that these conditional registrations are in the public interest. Use of the pesticides are of significance to the user community, and appropriate labeling, use directions, and other measures have been taken to ensure that use of the pesticides will not