

left in an inadequate reclamation status prior to the date of enactment of this Act [August 3, 1977], and for which there is no continuing reclamation responsibility under State or other Federal laws.

There must be demonstrated public support for the project. The project should propose to use proven or innovative technology that has a high probability of success. The project design must propose tangible results, *e.g.*, fishery restored, stream miles improved, educational and community benefits, pollutants removed from the streams. The funds must be used for the preliminary design phase of a project; reimbursement of administrative costs will be carefully scrutinized.

One copy of a complete application should be submitted to the appropriate Appalachian Clean Streams Coordinator identified under **ADDRESSES AND FURTHER INFORMATION** or directly to the Arts and Appalachian Clean Streams Program, Office of Surface Mining, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 120, Washington, DC 20240. Awards are subject to the availability of funds. Applications will receive technical and financial management reviews.

Dated: February 15, 2000.

Kathy Karpan,

Director, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 00-4328 Filed 2-23-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-459 (Review)]

Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film From Korea

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on PET film from Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 1999 (64 FR 35685) and determined on October 1, 1999, that

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Thelma J. Askey dissenting.

it would conduct an expedited review (64 FR 55958, October 15, 1999). The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on February 16, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3278 (February 2000), entitled Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from Korea: Investigation No. 731-TA-459 (Review).

Issued: February 16, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-4361 Filed 2-23-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-466, 465, and 468 (Review)]

Sodium Thiosulfate From China, Germany, and the United Kingdom

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on sodium thiosulfate from China, Germany, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 1999 (64 FR 35687, July 1, 1999) and determined on October 1, 1999 that it would conduct expedited reviews (64 FR 55959, October 15, 1999). The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on February 17, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3279 (February 2000), entitled Sodium Thiosulfate from China, Germany, and the United Kingdom: Investigations Nos. 731-TA-465, 466, and 468 (Review).

Issued: February 15, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-4359 Filed 2-23-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. 207.2(f)).

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-376, 563, and 564 (Review)]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Japan, Korea, and Taiwan

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Japan, Korea, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 1999 (64 FR 35691, July 1, 1999) and determined on October 1, 1999 that it would conduct expedited reviews (64 FR 55960, October 15, 1999). The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on February 22, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3280 (February 2000), entitled Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Japan, Korea, and Taiwan: Investigations Nos. 731-TA-376, 563, and 564 (Review).

Issued: February 15, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-4358 Filed 2-23-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-864-867 (Preliminary)]

Certain Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Germany, Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 733(a)

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Askey dissenting with respect to stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Korea.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).