

Department will consider the nature of the affiliation in deciding how to apply facts available." See *Preamble*, 62 FR at 27356. As noted above, KSC attempted unsuccessfully to obtain the downstream sales information from this company. Given the level of affiliation (see KSC's October 28, 1998, Section A Questionnaire Response, Exhibit 14, which is proprietary information), we find that it is appropriate to simply disregard the downstream sales in question.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period June 30, 1997, through July 1, 1998:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation	2.47
Kawasaki Steel Corporation	1.61

The Department will determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will calculate importer-specific duty assessment rates on a unit value per metric ton basis. To calculate the per metric ton unit value for assessment, we sum the dumping margins on U.S. sales, and then divide this sum by the total metric tons of all U.S. sales examined. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rate listed above (except that if the rate for a particular product is de minimis, *i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent, a cash deposit rate of zero will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the

merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the "all others" rate of 36.41 percent, which is the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, that continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 14, 2000.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-4250 Filed 2-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-810]

Oil Country Tubular Goods from Argentina: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice announcing the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Argentina (see Notice of Initiation, 64 FR 53318). The review covers the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999, the company, Siderca, S.A.I.C. and its affiliated parties. We are rescinding this review because there were no consumption entries during the POR or OCTG from Argentina produced or exported by Siderca.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen McPhillips or Linda Ludwig, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III—Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0193 or (202) 482-3833, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce (the Department) regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 1999).

Scope of the Review

Oil country tubular goods are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited-service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this review are currently classified in the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7304.20.20, 7304.20.40, 7304.20.50, 7304.20.60, 7304.20.80, 7304.39.00, 7304.51.50, 7304.20.70, 7304.59.60, 7304.59.80, 7304.90.70, 7305.20.40, 7305.20.60, 7305.20.80, 7305.31.40, 7305.31.60, 7305.39.10, 7305.39.50, 7305.90.10, 7305.90.50, 7306.20.20, 7306.20.30, 7306.20.40, 7306.20.60, 7306.20.80, 7306.30.50,

7306.50.50, 7306.60.70, 7306.90.10. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Background

On August 31, 1999, the petitioner in this case, North Star Steel Ohio (North Star) requested an administrative review of OCTG produced or exported by Siderca, an Argentine producer and exporter of OCTG, or any other affiliated party. The antidumping duty order was published in the **Federal Register** on August 11, 1995 (60 FR 41055). On October 4, 1999, the Department issued a questionnaire to Siderca (see Letter from International Trade Administration to Siderca). On November 1, 1999, counsel for the petitioner, also requested that the Department conduct a duty absorption review to determine whether Siderca S.A.I.C. has absorbed antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(j)(1)–(2) (1999).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In its October 7, 1999 response to petitioner’s request for review and the subsequent issuance of the Department’s questionnaire, Siderca certified that “it did not, directly or indirectly, enter for consumption, or sell, export, or ship for entry for consumption in the United States subject merchandise during the POR.” In addition, Siderca’s U.S. affiliate, Siderca Corporation (which handles Siderca’s merchandise in the United States) certified that it “did not sell, enter, or otherwise import for consumption into the United States, directly or indirectly, any of the subject merchandise during the POR.” See Letter from Counsel for Siderca S.A.I.C. to the Secretary, October 7, 1999, p. 2.

On November 8, 1999, the Department requested information from Customs on those entries identified as consumption entries from Argentina in the Census proprietary entry-specific database. In its response of January 12, 2000, Customs provided documentation showing that there was only one entry subject to the antidumping case on OCTG from Argentina. The remaining entries covered shipments of mechanical tubing and boiler tubing, merchandise not subject to the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods. The one entry for consumption, nonseamless (welded) oil tubing classified under HTSUS 7306.20.60.50, was not produced by Siderca.

Based on the foregoing, there is no evidence that Siderca made any U.S. consumption entries of Argentine OCTG during the POR. The Department, therefore, determines that no subject

merchandise produced or exported by Siderca was entered into the United States for consumption during the POR and, thus, there are no entries subject to review.

Because Siderca was the only firm for which a review was requested and it had no U.S. entries for consumption of covered merchandise during the POR, there is no basis for continuing this administrative review. We, therefore, are rescinding this review in accordance with § 351.213(d)(3) of the Department’s regulations. The cash deposit rate for all firms will continue to be the rate established in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding (i.e., 1.36 percent).

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: February 15, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 00–4249 Filed 2–22–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–489–805]

Certain Pasta from Turkey: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) has received a request for new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. In accordance with our regulations, we are initiating this new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann or Cindy Robinson at (202) 482–4126 or 482–3797, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless

otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department’s regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received a request from a pasta producer and its affiliated exporter in Turkey, Beslen Makarna Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Beslen Pazariarma Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., respectively (collectively “Beslen”), to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey, issued July 24, 1996 (61 FR 38545). This request was made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b).

Initiation of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b), in its request of January 27, 2000, Beslen certified that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (“POI”) (May 1, 1994 through April 30, 1995) and that it is not now, and never has been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Beslen submitted documentation establishing the date on which its merchandise was first entered for consumption in the United States, the volume of that first shipment and the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(d) of the Department’s regulations, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i), we intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days from the date of publication of this notice. The standard period of review in a new shipper review initiated in the month immediately following the semiannual anniversary month is the six-month period immediately preceding the semiannual anniversary month.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be re-viewed
Turkey: Certain Pasta, A–489–805: Beslen Makarna Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	07/01/99–12/31/99

Concurrent with publication of this notice, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the Customs Service to allow, at the option of the