

duty for 30 or fewer consecutive days; or

(4) The employee is designated under paragraph (a)(6) of this section to receive training.

**§ 2638.706 Agency's written plan for annual ethics training.**

(a) The designated agency ethics official (or his or her designee) is responsible for directing the agency's ethics training program. The designated agency ethics official (or his or her designee) must develop a written plan each year for the agency's annual training program.

(b) The written plan must be completed by the beginning of each calendar year.

(c) The written plan must contain:

(1) A brief description of the agency's annual training.

(2) Estimates of the number of employees who will receive verbal training according to the following table:

Employees who will receive verbal training	Number
(i) Public filers.	
(ii) Employees other than public filers.	

(3) An estimate of the number of employees who will receive written training according to the following table:

Employees who will receive written training	Number
Employees other than public filers who will receive training under § 2638.705(c)(2).	

(4) Estimates of the number of employees who will receive written training instead of verbal training according to the following table:

Employees who will receive written training instead of verbal training	Number
(i) Public filers who qualify for the exception in § 2638.704(e)(1).	
(ii) Public filers who qualify for the exception in § 2638.704(e)(2).	
(iii) Employees other than public filers who qualify for the exception in § 2638.705(d)(1).	
(iv) Employees other than public filers who qualify for the exception in § 2638.705(d)(2).	
(v) Employees other than public filers who qualify for the exception in § 2638.705(d)(3).	
(vi) Employees other than public filers who qualify for the exception in § 2638.705(d)(4).	

(d) The written plan may contain any other information that the designated agency ethics official believes will assist the Office of Government Ethics in reviewing the agency's training program.

[FR Doc. 00-3346 Filed 2-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6345-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Agricultural Marketing Service**

**7 CFR Part 1230**

[No. LS-98-007]

**Pork Promotion and Research**

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Act (Act) of 1985 and the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Order (Order) issued thereunder, this final rule specifies requirements concerning paying and collecting feeder pig and market hog assessments in the regulations. This action adds a section to the regulations which implement the Order to provide that the producer who sells the animal must remit to the National Pork Board (Board) the assessment due if the purchaser of a feeder pig or market hog fails to collect and remit the assessment.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ralph L. Tapp, Marketing Programs Branch, 202/720-1115.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Executive Order 12866 and 12988 and Regulatory Flexibility Act and the Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Department of Agriculture (USDA) is issuing this final rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. It is not intended to have a retroactive effect.

The Act states that the statute is intended to occupy the field of promotion and consumer education involving pork and pork products and of obtaining funds thereof from pork producers and that the regulation of such activity (other than a regulation or requirement relating to a matter of public health or the provision of State or local funds for such activity) that is in addition to or different from the Act may not be imposed by a State.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under § 1625 of the Act, a person subject to an Order may file a petition with the Secretary stating that such Order, a provision of such Order or an obligation imposed in connection with such Order is not in accordance with law; and requesting a modification of the Order or an exemption from the Order. Such person is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the Hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in the district in which the person resides or does business has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's determination, if a complaint is filed not later than 20 days after the date such person receives notice of such determination.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The Administrator of AMS has considered the economic effect of this action on small entities and has determined that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small business entities. The purpose of RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of businesses subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly burdened.

In the December 29, 1998, issue of "Hogs and Pigs," USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service estimates that in 1998 the number of operations with hogs in the United States totaled 114,380. The majority of these operations subject to the Order are considered small businesses under the criteria established by the Small Business Administration. The final rule imposes no new burden on the industry. The Act and Order have payment and collection provisions for assessments. This rule further specifies the responsibility for the collection and remittance of assessments on feeder pigs and market hogs in the regulations. This rule adds a section to the regulations to provide that the producer who sells the animal must remit to the Board the assessment due if the purchaser of a feeder pig or market hog fails to collect and remit the assessment.

In compliance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations (5 CFR Part 1320) which implements the Paperwork Reduction Act [44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*], the information collection requirements contained in this part have been previously approved by OMB and were assigned OMB control number 0851-0093.

### Background and Proposed Change

The Act (7 U.S.C. 4801–4819) approved December 23, 1985, authorized the establishment of a national pork promotion, research, and consumer information program. The program was funded by an initial assessment rate of 0.25 percent of the market value of all porcine animals marketed in the United States and an equivalent amount of assessment on imported porcine animals, pork, and pork products. However, that rate was increased to 0.35 percent in 1991 (56 FR 51635) and to 0.45 percent effective September 3, 1995 (60 FR 29963). The final Order establishing a pork promotion, research, and consumer information program was published in the September 5, 1986, issue of the **Federal Register** (51 FR 31898; as corrected, at 51 FR 36383, and amended at 53 FR 1909, 53 FR 30243, 56 FR 4, 56 FR 51635, 60 FR 29963, 61 FR 29002, and 62 FR 26205). Assessments began on November 1, 1986.

For purposes of paying, collecting, and remitting assessments under the Order, porcine animals are divided into three categories: (1) Feeder pigs, (2) market hogs, and (3) breeding stock. Section 1230.71(a) provides that producers producing in the United States a porcine animal raised as a feeder pig, market hog, or for breeding stock, that is sold are to pay an assessment on that animal unless the producer demonstrates to the Board by appropriate documentation that an assessment was previously paid on that animal in the same category. Section 1230.71(b)(1) provides that purchasers of feeder pigs and market hogs collect assessments on these animals from the producer. Under § 1230.71 producers selling their own breeding stock must remit assessments to the Board. The Order further provides that for the purpose of collecting and remitting assessments on feeder pigs and market hogs, persons engaged as a commission merchant, auction market, or livestock market in the business of receiving such porcine animals for sale on commission for or on behalf of a producer are deemed to be the purchaser. Commission merchants, auction markets, or livestock markets who sell breeding stock on behalf of producers are required to collect and remit assessments.

Collection and remittance of assessments from sales transactions involving market hogs and breeding stock have been highly successful since the assessment collections became effective in 1986. For example, according to the Board's records,

assessments are being collected and remitted on 99 percent of all market hogs slaughtered commercially in the United States each year.

Assessment collection and remittance on market hogs has been efficient and successful primarily because of the limited number of purchasers, *i.e.* meat packers, who purchase hogs from all sizes of production units. This centralization of collection points and their limited number facilitates remittance of assessments to the Board and reduces or eliminates compliance problems. However, in the marketing of feeder pigs, there are significantly greater numbers of purchasers which tend to complicate the collection and remittance process and increase the potential for compliance problems.

The Order contemplates that the producer (seller) will pay the assessment on feeder pigs and the purchaser, who also may be a producer, will collect the assessment due and remit it to the Board. For market hogs, the Order contemplates that the producer (seller) will pay the assessment and the purchaser will collect the assessment due and remit it to the Board.

Due to production and marketing changes within the feeder pig industry, an increasing number of high volume feeder pig production units (producers) are selling feeder pigs to large numbers of producers. Pursuant to § 1230.71(b)(1) each of these producers must collect assessments from the seller and remit them to the Board. According to the Board, many feeder pig producers, regardless of the size of their operation, simplify payment by remitting the assessment on all feeder pigs they sell to facilitate the collection and remittance of assessments. However, the large number of purchasers involved in feeder pig sales complicates the collection and remittance process and makes compliance difficult.

The primary focus concerning collection and remittance problems on feeder pigs are transactions commonly referred to as farm-to-farm sales of feeder pigs. These sales transactions typically involve two producers. Frequently, producers who purchase feeder pigs may not consider themselves to be purchasers under the Act and Order and consequently neither the seller nor the purchaser collects and/or remits assessments due. This is particularly the case in farm-to-farm feeder pig sales where producer purchasers may not consider themselves as purchasers in such transactions and therefore do not believe they are required to collect and remit assessments to the Board.

To clarify the meaning of a purchaser for the purpose of collection and remittance of assessments for the sale of feeder pigs and also for market hogs and to specify that each producer who sells an animal for the first time as a feeder pig or market hog is obligated to pay the required assessment, this rule adds a new section § 1230.113 to the rules and regulations titled "Collection and Remittance of Assessments for the Sale of Feeder Pigs and Market Hogs." That section provides that purchasers of feeder pigs or market hogs shall collect assessments from producers if an assessment is due and shall remit those assessments to the Board pursuant to the provisions of § 1230.71. Failure of the purchaser to collect such assessment from a producer shall not relieve the producer of the obligation to pay the assessment. If the purchaser fails to collect the assessment when an assessment is due pursuant to § 1230.71, the producer (seller) shall remit the total amount of assessments due to the Board as set forth in § 1230.111. This change will facilitate enforcement of assessment collection in the Pork Promotion, Research, and Consumer Information Program.

On July 28, 1999, AMS published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 40783) a proposed rule which would add a section to the regulations which implement the Order to provide that the producer who sells feeder pigs and market hogs must remit to the National Pork Board the assessments due if the purchasers of the feeder pigs or market hogs fails to collect and remit the assessments. The proposal was published with request for comments by September 27, 1999. Several comments were received—one from the National Pork Board and six from State pork producer associations. All of the comments supported the amendment. They were of the view that the amendment was a positive change, one that would enhance the remittance of checkoff on farm-to-farm sales of feeder pigs and result in easier compliance.

Accordingly, the final rule adds a new section, § 1230.113 to the rules and regulations titled "Collection and Remittance of Assessments for the Sale of Feeder Pigs and Market Hogs" which will require producers who sell feeder pigs and market hogs to remit to the National Pork Board the assessments due if the purchaser of the feeder pigs and market hogs fails to collect and remit the assessments.

### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1230

Administrative practice and procedure, Advertising, Agricultural research, Marketing agreement, Meat

and meat products, Pork and pork products.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 1230 is amended as follows:

**PART 1230—PORK PROMOTION, RESEARCH, AND CONSUMER INFORMATION**

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 1230 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 4801–4819.

2. Paragraph § 1230.113 is added to read as follows:

**§ 1230.113 Collection and remittance of assessments for the sale of feeder pigs and market hogs.**

Pursuant to the provisions of § 1230.71, purchasers of feeder pigs or market hogs shall collect assessments from producers if an assessment is due and shall remit those assessments to the Board. Failure of the purchaser to collect such assessment from a producer shall not relieve the producer of the obligation to pay the assessment. If the purchaser fails to collect the assessment when an assessment is due pursuant to § 1230.71, the producer (seller) shall remit the total amount of assessments due to the Board as set forth in § 1230.111.

Dated: February 8, 2000.

**Barry L. Carpenter,**

*Deputy Administrator, Livestock and Seed Program.*

[FR Doc. 00–3323 Filed 2–11–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

---

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 23**

[Docket No. CE154; Special Conditions No. 23–102–SC]

**Special Conditions: Cessna Aircraft Company, Model 525A, High Altitude Operation**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for the Cessna Aircraft Company Model 525A airplane. This airplane will have novel or unusual design features associated with high altitude operation. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers

necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 15, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lowell Foster, Federal Aviation Administration, Aircraft Certification Service, Small Airplane Directorate, ACE–111, DOT Building, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; 816–329–4125, fax 816–329–4090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On May 14, 1998, Cessna Aircraft Company applied to amend the Model 525 Type Certificate to add a new Model 525A. The Model 525A is a derivative of the Model 525 currently approved under Type Certificate Data Sheet A1WI.

The Cessna Model 525A, a derivative of the Model 525, will be certified for operation to a maximum altitude of 45,000 feet. This will be the first of this series to be approved above 41,000 feet. The certification basis of the Model 525 was primarily 14 CFR part 23, as amended by Amendments 23–1 through 23–40, plus special conditions. This unusually high operating altitude constitutes a novel or unusual design feature for which the applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards. Therefore, it is necessary to prescribe special conditions that provide the level of safety to that established by the regulations.

The FAA has previously issued Special Conditions No. 23–ACE–87, to another small turbojet airplane model with requested approval for operation up to 49,000 feet.

The FAA policy is to apply special conditions to part 23 airplanes when the certified altitude exceeds the capability of the oxygen system (in this case, the passenger system). This was the situation for a part 23 turbojet airplane. Thus, the special conditions were deemed to be appropriate for the Cessna Model 525A and provide the basis for formulating the special conditions described below:

Damage tolerance methods are prescribed to assure pressure vessel integrity while operating at the higher altitudes. Crack growth data is used to prescribe an inspection program, which should detect cracks before an opening in the pressure vessel would allow rapid depressurization. Initial crack sizes for detection are determined under § 23.571 as amended by Amendment 23–48.

The cabin altitude after failure may not exceed the cabin altitude/time history curve limits shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Continuous flow passenger oxygen equipment is certified for use up to 40,000 feet; however, for rapid decompressions above 34,000 feet, reverse diffusion leads to low oxygen partial pressures in the lungs, to the extent that a small percentage of passengers may lose useful consciousness at 35,000 feet. The percentage increases to an estimated 60 percent at 40,000 feet, even with the use of the continuous flow system. To prevent permanent physiological damage, the cabin altitude must not exceed 25,000 feet for more than 2 minutes. The maximum peak cabin altitude of 40,000 feet is consistent with the standards established for previous certification programs. In addition, at these altitudes the other aspects of decompression sickness have a significant, detrimental effect on pilot performance (for example, a pilot can be incapacitated by internal expanding gases).

Decompression above the 37,000 foot limit of Figure 4 approaches the physiological limits of the average person; therefore, every effort must be made to provide the pilot with adequate oxygen equipment to withstand these severe decompressions. Reducing the time interval between pressurization failure and the time the pilot receives oxygen will provide a safety margin against being incapacitated and can be accomplished by the use of mask-mounted regulators. The special condition, therefore, requires pressure demand masks with mask-mounted regulators for the flightcrew. This combination of equipment will provide the best practical protection for the failures covered by the special conditions and for improbable failures not covered by the special conditions, provided the cabin altitude is limited.

**Type Certification Basis**

Under the provisions of § 21.101, Cessna Aircraft Company must show that the Cessna Model 525A meets the applicable provisions of the regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate Data Sheet A1WI or the applicable regulations in effect on the date of application for the change to the Cessna Model 525A. The regulations incorporated by reference in the type certificate are commonly referred to as the “original type certification basis.” The regulations incorporated by reference in Type Certificate Data Sheet A1WI are as follows:

(1) Part 23 of the Federal Aviation Regulations effective February 1, 1965, as amended by Amendments 23–1 through 23–40;