

work in breakout groups to discuss the draft and then convey their comments in a plenary session.

On March 7th, the meeting will explore potential recommendations for the recruitment, induction, and professional development of teachers of mathematics and science. The day will start with brief presentations on these three topics—recruitment, induction, and professional development. The rest of the morning will be spent in breakout groups. After lunch, the groups will report back to the entire Commission and discuss potential recommendations with commentary from several invited experts.

An agenda will be posted on the Internet at <http://www.ed.gov/americaaccounts/glenn/toc.html> on or before February 28, 2000.

On March 6 from 8:30 a.m. to approximately 2:30 p.m. Commission members are invited to attend a meeting organized by the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) on how technology can significantly impact K–12 math and science teaching and learning. The ACM presentations and hands-on demonstrations are open to the public and will be held in the Capitol Ballroom of the J.W. Marriott.

Space for the meeting of the National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century may be limited and you are encouraged to register if you plan to attend. You may register through the Internet at [America\\_Counts@ed.gov](mailto:America_Counts@ed.gov) or [Jamila\\_Rattler@ed.gov](mailto:Jamila_Rattler@ed.gov). Please include your name, title, affiliation, complete address (including e-mail, if available), telephone and fax numbers. If you are unable to register through the Internet, you may fax your registration information to The National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century at (202) 260–7216 or mail to The National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century, U.S. Department of Education, Room 6W252, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202. The registration deadline is March 1, 2000. Any individual who will need accommodations for a disability in order to attend the meeting (i.e., interpreting services, assistive listening devices, materials in alternative format) should notify Jamila Rattler at (202) 260–8229 by no later than February 25, 2000. We will attempt to meet requests after this date, but cannot guarantee availability of the requested accommodation. The meeting site is accessible to individuals with disabilities.

Records will be kept of all Commission proceedings, and will be

available for public inspection at The National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 6W252 from the hours of 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. weekdays, except Federal holidays.

Dated: February 8, 2000.

**Frank S. Holleman III,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00–3241 Filed 2–10–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000–04–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### DOE Implementation Plan for Recommendation 99–1 of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, Safe Storage of Pits at Pantex

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board published Recommendation 99–1, concerning the safe storage of pits at the Pantex plant, on August 27, 1999 (64 FR 46894). Under section 315(e) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2286d(e), the Department of Energy must transmit an implementation plan on Recommendation 99–1 to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board after acceptance of the Recommendation by the Secretary. The Department's implementation plan was sent to the Safety Board on February 01, 2000, and is available for review in the Department of Energy Public Reading Rooms.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments, data, views, or arguments concerning the implementation plan to: Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 before March 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. David E. Beck, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Military Application and Stockpile Operations, Defense Programs, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 7, 2000.

**Mark B. Whitaker, Jr.,**

*Departmental Representative to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board*

**The Secretary of Energy**

February 1, 2000.

The Honorable John T. Conway  
Chairman, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004.

Dear Mr. Chairman: We are pleased to forward the Department of Energy implementation plan for addressing the issues raised in the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Recommendation 99–1, "Safe Storage of Pits at Pantex." Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Recommendation 99–1 is consistent with the Department's focus to develop and implement improved pit storage programs.

The primary objective of this implementation plan is to expeditiously repackage pits into containers that will provide long-term, safe storage. The activities delineated in the plan are aimed at achieving that goal and provide for the development and implementation of a pit container surveillance program so the Department can monitor the AL–R8 Sealed Insert to ensure its continued quality and reliability.

Mr. Dave Beck, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Military Application and Stockpile Operations, Defense Programs, is the responsible manager for this implementation plan. He can be contacted at 202–586–4879.

Yours sincerely,

Bill Richardson,

*Enclosure.*

[FR Doc. 00–3281 Filed 2–10–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6533–9]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program; EPA ICR No. 1803.03; OMB No. 2040–0185; expiration date June 30, 2000. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before March 13, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260–2740, by email at [farmer.sandy@epa.gov](mailto:farmer.sandy@epa.gov), or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 1803.03. For technical questions about the ICR, contact Vinh Nguyen at

(202) 260-0715 or via email at [nguyen.vinh@epa.gov](mailto:nguyen.vinh@epa.gov). Additional information about the DWSRF program can be found at [www.epa.gov/safewater/dwsrf.html](http://www.epa.gov/safewater/dwsrf.html).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Program; OMB No. 2040-0185; EPA ICR No. 1803.03; expiration date June 30, 2000. This is a request for an extension of a currently approved collection.

*Abstract:* The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996 (Public Law 104-182) authorize the creation of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) programs in each State and Puerto Rico to assist public water systems to finance the costs of infrastructure needed to achieve or maintain compliance with SDWA requirements and to protect public health. Section 1452 authorizes the Administrator of EPA to award capitalization grants to the States and Puerto Rico which, in turn, provide low-cost loans and other types of assistance to eligible drinking water systems (*i.e.*, local respondents).

The information collection activities will occur primarily at the program level through the Capitalization Grant Application and Agreement / State Intended Use Plan, Biennial Report, Annual Audit, and Assistance Application Review.

In order to receive a grant, the State must prepare a Capitalization Grant Application that includes an Intended Use Plan (IUP) outlining in detail how it will use funds in the program. The Capitalization Grant Agreement is the principal instrument by which the State commits to manage its revolving fund program in conformity with the requirements of the SDWA.

The State must agree to complete and submit a Biennial Report on the uses of the capitalization grant. The Biennial Report indicates how the State has met its goals and objectives of the previous two fiscal years as stated in the grant agreement, and more specifically in the IUP. The report provides information on loan recipients, loan amounts, loan terms, project categories of eligible costs, and similar data on other forms of assistance.

A State must, at minimum, comply with the provisions of the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996. Best management practices suggest, and EPA recommends, that a State conduct an annual independent audit of its DWSRF program (including set-asides), which contains an opinion on the financial statements of the DWSRF, a report on its internal controls, and a report on

compliance with applicable laws and the SDWA.

Because States provide assistance to local applicants, States assist local applicants in preparing DWSRF loan applications and verify that proposed projects will comply with applicable federal and state requirements.

EPA will use the Capitalization Grant Application / Intended Use Plan, Biennial Report, and Annual Audit to help conduct its oversight responsibilities as mandated by the SDWA.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on September 7, 1999 (64 FR 48615); 11 comments were received from two parties.

*Burden Statement:* The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1,703 hours per State response and 80 hours per local respondent response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* State and local governments and local respondents.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,377.

*Frequency of Response:* Annual.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 192,950 hours.

*Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden (non-labor costs):* \$0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection

techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1803.03 and OMB Control No. 2040-0185 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460;

and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 2, 2000.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-3212 Filed 2-10-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6250-9]

**Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564-7167 OR [www.epa.gov/ocea/ofa](http://www.epa.gov/ocea/ofa).

**Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed January 31, 2000 Through February 04, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9**

*EIS No. 000028,* Draft EIS, BLM, NV, Marigold Mine Expansion Project, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, Special-Use-Permit, Humboldt County, NV, Due: April 10, 2000, Contact: Gerald Moritz (775) 623-1500.

*EIS No. 000029,* Final EIS, FHW, IN, IN-641 Terre Haute Bypass, Improve access between US 41 South to I-70 East of Terre Haute, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Vigo County, IN, Due: March 13, 2000, Contact: John R. Baxter (317) 226-7425.

*EIS No. 000030,* Final EIS, FHW, PA, Marshalls Creek Traffic Relief Study, Construction, Connector between PA-209, Business 209 and PA-402, COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, Monroe County, PA, Due: March 13, 2000, Contact: David C. Lawton (717) 221-3461.

*EIS No. 000031,* Final EIS, FRC, MT, ID, Cabinet Gorge (No. 2058-014) and Noxon Rapids (No. 2075-014) Hydroelectric Project, Relicensing, MT and ID, Due: March 13, 2000, Contact: Bob Easton (202) 219-2782.

*EIS No. 000032,* Draft EIS, NPS, MT, Lake McDonald/Park Headquarters