Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326–3248.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for February 4, 2000), on the World Wide Web, at "http:// www.ftc.gov/ftc/formal.htm.7 A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627.

Public comment is invited. Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20580. Two paper copies of each comment should be accompanied, if possible, by a 3½ inch diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement to a proposed consent order from DBC Financial, Inc. ("DBC Financial"). The agreement would settle a complaint by the Federal Trade Commission that DBC Financial engaged in deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns representations made by DBC Financial in its advertising of the Delaware Bank Card,

an automated teller machine ("ATM") bank card that offers direct deposit services with an affiliated bank. The administrative complaint alleges that DBC Financial violated the FTC Act by falsely representing: (1) that use of the Delaware Bank Card requires no upfront fees, when, in fact, use of the card requires an account setup fee of \$19.95, as well as a monthly service fee of \$9.95; (2) that the Delaware Bank Card is affiliated with the United States government agency, institution, or program, when in fact it is not; and (3) that use of the Delaware Bank Card automatically provides free overdraft protection services of up to \$1,000 a year, when in fact the card charges an overdraft protection fee of \$19.95 for every month in which the consumer's account is overdrawn by up to \$80.00.

To remedy the violations charged and to prevent respondent from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future, the proposed order contains injunctive provisions and a consumer redress program. Part I of the order prohibits respondent, in connection with the advertising or sale of the Delaware Bank Card or any Bank Card or Bank Cardrelated service or product, from making any misrepresentation or material omission concerning the costs, benefits, or conditions of the Bank Card or Bank Card-related service or product, including the following: (1) that use of the Bank Card requires no up-front fees, if in fact DBC Financial is charging an Account Set-up fee or any other initial fee; and (2) that use of the Bank Card provides free of charge any overdraft protection services, if in fact DBC Financial is charging an overdraft protection fee.

Part II of the order prohibits respondent, in connection with the advertising or sale of the Delaware Bank Card or any Bank Card or Bank Card-related service or product, from misrepresenting that DBC Financial or any of its Bank Card or Bank Card-related service or products are affiliated in any way with any United States governmental agency, institution, or program.

Part III of the order requires respondent to clearly and conspicuously disclose, in connection with any representation about the availability of electronic transfer of funds from any government entity, the following: "NOTICE: The [Delaware Bank Card or Name of Bank Card] is NOT affiliated in any way with any federal government agency or program." This disclosure is not required, however, to the extent that respondent is promoting a U.S. Treasury-designated ETA on behalf of a

financial institution that is participating in the government ETA program.

Part IV of the order requires respondent to pay \$250,000.00 for the redress program and administrative costs. The redress program applies to certain consumers who, as of August 31, 1999, had an active Delaware Bank Card account and who were charged an account set-up fee. In addition, Part V of the order requires respondent to waive the account set-up fee of \$19.95 for all Delaware Bank Card accounts opened between August 31, 1999 and January 31, 2000.

The proposed order also contains provisions regarding distribution of the order, record-keeping, notification of changes in corporate status, termination of the order, and the filing of a compliance report.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and the proposed order or to modify their terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–3236 Filed 2–10–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[Program Announcement 00042]

Extramural Injury Research Grants for the Prevention of Intimate Partner Violence and Sexual Violence; Notice of Availability of Funds

A. Purpose

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announces that grant applications are being accepted for Injury Prevention and Control Research Grants (RO1s) for fiscal year (FY) 2000.

This announcement is related to the Healthy People 2000 Priority areas of Violent and Abusive Behavior.

The purposes of this program are to: 1. Promote research to identify and understand the developmental pathways of victimization and perpetration of intimate partner violence and sexual violence.

2. Encourage developmental research that leads to science-based indicators for culturally appropriate intervention and prevention strategies to prevent and control the extent of injuries that result from intimate partner violence and sexual violence.

- 3. Expand risk-factor and protectivefactor research related to the perpetration and victimization of intimate partner violence and sexual violence.
- 4. Build the scientific base for the prevention of injuries, disabilities, and deaths due to violence.
- 5. Encourage professionals from a wide spectrum of disciplines such as public health, health care, medicine, criminal justice, and behavioral and social sciences, to work together and undertake research to prevent and control injuries that result from violence.

B. Eligible Applicants

Applications may be submitted by public and private nonprofit and for-profit organizations and by governments and their agencies; that is, universities, colleges, research institutions, hospitals, other public and private nonprofit and for-profit organizations, State and local governments or their bona fide agents, and federally recognized Indian tribal governments, Indian tribes, or Indian tribal organizations.

Note: Public Law 104–65 states that an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engages in lobbying activities is not eligible to receive Federal funds constituting an award, grant, cooperative agreement, contract, loan or any other form.

C. Availability of Funds

Approximately \$1.2 million is expected to be available in FY 2000 for injury research grants to fund approximately 4 awards. The specific program priorities for these funding opportunities are outlined with examples in this announcement under the section, "Programmatic Interests." It is expected that the awards will begin on or about September 30, 2000, and will be made for a 12-month budget period within a 3-year project period. The maximum funding level will not exceed \$300,000 (including both direct and indirect costs) per year or \$900,000 for the 3-year project period. Applications that exceed the funding cap of \$300,000 per year will be excluded from the competition and returned to the applicant. The availability of Federal funding may vary and is subject to change.

Continuation awards within the project period will be made based on satisfactory progress demonstrated by investigators at work-in-progress monitoring workshops (travel expenses for this annual one-day meeting should be included in the applicant's proposed budget), the achievement of workplan milestones reflected in the continuation

application, and the availability of Federal funds.

Note: Grant funds will not be made available to support the provision of direct care. Eligible applicants may enter into contracts, including consortia agreements (as set forth in the PHS Grants Policy Statement, dated April 1, 1994), as necessary to meet the requirements of the program and strengthen the overall application.

Programmatic Interests

CDC is soliciting studies that identify the factors which moderate and mediate the association between exposure to violence and/or violence-related behaviors, (e.g., rape, sexual violence, and intimate partner violence, other interpersonal violence, bullying, child abuse and neglect, child sexual abuse) and witnessing violence (e.g., intimate partner violence, sexual violence, other interpersonal violence, and suicidal behavior), and violent outcomes (i.e., subsequent victimization and/or perpetration of intimate partner violence and sexual violence).

Moderating factors include the individual, social, cultural and environmental factors which influence the likelihood that exposure to violence will lead to future violent outcomes. Mediating factors include the proximal consequences of exposure (e.g., hopelessness, learned response to violence, alcohol and drug use, weapon carrying) that result in increased risk of violent outcomes. The context in which violence occurs and potential culturally relevant intervention and prevention strategies relative to moderating and mediating factors should be integral foci of the study.

- 1. Injury prevention research addressing moderating factors
- a. Conduct research to understand how individual, social, cultural and environmental factors which influence the likelihood that exposure to violence will lead to the perpetration and victimization of violence against women, and sexual violence.
- b. Conduct research designed to improve understanding of the nature of moderating factors among under served and potentially high-risk populations (e.g., ethnic populations, persons with disabilities, gay, lesbian, trans gender and bisexual populations, or immigrant and refugee populations).
- 2. Injury prevention research addressing mediating factors
- a. Conduct research that further illuminates understanding of the contribution of potential risk factors for violence such as impulsivity, hopelessness, weapon carrying, alcohol/drug use, and other risk taking behavior.

- b. Conduct research to elucidate protective factors for intimate partner violence and sexual violence.
- c. Conduct research to provide scientific evidence for potentially effective and culturally appropriate intervention or prevention strategies for intimate partner violence and sexual violence.

Funding Preferences

Studies which focus on under served population(s) including ethnic populations, persons with disabilities, gay, lesbian, trans gender and bisexual populations, or immigrant and refugee populations will be given priority. These populations are considered under served because substantial research has not been devoted to determining risk and protective factors or mediating or moderating influences which may affect intimate partner violence or sexual violence in these groups.

D. Program Requirements

The following are applicant requirements:

- 1. A principal investigator, who has conducted research, published the findings in peer-reviewed journals, and has specific authority and responsibility to carry out the proposed project.
- 2. Demonstrated experience on the applicant's project team in conducting, evaluating, and publishing injury control research pertaining to violence in peer-reviewed journals.
- 3. Effective and well-defined working relationships within the performing organization and with outside entities which will ensure implementation of the proposed activities.
- 4. The ability to carry out injury control research projects as defined under Addendum 2,(6.a–c).
- 5. The overall match between the applicant's proposed theme and research objectives, and the program interests as described under the heading, "Programmatic Interests."

E. Application Content

Applications should follow the PHS–398 (Rev. 4/98) application and Errata sheet, and should include the following information:

1. The project's focus that justifies the research needs and describes the scientific basis for the research, the expected outcome, and the relevance of the findings to reduce injury morbidity, mortality, disability, and economic losses. This focus should be based on recommendations in "Healthy People 2000" and should seek creative approaches that will contribute to a national program for injury control.

- 2. Specific, measurable, and timeframed objectives.
- 3. A detailed plan describing the methods by which the objectives will be achieved, including their sequence. A comprehensive evaluation plan is an essential component of the application.
- 4. A description of the principal investigator's role and responsibilities.
- 5. A description of all the project staff regardless of their funding source. It should include their title, qualifications, experience, percentage of time each will devote to the project, as well as that portion of their salary to be paid by the grant.
- 6. A description of those activities related to, but not supported by the grant.
- 7. A description of the involvement of other entities that will relate to the proposed project, if applicable. It should include commitments of support and a clear statement of their roles.
- 8. A detailed first year's budget for the grant with future annual projections, if relevant. Awards will be made for a project period of up to 3-years.
- 9. An explanation of how the research findings will contribute to the national effort to reduce the morbidity, mortality and disability caused by violence-related injuries within 3–5 years from project start-up.

An applicant organization has the option of having specific salary and fringe benefit amounts for individuals omitted from the copies of the application which are made available to outside reviewing groups. To exercise this option: on the original and five copies of the application, the applicant must use asterisks to indicate those individuals for whom salaries and fringe benefits are not shown; the subtotals must still be shown. In addition, the applicant must submit an additional copy of page 4 of Form PHS-398, completed in full, with the asterisks replaced by the salaries and fringe benefits. This budget page will be reserved for internal staff use only.

F. Submission and Deadline

Pre-Application Letter of Intent

Although not a prerequisite of application, a non-binding letter of intent-to-apply is requested from potential applicants. The letter of intent must be submitted on or before March 13, 2000, to the Grants Management Specialist identified in the "Where to Obtain Additional Information" section of this announcement. The letter should identify the announcement number, name the principal investigator, and briefly describe the scope and intent of the proposed research work. The letter

of intent does not influence review or funding decisions, but the number of letters received will enable CDC to plan the review more effectively and efficiently.

Submit the original and five copies of PHS 398 (OMB Number 0925–0001 and adhere to the instructions on the Errata Instruction sheet for PHS 398). Forms are in the application kit.

On or before April 12, 2000, submit the application to the Grants Management Specialist identified in the "Where to Obtain Additional Information" section of this announcement.

Applications shall be considered as meeting the deadline if they are received at the above address on or before the deadline date; or sent on or before the deadline date, and received in time for submission to the independent review group. Applicants must request a legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark or obtain a legibly dated receipt from a commercial carrier or the U.S. Postal Service. Private metered postmarks will not be acceptable as proof of timely mailing.

Late Applications

Applications which do not meet the criteria above are considered late applications, will not be considered, and will be returned to the applicant.

G. Evaluation Criteria

Upon receipt, applications will be reviewed by CDC staff for completeness and responsiveness as outlined under the heading Program Requirements (Items 1–5). Incomplete applications and applications that are not responsive will be returned to the applicant without further consideration. It is especially important that the applicant's abstract reflects the project's focus, because the abstract will be used to help determine the responsiveness of the proposal.

Applications which are complete and responsive may be subjected to a preliminary evaluation (triage) by a peer review committee, the Injury Research Grant Review Committee (IRGRC), to determine if the application is of sufficient technical and scientific merit to warrant further review by the IRGRC; CDC will withdraw from further consideration applications judged to be noncompetitive and promptly notify the principal investigator/program director and the official signing for the applicant organization. Those applications judged to be competitive will be further evaluated by a dual review process.

Awards will be determined by the Director of the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC) based on priority scores assigned to applications by the primary review committee, recommendations by the secondary review committee, consultation with NCIPC senior staff, and the availability of funds.

1. The primary review will be a peer review conducted by the IRGRC. All proposals will be reviewed for scientific merit by a committee of no less than three reviewers with appropriate expertise using current National Institutes of Health (NIH) criteria to evaluate the methods and scientific quality of the proposal. Factors to be considered will include:

a. Significance. Does this study address an important problem? If the aims of the application are achieved, how will scientific knowledge be advanced? What will be the effect of these studies on the concepts or methods that drive this field?

b. Approach. Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well-integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the project? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative tactics? Does the project include plans to measure progress toward achieving the stated objectives? Is there an appropriate work plan included?

c. Innovation. Does the project employ novel concepts, approaches or methods? Are the aims original and innovative? Does the project challenge or advance existing paradigms, or develop new methodologies or technologies?

d. Investigator. Is the principal investigator appropriately trained and well suited to carry out this work? Is the proposed work appropriate to the experience level of the principal investigator and other significant investigator participants? Is there a prior history of conducting violence-related research?

e. Environment. Does the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Does the proposed research take advantage of unique features of the scientific environment or employ useful collaborative arrangements? Is there evidence of institutional support? Is there an appropriate degree of commitment and cooperation of other interested parties as evidenced by letters detailing the nature and extent of the involvement?

f. Ethical Issues: What provisions have been made for the protection of human subjects and the safety of the research environments? How does the applicant plan to handle issues of confidentiality and compliance with

mandated reporting requirements, e.g., suspected child abuse? Does the application adequately address the requirements of 45 CFR 46 for the protection of human subjects? (Not scored)

g. Study Samples: Are the samples sufficiently rigorously defined to permit complete independent replication at another site? Have the referral sources been described, including the definitions and criteria? What plans have been made to include women and minorities, and their subgroups as appropriate for the scientific goals of the research? How will the applicant deal with recruitment and retention of subjects?

h. Dissemination: What plans have been articulated for disseminating

findings?

The IRGRC will also examine the appropriateness of the proposed project budget and duration in relation to the proposed research and the availability of data required for the project.

2. The secondary review will be conducted by the Science and Program Review Work Group (SPRWG) from the Advisory Committee for Injury Prevention and Control (ACIPC). The ACIPC Federal ex officio members will be invited to attend the secondary review, will receive modified briefing books, (i.e., abstracts, strengths and weaknesses from summary statements, and project officer's briefing materials). Federal ex officio members will be encouraged to participate in deliberations when applications address overlapping areas of research interest so that unwarranted duplication in federally-funded research can be avoided and special subject area expertise can be shared. The NCIPC Division Associate Directors for Science (ADS) or their designees will attend the secondary review in a similar capacity as the Federal ex officio members to assure that research priorities of the announcement are understood and to provide background regarding current research activities. Only SPRWG members will vote on funding recommendations and their recommendations will be carried to the entire ACIPC for voting by the ACIPC members in closed session.

The committee's responsibility is to develop funding recommendations for the NCIPC Director based on the results of the primary review, the relevance and balance of proposed research relative to the NCIPC programs and priorities, and to assure that unwarranted duplication of federally-funded research does not occur. The Secondary Review Committee has the latitude to reach over better ranked proposals in order to

assure maximal impact and balance of proposed research. The factors to be considered will include:

a. The results of the primary review including the application's priority score as the primary factor in the selection process.

b. The match between the application and the solicitation's programmatic interests and funding preferences, *i.e.*, for applications with relatively similar priority scores, preference will be given to those applications that focus on under served population(s).

c. The relevance and balance of proposed research relative to the NCIPC

programs and priorities.

d. The significance of the proposed activities in relation to the priorities and objectives stated in "Healthy People 2000" and the Institute of Medicine report, "Reducing the Burden of Injury".

e. Budgetary considerations.

3. Continued Funding

Continuation awards made after FY 2000, but within the project period, will be made on the basis of the availability of funds and the following criteria:

- a. The accomplishments reflected in the progress report of the continuation application indicate that the applicant is meeting previously stated objectives or milestones contained in the project's annual workplan and satisfactory progress demonstrated through presentations at work-in-progress monitoring workshops.
- b. The objectives for the new budget period are realistic, specific, and measurable.
- c. The methods described will clearly lead to achievement of these objectives.
- d. The evaluation plan will allow management to monitor whether the methods are effective.
- e. The budget request is clearly explained, adequately justified, reasonable and consistent with the intended use of grant funds.

H. Other Requirements

Technical Reporting Requirements

Provide CDC with an original plus two copies of

1. Progress report annually,

2. Financial status report, no more than 90 days after the end of the budget period, and

3. Final financial report and performance report, no more than 90 days after the end of the project period.

4. At the completion of the project, the grant recipient will submit a brief (2,500 to 4,000 words) summary highlighting the findings and their implications for research and policy. CDC will place the summary report and each grant recipient's final report with

the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) to further the agency's efforts to make the information more available and accessible to the public.

Send all reports to the Grants Management Specialist identified in the "Where to Obtain Additional Information" section of this announcement.

The following additional requirements are applicable to this program. For a complete description of each see Addendum 1 in the application package.

AR-1 Human Subjects Certification AR-2 Requirements for inclusion of

Women and Racial and Ethnic Minorities in Research

AR–9 Paperwork Reduction Act Requirements

AR–10 Smoke-Free Workplace Requirement

AR-11 Healthy People 2000

AR-12 Lobbying Restrictions AR-13 Prohibition on Use of CDC

funds for Certain Gun Control Activities

I. Authority and Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number

This program is authorized under sections 301(a) [42 U.S.C. 241(a)] of the Public Health Service Act, as amended. The catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number is 93.136.

J. Where To Obtain Additional Information

This and other CDC announcements are available through the CDC homepage on the Internet. The address for the CDC homepage is http://www.cdc.gov.

To receive additional written information and to request an application kit, call 1-888-GRANTS4 (1-888-472-6874). You will be asked to leave you name and address and will be instructed to identify the Announcement number of interest. If vou have questions after reviewing the contents of all the documents, business management technical assistance may be obtained from: Carrie Clark, Grants Management Specialist, Grants Management Branch, Procurement and Grants Office, Program Announcement #00042, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2920 Brandywine Road, Room 3000, Atlanta, Georgia 30341, Telephone (770) 488-2719, Internet address: zri4@cdc.gov.

For program technical assistance, contact: Ted Jones, Program Manager, Office of Research Grants, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 4770 Buford Highway, NE, Mailstop K–58, Atlanta,

GA 30341-

3724, Telephone (770) 488–4824, Internet address: tmj1@cdc.gov.

Dated: February 7, 2000.

John L. Williams,

Director, Procurement and Grants Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 00–3184 Filed 2–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Advisory Committee on Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention: Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announces the following committee meeting.

Name: Advisory Committee on Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention.

Times and Dates: 8:30 a.m.—5 p.m., February 28, 2000. 8:30 a.m.—12 p.m., February 29, 2000.

Place: Wyndham Atlanta Hotel, 160 Spring Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, telephone 404/688–8600.

Status: Open to the public, limited only by the space available. The meeting room accommodates approximately 90 people.

Purpose: The Committee shall provide advice and guidance to the Secretary; the Assistant Secretary for Health; and the Director, CDC, regarding new scientific knowledge and technological developments and their practical implications for childhood lead poisoning prevention efforts. The Committee shall also review and report regularly on childhood lead poisoning prevention practices and recommend improvements in national childhood lead poisoning prevention grevention efforts.

Matters to be Discussed: Agenda items include: Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention activities update, Medicaid issues, Screening and Case Management Working Group updates, and updates on Medical and Environmental Management issues. Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

Opportunities will be provided during the meeting for oral comments. Depending on the time available and the number of requests, it may be necessary to limit the time of each presenter.

Contact Person for More Information:
Becky Wright, Program Analyst, Lead
Poisoning Prevention Branch, Division of
Environmental Hazards and Health Effects,
NCEH, CDC, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, M/S E–
25, Atlanta, Georgia 30333, telephone 404/
639–1789, fax 404/639–2570.

The Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for ToxicSubstances and Disease Registry.

Dated: February 7, 2000.

Carolyn J. Russell,

Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 00–3333 Filed 2–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

President's Committee on Mental Retardation; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: President's Committee on Mental Retardation.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

DATES: Thursday, February 24, 2000 from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Place: The meeting will be held in the Loews New York Hotel, 569 Lexington Avenue at East 51st Street, New York, New York 10022. Full Committee Meetings are open to the public. An interpreter for the deaf will be available upon advance request. All meeting sites are barrier free.

Agenda: The Committee plans to discuss critical issues concerning Federal Policy, Federal Research and Demonstration, State Policy Collaboration, Minority and Cultural Diversity and Mission and Public Awareness, relating to individuals with mental retardation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane

L. Browning, Executive Director, President's Committee on Mental Retardation, Room 701 Aerospace Building, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20447, (202) 619–0634.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PCMR acts in an advisory capacity to the President and the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on a broad range of topics relating to programs, services, and supports for persons with mental retardation. The Committee, by Executive Order, is responsible for evaluating the adequacy of current practices in programs and supports for persons with mental retardation, and for reviewing legislative proposals that impact the quality of life that is experienced by citizens with mental retardation and their families.

Dated: February 7, 2000.

Jane L. Browning,

Executive Director, PCMR.

[FR Doc. 00–3245 Filed 2–10–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4104-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration [Docket No. 00N-0352]

Status of Useful Written Prescription Drug Information for Patients; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing a public meeting to discuss the findings of the interim study of the status of useful written prescription drug information for patients consistent with the criteria specified in the "Action Plan for the Provision of Useful Prescription Medicine Information" (Action Plan). The purpose of this meeting is to present the study methodology and results and seek feedback prior to developing assessment of the year 2000 goals. The meeting will begin with presentations about the report and findings, followed by small group discussions and feedback. FDA encourages interested individuals to attend this meeting or submit comments.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on Tuesday, February 29, 2000, from 1 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. and Wednesday, March 1, 2000, from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. The deadline for registration is February 18, 2000. Early registration is recommended, as space is limited. Registration and dissemination of materials will begin at 11 a.m. on February 29, 2000. Written comments will be accepted until April 28, 2000. ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at the DoubleTree Hotel, 1750 Rockville Pike, Rockville MD 20852. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA—305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville MD 20852. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. A copy of the study report as well as registration information can be obtained at http://