

onions. Therefore, the estimated assessment revenue for fiscal period 2000 as a percentage of total grower revenue could range between 0.7 and 1.0 percent.

This action increases the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs are offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the Vidalia onion production area and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the September 30, 1999, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue.

This rule imposes no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Vidalia onion handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the **Federal Register** on December 13, 1999 (64 FR 69419). Copies of the proposed rule were also mailed or sent via facsimile to all Vidalia onion handlers. Finally, the proposal was made available through the Internet by the Office of the Federal Register. A 30-day comment period ending January 12, 2000, was provided for interested persons to respond to the proposal. No comments were received.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at the following web site: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it also found and determined that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** because: (1) The 2000 fiscal period began on January 1, 2000, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable Vidalia onions handled during such period; (2) the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting. Also, a 30-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule, and no comments were received.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 955

Marketing agreements, Onions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 955 is amended as follows:

#### PART 955—VIDALIA ONIONS GROWN IN GEORGIA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR parts 955 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. Section 955.209 is revised to read as follows:

##### **§ 955.209 Assessment rate.**

On and after January 1, 2000, an assessment rate of \$0.10 per 50-pound bag or equivalent is established for Vidalia onions.

Dated: January 31, 2000.

**Robert C. Keeney,**

*Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.*

[FR Doc. 00–2688 Filed 2–4–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

---

#### FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

##### **12 CFR Part 936**

**[No. 2000–04]**

**RIN 3069–AA95**

##### **Information Collection Approval; Technical Amendment to Community Support Requirements Rule**

**AGENCY:** Federal Housing Finance Board.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Act), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved a three-year extension of the

information collection contained in the Federal Housing Finance Board's (Finance Board) community support requirements regulation and community support statement form. The OMB control number approving the information collection now expires on January 31, 2003. In accordance with the requirements of the Act, the Finance Board is amending the community support requirements rule to reflect this new expiration date.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The final rule will become effective on February 7, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Emma J. Fitzgerald, Program Analyst, Program Assistance Division, Office of Policy, Research and Analysis, by telephone at 202/408–2874, by electronic mail at FITZGERALDE@FHFB.GOV, or by regular mail at the Federal Housing Finance Board, 1777 F Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. A telecommunications device for deaf persons (TDD) is available at 202/408–2579.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

In order to extend the expiration date of the OMB control number approving the information collection contained in its community support requirements regulation and community support statement form, the Finance Board published requests for public comments regarding the information collection in the **Federal Register** on June 30 and November 15, 1999. See 64 FR 35157 (June 30, 1999) and 64 FR 61877 (Nov. 15, 1999). The Finance Board also submitted an analysis of the information collection, entitled "Community Support Requirements," to the OMB for review and approval. The OMB has approved a three-year extension of the information collection under OMB control number 3069–0003. The OMB control number now expires on January 31, 2003.

Under the Act and the OMB's implementing regulation, 44 U.S.C. 3507 and 5 CFR 1320.5, an agency may not sponsor or conduct, and a person is not required to respond to, an information collection unless the regulation or form collecting the information displays a currently valid OMB control number. Accordingly, the Finance Board is amending the community support requirements rule and community support statement form to reflect the new expiration date of the OMB control number.

## II. Notice and Public Participation

Because the effectiveness of the information collection contained in the community support requirements rule and community support statement form must be maintained, the Finance Board for good cause finds that the notice and public procedure requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest. See 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B).

## III. Effective Date

For the reasons stated in part II above, the Finance Board for good cause finds that the final rule should become effective on February 7, 2000. See 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3).

## IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act do not apply since this technical amendment to the community support requirements rule does not require publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking. See 5 U.S.C. 601(2) and 603(a).

## V. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule does not contain any collections of information pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. See 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* Consequently, the Finance Board has not submitted any information to the Office of Management and Budget for review.

### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 936

Credit, Federal home loan banks, Housing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Finance Board hereby amends 12 CFR part 936 as follows:

### PART 936—COMMUNITY SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS

1. Revise the authority citation for part 936 to read as follows:

**Authority:** 12 U.S.C. 1422a(a)(3)(B), 1422b(a)(1), and 1430(g).

#### §§ 936.2, 936.3, 936.5 [Amended]

2. Revise the parenthetical statement that appears after §§ 936.2, 936.3, and 936.5 to read as follows:

(The Office of Management and Budget has approved the information collection contained in this section and assigned control number 3069-0003 with an expiration date of January 31, 2003.)

#### § 936.4 [Amended]

3. Add a parenthetical statement immediately after § 936.4 to read as follows:

(The Office of Management and Budget has approved the information collection contained in this section and assigned control number 3069-0003 with an expiration date of January 31, 2003.)

By the Board of Directors of the Federal Housing Finance Board.

Dated: January 27, 2000.

**Bruce A. Morrison,**  
*Chairman.*

[FR Doc. 00-2544 Filed 2-4-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6725-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-254-AD; Amendment 39-11554; AD 2000-02-36]

**RIN 2120-AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes, that currently requires relocation of the engine/master 1 relay from relay box 103VU to shelf 95VU in the avionics bay. This amendment continues to require the relocation using new electrical contacts, and, for certain airplanes, adds a requirement to replace certain contacts installed in shelf 95VU during relocation of the relay with new contacts. This amendment is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent a simultaneous cutoff of the fuel supply to both engines, which could result in a loss of engine power and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Effective March 13, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 13, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain other publications, as listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 27, 1998 (63 FR 50492, September 22, 1998).

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained

from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 98-20-10, amendment 39-10777 (63 FR 50492, September 22, 1998), which is applicable to certain Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on October 21, 1999 (64 FR 56715). The action proposed to continue to require relocation of the engine/master 1 relay from relay box 103VU to shelf 95VU in the avionics bay using new electrical contacts. The action also proposed to add, for certain airplanes, a requirement to replace certain contacts installed in shelf 95VU during relocation of the relay with new contacts.

#### **Comments**

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

#### **Support for the Proposal**

One commenter supports the proposed rule.

#### **Request to Revise Cost Estimate**

One commenter concurs with the content of the proposed rule, but states that the estimate of work hours required to accomplish the replacement of the contacts is inaccurate in the proposed AD. The commenter states that for airplanes previously modified in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320-24-1092, Revision 01, dated December 24, 1997, or Revision 02, dated March 9, 1998, the replacement of contacts would take approximately 12 hours. For airplanes already in the process of being modified, the replacement would take approximately 3 hours.

The FAA infers that the commenter's estimate includes work hours for access and close to replace certain contacts with new contacts for previously